

Merritt, Allen

From: Skinner, Corey
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 9:25 AM
To: 'KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II'
Cc: Merritt, Allen
Subject: RE: Six S Reservoir!

Don,

Allen and I were out there Monday and advised their contractor & engineer on where and how to place the Parshall flume on the Highland canal below the new dam. Hopefully, accurate measurements will be able to be made when the device is installed and the dam is operational. If not, then the device will have to be moved to provide accurate measurements. After examining the water right(s) that cover the dam storage and their surface diversions, and discussing this matter with Allen, it seems most appropriate to only require a measuring device on the Highland diversion. The spillway, low level outlet, and fish ladder are essentially on stream structures and are covered by the storage component of right 45-257, which also allows for diversion through the Highline diversion. There is no need to measure the water through the spillway, fish ladder, or low level outlet since water flowing through these structures returns directly to Marsh Creek and does not impact flows in Marsh Creek above or below the new dam. The reason there are measuring devices on the outlets at the old (Dewey) dam, is that water diverted through the outlets at the old (Dewey) dam is diverted from Marsh Creek and conveyed to areas other than the Marsh Creek channel below the dam (reduces flow in Marsh Creek).

Hope this helps,

Corey

-----Original Message-----

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [mailto:gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 8:24 PM
To: Skinner, Corey
Cc: Merritt, Allen
Subject: Six S Reservoir!

Corey,

I wonder if you can be of assistance dealing with the new reservoir that 6-S is building. I attended a meeting last Friday at the site. The Parshall flume (36"), I felt very uncomfortable with. I figured, I would be measuring white caps to begin with, but I understand you was there Monday and had requested changes to be made.

My question is about the Slip, Fish Ladder and Main Channel, should they not have a measuring device also! If the Highland delivery and Main channel above remains the same with those measuring devices then the setup resembles what I have currently, with the old reservoir. I have measuring devices on all outlets. Again, I am not sure how they will be using the two reservoirs, will they be enhancing their storage?

I would appreciate any input from IDWR on this matter.

Thank you.

Don Gunderson, Watermaster
Marsh Creek Water District 45F

Merritt, Allen

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 09, 2004 12:23 PM
To: Christensen, Kelly
Cc: Merritt, Allen; Jones, Doug
Subject: Re: Request data on Marsh Creek Water District 45F!

Sorry that it has taken me this long to get back.

It is interesting that Kevin Clark and Mark Anderson has no water right, but they do have pumps in the creek! Also, Alan Clark with a pump and his diversion is off of Land Creek 'LF'.

I will be sending them a letter to get them out of the creek.

Shirley Clark has a new diversion for her pump to water her lawn, does this have any effect on her diversion at 'LF'? I do have a problem with her filling the White Pine return to Land Creek with fertilizer and straw trying to change the channel! Do you have any input that might be applied on this matter other than what the law reads?

Kelly, thanks for the input, that I received, the other individuals are okay at this time. But,

Mark Homan has only been in their new home for a couple of years so I can't go along with what they are claiming on the North side of creek. The only water that ever was put there would be waste water off of Sorensen when they irrigate. As for the pump in the creek, I believe its only been there maybe a little over a year. I found it when I was not balancing out on my two points of measurement on the creek, that is also when I found Kevin's pump. The Homan pump system is very elaborate.

I am getting verbal complaints on this whole deal on Land Creek and if it continues there will be written protests on any diversions where pumps are placed in the creeks. I have been assured of this.

The thing that I need, is a way for those that are legal, can be controlled by next in line when they have no water but are taking it away from the rightful right! This problem will manifest as Water Resources continue to issue them this right as a diversion. We need to really look at this issue because the homes are being built along our creeks.

Thanks again,

Don G. Gunderson,
Watermaster 45F

----- Original Message -----

From: Christensen, Kelly
To: 'KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II'
Cc: Merritt, Allen ; Jones, Doug
Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2004 2:05 PM
Subject: RE: Request data on Marsh Creek Water District 45F!

I don't have a recommendation for a pump for Alan Clark

I don't have a right from Land Creek for Kevin Clark or Marc Anderson.

For Shirley Clark 45-521D I am recognizing a point of diversion just off the road in Sec 13 NENWNE.

