

STATUS CONFERENCE NOTES:

RIGHT NO. PIONEER ID RTS.

DATE: 6-8-10

63-2529 & 5219 - Motion will be filed
& add cond. use condition on 5219.
by D. Starnak.

63-32584 - No objections OK & go.
63-2114 - SF5 filed.

63-5255 - XMINO will be put on this one.
Also will have pre-1987 info & Jan
by next week. 10:30 on 8/10/10. } NO
SF5

63-32513 - Will withdraw claim. Did
withdraw to objection. SMRR will be done.
63-2891 - SF5 filed.

63-21706 - SF5 done

Only 9109

All 9/8 status conf.

Subject: Pioneer ID Updates – 2-22-10

This is a fast update (on the info I have) for Pioneer's remaining rights. I am putting them in order of court timing for tomorrow:

63-21706 – Pioneer ok with .1 cfs. We are ok with Ada rather than Canyon & zip code of course. SOU objection was the hold up – Andy wanted the SOU remark removed because this is a 1961 priority dated right which is junior to all the reservoirs anyway and he thought it was useless. Was to talk with BPBC & BOR about it and if they agree to remove SOU remark per Andy's idea, then ready for SF5. Otherwise, not ready for SF5 now.

Andy has not got back to me on this and I do not know if he has spoken to BPBC or BOR. SM Booth mentioned using 63-230M language if season of use is left on. I have in my notes that the only changes to the season of use language would be in the 1st sentence as follows "Diversion under this right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur..." nothing else changed in the remark and it looks like it leaves out the [for irrigation] portion of that first sentence. Pioneer may have spoken to BPBC and BOR at this point and is ready to drop their objection or remove the season of use remark. We can talk about this later.

SOU OK READY FOR SF5

63-21713 – Claim was for 15 cfs and we recommended 11.2 cfs from ERO measurement (fall). We allowed them to do a summer measurement and they came back with 17.9 cfs. Pioneer only claimed 15 cfs, so at the time I spoke with Andy that was ok to recommend 15 cfs. I did not find out until today when I looked in the file that Wilder withdrew it's objection, so basically as long as Andy is still ok with the 15 cfs we can do an SF5 on this one.

SF5 prepared – Nick signed off on 9/21/09 and I signed off on 9/23/09. I hand delivered the SF5 to Dylan Lawrence for Andy on 9-24-09 at a meeting. I think Andy did say it got to him a while later.

SF5 OK Andy we look for OK

63-2891 & 63-32513 – Rec. as applied, permitted and licensed. Objection wanted 13 cfs. ERO measured 10.6 cfs. PID ok with the 10 cfs on 63-2891. Want us to delete the wastewater condition even though not objected to. NOTE: 63-32513 filed for the same pod, Dave disallowed because Scott wrote letter that stated the bigger pump was installed in 1983. They can file a new application for permit for any extra water (.6 cfs). Andy was going to get back to me on whether they wanted to continue the .6 cfs portion of the objection. Will also need NMID settlement language as used in 63-2275. Not ready for SF5s.

Just need to know what Pioneer (Andy) has decided to do on this one.

63-5255 – Objected to quantity. Still no measurement submitted – Andy feels there was a measurement done and he is still tracking it down. Wants to add another pod now and I told him to get me some evidence it was in there before 1987. Needs NMID Settlement language also. Not ready for SF5.

Awaiting measurement and pre-1987 info. NOT READY FOR SF5

63-2114 – Claimed and licensed for 8.64 cfs – recommended that way. Objection wants 20 cfs. ERO measured 10.2 cfs. Pioneer is now ok with 8.64 cfs, however, they want the remaining 1.56 cfs to be recommended on their Claim No. **63-32584**. This was a claim that came in between 12-6 and 12-14 the year I filed the report on 12-12. So, it did not get recommended in the report and needs to have an ADR filed in the fall report. Both of these need the NMID settlement language on them. I have evidence of a larger diversion flow from the back file on 63-2114 and the measurement so should be able to recommend claim. Probably won't want SF5 signed until claim gets decreed. Andy will need to speak to that, so no SF5 done on 63-2114 yet.

1.6 cfs

no objection filed OK

Needs for NMID lang. Copy emails Nick OK on SF5

SF5

← *unconscionable*
An ADR was filed for 63-32584, objection period passed on 2-01-10 with no objections. Can do an SF5 on 63-2114 adding the NMID settlement language and changing the zip code. Question is does Andy want to wait until 63-32584 is decreed or do the SF5 on 63-2114 now since the objection period has passed.

*add NMID
on 63-32584*

*ok to
do an
SF5 on
2114
yes per
memo
Andy
is going
to sign
SF5's
prepare
SF5*

63-2529 – This is the one we have submitted the SF5's to Dylan Lawrence in a draft form showing Indian Creek as 63-2529B for the 10 cfs, 63-2529A for 87 cfs with the condition that when they are combined they cannot exceed 87 cfs, which was the total of the license to begin with. We will then recommend 63-2529 as disallowed and recommended as 63-2529A & 2529B. I did limit this Indian Creek right together with their other Indian Creek right, 63-5219 to a total of 18.4 cfs when both are in use. This is based on the measurement submitted for Pioneer by ERO. This was involved in the AF case, so AF will stay on groundwater portion 63-2529A.

