July 15, 2004

RESPONSE TO: May 13, 2004 IDWR Letter RE:

Mitigation for ground water use pursuant to IDAPA 37.03.12.050

SUBMITTAL OF: 2004 Participants Alternative Mitigation Plan (04-PAMI Approved Caufpackman 7/21/04

Dear IDWR Director:

Preface

The subscribers to this 2004 Participants Alternative Mitigation Plan (04-PAMP) submit the following statement of position. It appears very unlikely at this time the Advisory Board, Watermaster, and Patrons of Water District 34 are going to reach a consensus on any matter relating to (Rule 50) and potential mitigation remedies to the senior surface calls that have been made this year. We believe this is most unfortunate for all water users of the Big Lost River Basin. Although a consolidated plan would be the most effective, the participants of this alternative plan feel they must proceed with their own alternate mitigation plan so as to avoid the adverse consequences of curtailment of their junior groundwater diversions. If Water District 34 were to adapt and implement an approved mitigation plan subsequent to the submission of this plan, the participants would willingly support and participate in such a plan in lieu of this alternative plan. The focus of the 04-PAMP will be a direct proportionate augmentation to valid senior surface calls, rather than a general river augmentation, in conjunction with the following conditions.

Recital of Issues Associated with Conjunctive Administration in Basin 34

- Proper regulation of all senior surface water rights in compliance with limiting elements and remarks contained in the SRBA partial decrees, and the curtailment of irrigation acres which are solely irrigated with inferior surface water rights decreed pursuant to Section 42-1426 of the Idaho Code, and assurance that all mitigation water supplies are used only on lands which are entitled to such benefits.
- Participants recognize the need for and encourage the use of temporary transfers 2. pursuant to the emergency drought declarations made in Butte and Custer counties. However, such transfers must not result in the expansions or enlargement of "combined or stacked" water rights, especially when such transfers involve the senior surface water rights calling for the curtailment of, or mitigation from, junior ground water rights.
- Correct accounting procedures within the water district and irrigation district 3. (i.e. determining availability of natural flow supplies and priority calls by properly recognizing river inflows, losing/gaining river reaches, and excess river losses charged against storage allocations conveyed to the lower reaches of the river system). See 1991 Ralston Report p.6-7.

- The initiation of a joint IDWR/Water District 34/Participant study to determine the 4. actual river depletion caused by ground water pumpage within river reaches or impact zones, as well as other contributing factors. See 1991 Ralston Report, Statement of the Problem p.1-2 (Currently the Rule establishes a 13% depletion factor for all wells within the basin regardless of well location, river reach, or cyclical river flow levels within those reaches.)
- A commitment from the Director of IDWR that he will not prematurely declare the 5. flows of the Big Lost River to be futile in the lower reaches (Moore Diversion) of the basin. While the major cause of declining river flows is the drought, additional significant causes in declining river flows which result in futile call attempts from upstream users are their own new irrigated acres from expanded use of existing surface rights, the conversion to more efficient sprinkler systems, and the impact of ground water pumping in the immediate upper reach areas of the basin. Water users from these areas seem to be the most strident resistants of conjunctive management, yet they are the greatest supporters of the futile river call. A futile call would make all surface water supplies unavailable to the most senior surface rights within the lower river reaches, including those that are now making a call on ground water rights, even if mitigation supplies augmented river flows. Other injurious causes of declining river flows must be regulated and/or remedied. The relationship of futile calls and mitigation plans cannot be overstated!
- Consideration of the subscriber's contribution of "rotated into credit" and/or released "storage allocations" as described in (Rule 40.02) which were used to "charge" the river system at the commencement of the 2004 irrigation season as partial mitigation. These two combined supplies total in excess of 1685AF in 2004. This "charging of the river system" made it possible to deliver senior natural flow rights (in priority with available river flows) to all reaches of the river below the Mackay Reservoir. These types of water supply contributions should be considered as a portion of the 6110 AF mitigation supply in the broader river augmentation mitigation plan as described in (Rule 50).
- This proposed mitigation plan, if approved, would only be binding upon the 7. participants for the current year 2004, and only if IDWR continues to require the balance of ground water users in the basin to be curtailed or submit and receive approval of their own respective mitigation plan(s).

Components of 04-PAMP

The surface water rights called for by N. Sowards are of such inferior priority (1887, 1892, and 1896) that it appears from a review of historic data, no river supply would be available to fill any portion of these rights this year even in the absence of ground water pumping. No mitigation or augmentation is proposed for these delivery calls at this time.

2. Surface water rights called for by Jensens are of 1883 priority and appear to have received some water supply historically even in drought conditions. However, Jensens' entitlement is still limited by the SRBA partial decreed elements and remarks contained in their respective water right descriptions. It appears the total maximum diversion rate of these three rights totals 6.53 cfs for the use on 294 acres. The limiting annual volume appears to be slightly more than 1030 AF for that same acreage. It also appears two of the Jensens are choosing to augment their own surface right(s) pursuant to (Rule 50.03) by diverting from combined ground water rights and may be doing so to the extent they are actually exceeding the legally combined rate of diversion. All surface and ground water supply quantities which are diverted by Jensens and appurtenant to the 294 acres will need to be quantified and verified by IDWR personnel prior to any mitigation supplies actually being made available so proper amounts of augmentation supplies can be determined.

Participants of this proposed mitigation plan are not assuming they have the burden of the entire annual volume quantity entitlement less what Jensens have already diverted or will divert from available surface and ground water sources this year. The participants' burden is only their proportionate share of the annual volume deficit burden that all ground water users in the basin bear. To ensure the availability of those proportioned replacement volume quantities, the participants have an agreement with one of the participating ground water users who owns a well in the vicinity of the conveyance canal used by Jensens.

