

## Stanton, Jim

---

**From:** Luke, Tim  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 10:34 AM  
**To:** Merritt, Allen  
**Cc:** Stanton, Jim; Miller, Nick  
**Subject:** RE: Complaint about Challis

I guess the simple response is to acknowledge the complaint as well as the fact that Challis is no longer watermaster. As a result, there really is no action IDWR can take at this point other than keeping a record of this. The letter is not dated. I think we do need to provide a written response. The only other concern here is whether the letter also represents some claim against the department for damages caused, but it really does not read that way to me. Perhaps our letter should be clear that we view the letter only as a complaint against the former watermaster and not anything else.

Allen, how do you want to respond? Do you want to respond or should I?

Tim

---

**From:** Merritt, Allen  
**Sent:** Friday, March 14, 2008 10:22 AM  
**To:** Luke, Tim; Miller, Nick  
**Cc:** Stanton, Jim  
**Subject:** Complaint about Challis

We received the attached letter. Any suggestions? << File: 20080314092045853.pdf >>