

Stanton, Jim

From: J. R. "Jack" Challis [jack@randrforhorses.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 9:39 AM
To: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: 2007 Watermaster's Report Expense Breakdown

Jim:

With regard to the PDA/GPS, you're right. We had money in the account so that is why we decided to spend it on this unit. And yes, I did not intend on replacing those funds so that is why I too figured all had contributed. I will mail you a new Watermaster's Report in the next couple days. Right now I am still buried with problems here fighting 20 degree below zero mornings with days that are barely getting over zero.

Jack

From: Stanton, Jim [mailto:Jim.Stanton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 8:27 AM
To: J. R. "Jack" Challis
Cc: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: 2007 Watermaster's Report Expense Breakdown

Jack – Sounds good to me. I wonder if the cost of the pda/gps is being shared by all district users. If so, wouldn't this figure into the delivery cost for 2007? Or was this paid for with banked money that was not intended to be replaced? Your call on this one. An accountant would probably have all the answers, and I freely admit that I have no training in that field whatsoever.

From: J. R. "Jack" Challis [mailto:jack@randrforhorses.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 12:20 AM
To: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: 2007 Watermaster's Report Expense Breakdown

Jim:

As you may know Tim Luke, Bob Foster and Nick Miller were in Challis today for the Upper Salmon Water District 170 Advisory Committee Meeting and WD 170 Annual Meeting. This issue became a topic of discussion after the Advisory Committee Meeting. They felt the best way to handle this was to only include the expenses incurred in the normal course of District business/expenses (\$15,791.93) thus showing the actual cost per CFS of \$0.8892. I tend to agree. How about you? If so I'll send you a revised Watermaster's Report reflecting these totals.

From: Stanton, Jim [mailto:Jim.Stanton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2008 11:54 AM
To: J. R. "Jack" Challis
Cc: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: 2007 Watermaster's Report Expense Breakdown

Jack – Here is my idea. Mark each right that was delivered into the High Line Canal in some way (underline,

1/23/2008

asterisk in front of the right #, etc). Mark
Delivered storage water in a different way. Then show the total money due from each user as it appears on the
bill they will get. I know this is a bit of trouble,
But I don't feel comfortable signing the report as it was filed. Hopefully this odd situation won't come up again for
quite a while. Thanks.

From: J. R. "Jack" Challis [mailto:jack@randrforhorses.com]

Sent: Monday, January 21, 2008 3:39 PM

To: Stanton, Jim

Subject: 2007 Watermaster's Report Expense Breakdown

Jim:

I caught an error on the subject page in our 2007 Watermaster's Report. The attached is a corrected copy. The amounts were correct but the expenditure identifications were not in the proper order (Watermaster's Compensation; Ditch Rider Compensation & Secretary/Treasurer Compensation). Sorry about that! Please replace the copy you received with the attached.

Jack