- 3. If this mitigation plan is approved by the Director, the participants will do the following:
 - a. Submit a Temporary Change Application to IDWR requesting a change in the place of use for the "Mickelsen Well" located at (4N 26EBM Sec 4 NE NW NE under water right nos. 34-07179 and 34-07201).
 - b. Control and ensure quantities diverted from this mitigation well are in compliance with the limitations of Jensens' annual volume entitlement less any combined water quantities that Jensens have previously diverted from river supplies and their own supplemental well(s). If it is needed to determine what the amounts of these quantities are, Jensens will provide supporting documentation (i.e. electrical consumption ledgers, ditchrider field notes, etc.) to the IDWR administrators prior to receiving any mitigation water supplies.
 - c. Bear the cost for providing these replacement supplies by proportionally distributing them among subscribing participants.
 - d. Provide to the Director of IDWR the "initial subscriber's" and "post approved plan subscriber's" Names, Addresses and Water Right Identification Numbers.

Respectfully Submitted (See list of subscribers)

Name	Address	WR Identification
PU Runel Viva Taylor	1285 N 200E, RUMP, IA 83350 rtin LIC P.O BOY 438 Right ID	34 34 343=0=
Interest Introduce	u 83442	34- 172B
10	11	34-1237b
	it	341 <u>0</u> _17
	11	34- 7080B
1(34- 7120
11		347121A
16	[}	34
	· V	34
Rita Bennett	185 Hymder View Dr., Hailey 1D 83323	34 34 34 34
		34
		34
		34
		34
····		34
		34
		34
		34
		J4

Name Address	WR Identification
Jud Ackele 3575 W 2500 N	34- <u>24/9</u>
Mary Arme Aikele Movre, Id 83255	34- <u>247</u> Z_
Treather zine zine	34- <u>7210</u> _
Part Charle - Tily 21, 2004	34 <i>Z429</i>
	34
Mary Chine defele	34- <u>2358</u>
Aikile"	34 <i>Z<u>9</u>17</i> _
	# # 3812 transfer
	34
This hall Todd Perkes 37904 3325 w	34- <u>07/12 A</u>
Moore ID 83255	34- <u>0735 A</u> _
	34- <u>10369</u>
	34
	34
Mitchell D. Sorensen 3871 W. 2500N. Moore 10 83255	34- <u>07<i>035</i> B</u>
	34- <u>12416</u>
11.	34 <i>01418</i>
<u> </u>	34- <u>07247</u>
	34- 07(20 (split portion)
You've HANNEY WALL RTI BOX 200 ARCO Id 8322	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34

	Address	WR Identification
Name		34-07118
1	Regers POY76 ANO I	2 832(3 34-34-07118B
. "-" 1 3.1	- 1 1 2 13 777 7 117 - 17 295 B 7 17 17 AM	70 . (* () —C3 C4 .) 14 / () ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*	524/14/2/2001/15	
- Jungy	12 Jensen 3347 W2900N W	loero Jd832534-13415
	つっこくし シャー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファー・ファ	
J. Vand	Jersen 2997 N 3375 W m	1 1 1 8 3 5 5 4 - 1 2 3 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Thomas	Tel Spe _ 298 / D 33/3 W 18	1- 100-34-34-7198
11 12 - 2-1	36.46 al analoge	(、) // (でない)
Landy +	Tally for Norm Jowards	1/2 1 020 34 0 2302
1/ 4.5	I the land thought I have to have	10000 AM 33209T_S_S_S_S
$D = D \cup D$.	in the standard Million	1172 Nov 83255 34-14_1650
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 / '22^\NU D' NA KA MO	10 rp +0 200 34-0 1_1_1=1=1=
- / Y! .) i / //		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Jonsen 11	
	redgey Rt#/Box 116_n	
14-4-11	esextance soft to the	34 D2382B
M= LU	enedlady	34
M f. 16	sultany	7d83255 34-02340A
Jack t	Dicka Sensen 3328-WAYOCK MOORE	TZ 8325/ 34- 2306
Emplied	kele for Ker Bucknelter Macken	TL 83251 34- 7009
~ ~!	I la la	
Emila Ca	kele he Hearon Cikele Moved	17 34-07029
سارع	Cikele Moore	
ليباركج	Cikele Moore	74-0-1080-
	Noone .	N 83258 34-123 82
		34
<u> </u>		34
<u> </u>		34
		34
		34
		34

Name / Address	WR Identification
Name Solberg Farms 37/5 antelope Rd. Moore, ID	34
N. Succe Scelling III	2.4
DITT - Faymer DOT ROYARD OND Idaho 83213	34
Fredericon Farms RTI Boy 228 area, Idaho 83213 By Ruch & Pangingora RTI Boy 228 area, Idaho 83213 Freda Bell RT. 1 Box 58 Moore delo 833	34
Tresta - Dell - Diel - 12 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	34
By Ralph & Parkinson & P. T. Boy 238 ares 21, 83213	34
Rush of A Parking 2020 Roy 38 Over A. 83213	34
Jan Jan 3199W 200N area It 83213	34
21710 - 12 100 m 83 U/3	34
Johnson BOX 823 - ARCH, MANO 831/3	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34
	34

Mickelson Properties LLC P.O Box 438 Rigby Id 83442 313 1295

Mark Mickelsen (manager of Mickelsen Properties LLC) agrees to allow 04-PAMP to use their water right and well # 34-7179 to mitigate the Jensen call on water.

Alach Mukelsen Morte Michelsen Manager