

STATE OF IDAHO  
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
**IN-OFFICE REVIEW/BENEFICIAL USE FIELD REPORT**

**A. GENERAL INFORMATION**Exam Date: 8/26/2026

1. Does this qualify for an in-office field exam (IDAPA 37.03.02.035.01.q)?   X   Y    N
- a.   X   Irrigation of 5 acres or less
- b.    Storage of up to 14.6 AF for stockwater purposes only
- c.    Any use other than irrigation or storage, if the combined diversion rate is 0.24 cfs or less
- d.    Other

Comment: N/A

2. Current Owner: Timothy and Catherine Dingey

Owner of Record Correct?   X   Y    NAddress of Record Correct?   X   Y    NIf No Explain: N/A

3. Beneficial Use Fees have been paid:   X   Y    N Receipt No: E051858

4. Source: Sheep Creek Tributary: North Fork Salmon River

Method of Determination: GIS, Idaho Tax Parcel Data, and the Permit Holders Statement of Completion for Proof of Beneficial Use (Proof Statement).

Change in Source:    Y   X   N**B. OVERLAP REVIEW**

1. Other water rights with the same place of use: Overlap ?   X   Yes    No

| Water Right No. | Source      | Purpose of Use | Basis   |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| 75-7526         | Sheep Creek | Irrigation     | License |
| 75-10596        | Groundwater | Domestic       | Decree  |

Comments: Water Rights (WR) 75-7526 and 75-10596 overlap the permitted place of use (POU). WR 75-7526 is also owned by the permit holder, overlaps the permitted point of diversion (POD), and authorizes irrigation according to Department records. However, according to the application for permit the permitted acres do not directly overlap the existing WR's POU. WR 75-10596 authorizes a different beneficial use from a different source. Therefore, there is no reason to limit these permits when combined with existing WR's

2. Other water rights with the same point of diversion: Overlap ?   X   Yes    No

| Water Right No. | Source      | Purpose of Use | Basis  |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| 75-7526         | Sheep Creek | Irrigation     | Decree |

Comments: WR 75-7526 overlaps the permitted POD and is owned by the permit holder according to Department Records. However, since the permitted POU does not directly overlap and the number of acres and rate when combined would be additive there is no reason to add a combined use limit.

**C. DIVERSION AND DELIVERY SYSTEM**

1. Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

| Source      | Govt. Lot | ¼  | ¼  | Sec. | Twp. | Rge. |      | County |
|-------------|-----------|----|----|------|------|------|------|--------|
| Sheep Creek |           | SE | NW | 14   | 25N  | 21E  | B.M. | Lemhi  |

Method of Determination: GIS and Idaho Tax Parcel Data.Change in POD?    Y   X   N Amendment Required?    Y   X   N

## 2. Place of Use: Irrigation, Stockwater

| TWP           | RGE | Sec   | NE     |    |    |    | NW |     |    |    | SW |    |    |    | SE |    |    |    | Totals |
|---------------|-----|-------|--------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
|               |     |       | NE     | NW | SW | SE | NE | NW  | SW | SE | NE | NW | SW | SE | NE | NW | SW | SE |        |
| 25N           | 21E | 14    |        |    |    |    |    | 0.4 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 0.4    |
| 25N           | 21E | 15    | 2.4, S |    |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2.4    |
|               |     | Lot # |        |    |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |        |
| Total Acres = |     |       |        |    |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2.8    |

Method of Determination: GIS and Idaho Tax Parcel Data, and 2025 NAIP Aerial Imagery.Change in POU?     Y   X  N Amendment Required?     Y   X  N

## 3. Diversion System Information

Description: N/A     Delivery System Diagram Attached. (mark all major components and distances between components)  X   Aerial Photo indicating the location of the recommended POD and POU (required).  X   Additional Aerial Photography.     Photo of Diversion and System Attached

| 3. Diversion Id No.* | Motor Make | HP | Motor Serial No. | Pump Make | Pump Serial No. or Discharge Size |
|----------------------|------------|----|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| N/A                  |            |    |                  |           |                                   |

\*Code to correspond with No. on map and aerial photo

## D. FLOW MEASUREMENTS

| 1. Measurement Equipment | Type | Make | Model No. | Serial No. | Size | Calib. Date |
|--------------------------|------|------|-----------|------------|------|-------------|
| N/A                      |      |      |           |            |      |             |

2. Measurement Comments: No measurement is required for permits qualifying for an in-office examination according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules.

## E. FLOW CALCULATIONS

     Additional Computation Sheets Attached

Measured Method: 2025 NAIP Aerial Imagery and July 2026 Google Street View Imagery confirmed the development of stockwater and 2.8 acres of irrigation within the development period. According to Administrative Application Processing Memo #17 the standard diversion rate/acre for the irrigation of 5 acres or less is 0.03 cfs/acre. However, a condition on the permit limits the diversion rate/acre to 0.02 cfs/acre. According to Administrative Application Processing Memo #3 and the 1982 Annual Stockwater Use Table the standard diversion rate for 2 horses and 12 range cattle is 0.02 cfs. I am recommending a 0.08 cfs diversion rate total.