I did not recognize a pump site for Grigg.

I did recommend a pump for Allen.

I am not sure where Merv May fits within the owners of 45-529. I don't have a claim in that name.

I recognized 2 pds for Ben Chatburn, I believe that the point of diversion in Sec 6 SENE is for the pump.

9/14/2004

For Mark Homan 45-13856 (a claim against a portion of 45-251A) they just filed a late claim. It will not be a part of the recommendations that go out with the current director's report. They have claimed a pump location in Sec 12 SESE. This would be straight north of their home. I have not made a recommendation for this location but I will be and it should be decreed hopefully next February. They are asserting that the pump has been used periodically over the years primarily to irrigate the ground north of Land Creek but can also be used south of Land Creek

Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [mailto:gundy@safelink.net]

Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2004 9:35 AM

To: Christensen, Kelly

Cc: Doug Jones; Merritt, Allen

Subject: Request data on Marsh Creek Water District 45F!

Hi Kelly,

Need input on any diversion transfers in my Water District that pertain to pumps being used in the creeks and what am I to do in being able to control usage? I see a big problem and already getting verbal protests dealing with pumps in the creek.

Do the following have such transfers or legal diversions that I am not aware of:

Alan Clark, Mark Anderson, Shirley Clark, Mark Homan, Kevin Clark (45F-0521);

Mark Grigg (45F-0276);

Randell Allen, Merv May, (45F-0529);

Ben Chatburn (45F-0307).

Under the current process of the adjudication, I nor the Water District has no means of knowing what is happening in order to protect the next in line beneficial user as the water decreases and with these pumps in the creek. Its going to be a bigger problem as people buy property along the creeks and build, they figure they have a legal right to that water. That is what is happening now along Land Creek. Please, I need input.

Don G. Gunderson,
Watermaster 45F
Marsh Creek Water District

Add Emotion Icons to your Email!



THINKING...



[Click Here](#)

Stanton, Jim

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2004 3:37 PM
To: 'gundy@safelink.net'
Cc: Christensen, Kelly; Jones, Doug; Stanton, Jim; Luke, Tim
Subject: FW: Marsh Creek Water District 45-F

Don,

I have been forwarded the message below and it appears that you probably should double check with Kelly what points of diversion are being recognized and recommended in the SRBA before you send out a letter. Some of these points of diversion may be valid due to an accomplished transfer of sorts. This is not to say that there may be some illegal points of diversion that properly should be shut off. I guess I'm a little uncomfortable until certain that all the dust is settled after SRBA is finished with their review and you have been provided an updated list of diversions.

Allen

-----Original Message-----

From: Christensen, Kelly
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2004 1:46 PM
To: Merritt, Allen; Stanton, Jim
Subject: FW: Marsh Creek Water District 45-F

I have not reviewed the rights on his list but I suspect that I have recommended one or more of the pump sites as valid points of diversion. Not sure though.

KKC

-----Original Message-----

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [mailto:gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2004 10:35 AM
To: Doug Jones; Christensen, Kelly
Subject: Marsh Creek Water District 45-F

Doug & Kelly,

I am sending this attachment to you and Doug for a authorization from IDWR to send this to some of my known violators since I have walked my creeks and found these pumps. Which I only know of one that has a legal diversion point. At this point of time no one has a valid call for water.

Please let me know of the validity of this memo.

*Respectively submitted;
Don G. Gunderson*

8/6/2004

Stanton, Jim

From: Christensen, Kelly
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2004 1:46 PM
To: Merritt, Allen; Stanton, Jim
Subject: FW: Marsh Creek Water District 45-F

I have not reviewed the rights on his list but I suspect that I have recommended one or more of the pump sites as valid points of diversion. Not sure though.

KKC

-----Original Message-----

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [mailto:gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2004 10:35 AM
To: Doug Jones; Christensen, Kelly
Subject: Marsh Creek Water District 45-F

Doug & Kelly,

I am sending this attachment to you and Doug for a authorization from IDWR to send this to some of my known violators since I have walked my creeks and found these pumps. Which I only know of one that has a legal diversion point. At this point of time no one has a valid call for water.

Please let me know of the validity of this memo.

*Respectively submitted;
Don G. Gunderson*

8/5/2004