

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
IN-OFFICE REVIEW/BENEFICIAL USE FIELD REPORT

A. GENERAL INFORMATIONExam Date: 8/31/2026

1. Does this qualify for an in-office field exam (IDAPA 37.03.02.035.01.q)? X Y N
- a. X Irrigation of 5 acres or less
- b. Storage of up to 14.6 AF for stockwater purposes only
- c. Any use other than irrigation or storage, if the combined diversion rate is 0.24 cfs or less
- d. Other < >

Comment: N/A

2. Current Owner: Karen and Randall Hunter

Owner of Record Correct? X Y NAddress of Record Correct? X Y NIf No Explain: N/A

3. Beneficial Use Fees have been paid:
- X
- Y
-
- N Receipt No: C127445

4. Source: Snake River
- Tributary: Columbia River

Method of Determination: GIS, Idaho Tax Parcel Data, and the Permit Holders Statement of Completion for Proof of Beneficial Use (Proof Statement).

Change in Source: Y N**B. OVERLAP REVIEW**

1. Other water rights with the same place of use: Overlap ?
- X
- Yes
-
- No

Water Right No.	Source	Purpose of Use	Basis
2-2072	Snake River	Irrigation	Decree
57-7215	Groundwater	Domestic	Decree

Comments: Water Rights (WR) 2-2072 and 57-7215 overlap the proposed place of use (POU) according to the GIS shapefile. WR 2-2072 is under the ownership of the Opaline Irrigation District according to Department records. The permit holder indicated on their application for permit they have shares with the irrigation district, but they are not deliverable. Therefore, there is no reason to limit use when combined with the existing WR. Department Records indicate 57-7215 does not actually overlap the permitted POU.

2. Other water rights with the same point of diversion: Overlap ?
-
- Yes
- X
- No

Water Right No.	Source	Purpose of Use	Basis
N/A			

Comments: There are no other WR's overlapping the permitted point of diversion (POD).

C. DIVERSION AND DELIVERY SYSTEM

1. Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

Source	Govt. Lot	¼	¼	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.		County
Snake River	1	SE	SE	6	01N	03W	B.M.	Owyhee

Method of Determination: GIS and Idaho Tax Parcel Data.Change in POD? Y X N Amendment Required? Y X N

2. Place of Use: Irrigation

TWP	RGE	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
01N	03W	6															4.5		4.5
		Lot #																	
Total Acres =																			4.5

Method of Determination: GIS, Idaho Tax Parcel Data, and 2025 NAIP/June 2022 Google Earth Aerial Imagery.Change in POU? Y X N Amendment Required? Y X N

3. Diversion System Information

Description: N/A Delivery System Diagram Attached. (mark all major components and distances between components) X Aerial Photo indicating the location of the recommended POD and POU (required). X Additional Aerial Photography. Photo of Diversion and System Attached

3. Diversion Id No.*	Motor Make	HP	Motor Serial No.	Pump Make	Pump Serial No. or Discharge Size
N/A					

*Code to correspond with No. on map and aerial photo

D. FLOW MEASUREMENTS

1. Measurement Equipment	Type	Make	Model No.	Serial No.	Size	Calib. Date
N/A						

2. Measurement Comments: No measurement is required for permits qualifying for an in-office examination according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules.

E. FLOW CALCULATIONS

 Additional Computation Sheets Attached

Measured Method: 2025 NAIP/June 2022 Google Earth Aerial Imagery confirmed the development of 4.5 acres of irrigation. According to Administrative Application Processing Memo #17 the standard diversion rate/acre for the irrigation of 5 acres or less is 0.03 cfs/acre. However, the calculated diversion rate is greater than authorization on the permit. Therefore, I am recommending the permitted diversion rate, 0.12 cfs.

(4.5 confirmed acres of irrigation) * (0.03 cfs/acre) = 0.135 or 0.14 cfs rounded

Administrative Application Processing Memo #17 Standard = 0.14 cfs

Permit allowed = 0.12 cfs

Total Recommendation = 0.12 cfsComments: N/A

F. VOLUME CALCULATIONS

1. Volume Calculations for Irrigation:

$$V_{I.R.} = (\text{Acres Irrigated}) \times (\text{Irrigation Requirement}) = \underline{\text{See Comment}}$$

$$V_{D.R.} = [\text{Diversion Rate (cfs)}] \times (\text{Days in Irrigation season}) \times 1.9835 = \underline{\text{See Comment}}$$

$$V = \text{Smaller of } V_{I.R.} \text{ and } V_{D.R.} = \underline{\text{See Comment}}$$
2. Volume Calculations for Other Uses: N/A

Comments: The permit authorized irrigation diverting from a natural flowing river. If a permit authorizes irrigation diverting from a natural flowing stream/river or spring a recorded diversion volume is not required according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules 37.03.02.035.01h.i.viii.

G. PURPOSE OF USE

Irrigation X Y N # Stock N/A Domestic # of Homes N/A Change in Purpose of Use? Y X NMethod of Determination: GIS, Idaho Tax Parcel Data, 2025 NAIP/June 2022 Google Earth Aerial Imagery, and the permit holders Proof Statement.

H. NARRATIVE/REMARKS/COMMENTS

This permit qualifies for an in-office licensing examination. The permit authorized 5.0 acres of irrigation diverting from the Snake River. 2025 NAIP/June 2022 Google Earth Aerial Imagery confirmed the development of 4.5 acres of irrigation within the development period. WR 2-2072 overlaps the permitted POU and is owned by the Opaline Irrigation District. The permit holder indicated they have share with the irrigation district but is not deliverable to the POU. Therefore, there is no reason to limit use when combined. WR 57-7215's POU GIS shapefile overlaps the permitted POU. However, Department records confirmed there is no actual overlap.

The standards set in Administrative Application Processing Memo #17 was utilized to make a diversion rate recommendation. However, the calculated rate was greater than the diversion rate on the permit. Therefore, I am recommending the permitted diversion rate. Since the permit authorizes diversion from a natural flowing river a recorded diversion volume is not required at licensing according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules 37.03.02.035.01h.i.viii. All conditions of permit approval have been met, and development of beneficial use was confirmed within authorization on the permit. Therefore, I am recommending a 0.12 cfs diversion rate.

Conditions R69, 01M, and 004 should remain on the license. Condition 121 should be changed to 103 as is standard with licensing.

Have conditions of permit approval been met? ☒ Yes ☐ No

I. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Recommended Amounts:

<u>BENEFICIAL USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>DIVERSION RATE</u>
Irrigation	03/01 – 11/15	0.12 CFS
<u>Totals:</u>		0.12 CFS

2. Recommended Amendments:

☐ Change P.D. as reflected above ☐ Add P.D. as reflected above ☒ None

☐ Change P.U. as reflected above ☐ Add P.U. as reflected above ☒ None

J. AUTHENTICATION

Field Examiner: Skyler Cheever – Water Resource Agent, Sr – State Office

Field Examiner's  Date 8/31/2026

Reviewer  Date 9/9/26

Field Exam Map

Map showing the authorized point of diversion (yellow point) and place of use (orange outline).



June 2022 Google Earth Aerial Imagery