(2.8 confirmed acres of irrigation) \* (0.02 cfs/acre) = 0.056 or 0.06 cfs rounded

Administrative Application Processing Memo #3 and 1982 Annual Stockwater Use Table = 0.02 cfs

Irrigation Diversion Rate Calculation = 0.06 cfs

Permit allowed = 0.08 cfs

**Total Recommendation = 0.08 cfs**Comments: N/A

**F. VOLUME CALCULATIONS**

## 1. Volume Calculations for Irrigation:

$$V_{I.R.} = (\text{Acres Irrigated}) \times (\text{Irrigation Requirement}) = \text{See Comment}$$

$$V_{D.R.} = [\text{Diversion Rate (cfs)}] \times (\text{Days in Irrigation season}) \times 1.9835 = \text{See Comment}$$

$$V = \text{Smaller of } V_{I.R.} \text{ and } V_{D.R.} = \text{See Comment}$$

2. Volume Calculations for Other Uses: According to Administrative Application Processing Memo #3 and the 1982 Annual Stockwater Use Table the standard diversion volume for 2 horses and 12 range cattle is 0.2 af. I am recommending a 0.2 af diversion volume for stockwater use.

$$(2 \text{ horses and } 12 \text{ range cattle}) \times (0.0134) = 0.1876 \text{ or } 0.2 \text{ af rounded}$$

Comments: The permit authorizes irrigation diverting from a natural flowing stream. If a permit authorized irrigation diverting from a natural flowing stream/river or spring a recorded diversion volume is not required at licensing according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules 37.03.02.035.01h.i.viii.

**G. PURPOSE OF USE**

Irrigation X Y \_\_\_ N # Stock 2 Horses and 12 Range Cattle Domestic # of Homes N/A

Change in Purpose of Use? \_\_\_ Y X \_\_\_ N

Method of Determination: GIS, Idaho Tax Parcel Data, 2025 NAIP Aerial Imagery/July 2026 Google Earth Street View Imagery, and the permit holders Proof Statement.

**H. NARRATIVE/REMARKS/COMMENTS**

This permit qualifies for an in-office licensing examination. The permit authorized stockwater for 2 horses & 12 range cattle and the irrigation of 2.8 acres of irrigation diverting from Sheep Creek. 2025 NAIP Aerial Imagery and July 2026 Google Earth Streetview Aerial Imagery confirmed the development of stockwater use and the irrigation of 2.8 acres within the development period. Confirmation of stockwater use included two horses and stockwater tanks visible within the permitted POU. WR 75-7526 overlaps the permitted POD but does not directly overlap the POU. However, there is no reason to limit use when combined because the acre and rate total would be additive. WR 75-10596 overlaps the permitted POU. However, since it is for a different use and source there is no reason to limit use when combined.

A condition on the permit requires the installation of a measuring device and lockable controlling works. Another condition requires the permit holder to install a fish screen meeting the fish screening a passage requirements of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game. The permit holder confirmed on their Proof Statement a measuring device, lockable controlling works, and fish screen was installed on the diversion system. The standards set in Administrative Application Processing Memo #3 were utilized to make a diversion rate and volume recommendation for stockwater use. Since the permit authorized irrigation diverting from a natural flowing stream a recorded diversion volume is not required at licensing according to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules 37.03.02.035.01h.i.viii. All conditions of permit approval have been met, and the development of beneficial use was confirmed within authorization on the permit. Therefore, I am recommending a 0.08 cfs diversion rate and 0.2 af diversion volume for stockwater use.

Conditions N15, R05, R43, R63, and 004 should remain on the license. Condition 01H should be removed because condition R43 already requires the permit holder to maintain a lockable controlling works. Condition X02 should be removed as standard with licensing. Condition 029 should be removed because the permit holder confirmed they installed a fish screen meeting the fish screening and passage requirements of the Idaho Department of Fish and Game.

Have conditions of permit approval been met? X Yes \_\_\_ No

**I. RECOMMENDATIONS**

## 1. Recommended Amounts:

| <u>BENEFICIAL USE</u> | <u>PERIOD OF USE</u>  | <u>DIVERSION RATE</u> | <u>ANNUAL VOLUME</u> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Irrigation            | 04/01 – 10/31         | 0.06 CFS              | N/A                  |
| Stockwater            | 01/01 – 12/31         | 0.02 CFS              | 0.2 AF               |
|                       | <b><u>Totals:</u></b> | <b>0.08 CFS</b>       | <b>N/A</b>           |

## 2. Recommended Amendments:

☐ Change P.D. as reflected above    ☐ Add P.D. as reflected above    ☒ None

☐ Change P.U. as reflected above    ☐ Add P.U. as reflected above    ☒ None

**J. AUTHENTICATION****Field Examiner: Skyler Cheever – Water Resource Agent, Sr – State Office**

Field Examiner's  Date 8/27/2020

Reviewer  Date 9/9/20

## Field Exam Map

Map showing the authorized point of diversion (yellow point), irrigation place of use (orange outline), and stockwater place of use (green outline).



July 2026 Google Earth Street View Imagery

