

Telephone
CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

DATE 1/17/96

FILE 57D

NAME OF PARTY Paul Nettleton

Sinker Crk.

EMPLOYEE JFW. Western Reg.

RE: Watermaster Salary 1995

Mr. Cook of the Owyhee Co Courthouse called saying the water dist.
account was short to pay the watermaster \$1500+ in the account.

Mr. Nettleton stated he would take of it, and contact the county.

Day & he would take care of the shortage.

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

DATE 7-19-95FILE WD 570NAME OF PARTY Mary BlackstockEMPLOYEE Cindy HodgesRE: Implementation of Control Device Order.

Pursuant to meeting of 7-18-95 w/ Paul N. & Craig Baker, I asked Mary to express her feelings about controlling works on the Nettleton property.

Mary agreed that as long as all Nettleton water is being used adjacent to Sinker Creek, there is not a pressing need for control works, as the capacity of the Nettleton system is very near the water right limit of ~21 cfs, especially at lower creek flows.

However, there are significant delays caused in delivery of the Nahas 180 m.i. contract flows when Nettleton turns water into Murphy Mutual Canal. Nettleton diversions below ~~the~~ MMC are not adjusted in a timely fashion by the water user. This ~~a~~ happened on at least one occasion early this season when a delay of 5-6 days was experienced between the time flows were turned to MMC and the time Nahas received adequate water. Mary feels response time when the channel is wetted should be no more than 24-30 hrs. I agreed.

Mary indicated she had discussed this with Paul last Monday, July 17.

7-18-95 Craig Baker, Paul Nettleton, DRT, CH
re: Sinker Ck Measuring Devices

- Paul cannot see the need for control works when Nohas Ranch is satisfied - request waiver
- Paul believes WM is doing excellent job
- It would be less efficient for Nettleton Ranch to take just one diversion
- Nohas Ranch does not need a lockable device.
- Craig & Paul are working together well in delivery of minimum flow agreement (180")
- Spills to Snake R. averaging 2 cfs?
- Hulet inflow down to ~5 cfs, weir installed.

CONCLUSIONS:

- Dealing with issues of futile call where Hulet is concerned - all excess flows are generated below the Hulet P/O
- Need to get WM feedback on control devices for Nettleton. - See CONV. MEMO 7-19-95
- Can hold for now and let Order Stand?
- Need to make ^{final} field check of new devices, and measure Sinker Ck again (response to Paul's request re: upper weir placement)

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

DATE 7-11-95

FILE 57-0

NAME OF PARTY Jay Hulet

EMPLOYEE Steve Leth

RE: Call for Water Delivery

Mr. Hulet called this a.m. & said needs a water change for tomorrow. Was told that Wm (Mary B.) is out of town.

I referred him to Acting Wm Connie Brandon (495-2529) for any Wm items.