63-9109 – AF objection settled. Will leave af amount on. Can change zip code. This leaves the Season of Use objection. It appears they may want to keep that remark since it gives them an earlier beginning season of use. It is our typical shoulder remark for those licensed rights where the season of use was different than our standards now. If the season of use licensed was smaller than the standard. We added the shoulder remark. Other than that, I think this one is ready for an SF5. Just need to hear what Andy has to say.

& 63-32580
63-166A, B, 167A, B, 179A, C – Things have become complicated. I believe there will be a request to vacate the scheduling conference and put on hold for some time. Many factors to consider and the attorneys are working at agreements on each side.

Please let me know tomorrow morning if there is something I missed or that is entirely wrong. I have been gone due to Bob's surgery and hurried through this memo. Glad to change it.

Jan

→ *also 63-32580 late claim objected*

*Jon Gould hasn't heard anything except
to ask FRANKLIN Ditch Co & Mason Cr.
ditch Co. to add Pioneer Surg. Dist.
lands
Paul Amington - Representing Mason Creek now.
Trial sched. for Dec. 14, 15 & 16th
Status conf. set for June 8th at 11:30.*

2FS
draft
3-3-10IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCE
RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 63-21706

NAME AND ADDRESS: PIONEER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
PO BOX 426
CALDWELL ID 83605 83606

SOURCE: BOISE RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.100 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 04/01/1961

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T04N R01W S24 NENW Within ADA ~~CANYON~~ CountyPURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:PURPOSE OF USEPERIOD OF USEQUANTITY

IRRIGATION

03/01 11/15

0.100 CFS

Diversion for irrigation under this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur only when the natural flow in the Boise River below the Star Bridge in Township 04 North, Range 01 West, Section 18, NE¼ of the SE¼ of the SE¼, Boise Meridian (approximate river mile 33.9) is sufficient for water to be available for diversion at the point of diversion described above, provided that any such use of this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall be subordinated to water rights for storage in Lucky Peak Reservoir, Lake Lowell, Arrowrock Reservoir, and/or Anderson Ranch Reservoir, as decreed in SRBA Case No. 39576.

PLACE OF USE:

34204.61 ACRES TOTAL

The boundary encompassing the place of use for this water right is described with a digital boundary as defined by I.C. Section 42-202B(2) and authorized pursuant to I.C. Section 42-1411(2)(h). The data comprising the digital boundary are incorporated herein by reference and are stored on a CD-ROM disk issued in duplicate originals on file with the SRBA District Court and the Idaho Department of Water Resources. A map depicting the place of use is attached hereto to illustrate the place of use described by the digital boundary.

This right is limited to the irrigation of 34,204.61 acres within the boundary of the Pioneer Irrigation District.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

Sent to Xon on
3/3/10 for draft

READY FOR SFS.
Court has correct zip

03/03/2010

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 63-21706
NAME AND ADDRESS: PIONEER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
PO BOX 426
CALDWELL ID 83606 OK
SOURCE: BOISE RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER
QUANTITY: 0.100 CFS
PRIORITY DATE: 04/01/1961
POINT OF DIVERSION:
T04N R01W S24 NENW Within CANYON County
PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	03/01 11/15	0.100 CFS
Diversion for irrigation under this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur only when the natural flow in the Boise River below the Star Bridge in Township 04 North, Range 01 West, Section 18, NE¼ of the SE¼ of the SE¼, Boise Meridian (approximate river mile 33.9) is sufficient for water to be available for diversion at the point of diversion described above, provided that any such use of this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall be subordinated to water rights for storage in Lucky Peak Reservoir, Lake Lowell, Arrowrock Reservoir, and/or Anderson Ranch Reservoir, as decreed in SRBA Case No. 39576.		

PLACE OF USE:

34204.61 ACRES TOTAL

The boundary encompassing the place of use for this water right is described with a digital boundary as defined by I.C. Section 42-202B(2) and authorized pursuant to I.C. Section 42-1411(2)(h). The data comprising the digital boundary are incorporated herein by reference and are stored on a CD-ROM disk issued in duplicate originals on file with the SRBA District Court and the Idaho Department of Water Resources. A map depicting the place of use is attached hereto to illustrate the place of use described by the digital boundary.

This right is limited to the irrigation of 34,204.61 acres within the boundary of the Pioneer Irrigation District.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

all 6/8 status conf.

Subject: Pioneer ID Updates – 2-22-10

This is a fast update (on the info I have) for Pioneer's remaining rights. I am putting them in order of court timing for tomorrow:

63-21706 – Pioneer ok with .1 cfs. We are ok with Ada rather than Canyon & zip code of course. SOU objection was the hold up – Andy wanted the SOU remark removed because this is a 1961 priority dated right which is junior to all the reservoirs anyway and he thought it was useless. Was to talk with BPBC & BOR about it and if they agree to remove SOU remark per Andy's idea, then ready for SF5. Otherwise, not ready for SF5 now.

Andy has not got back to me on this and I do not know if he has spoken to BPBC or BOR. SM Booth mentioned using 63-230M language if season of use is left on. I have in my notes that the only changes to the season of use language would be in the 1st sentence as follows "Diversion under this right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur...." nothing else changed in the remark and it looks like it leaves out the [for irrigation] portion of that first sentence. Pioneer may have spoken to BPBC and BOR at this point and is ready to drop their objection or remove the season of use remark. We can talk about this later.

*SOU
OK
READY
FOR SF5*

63-21713 – Claim was for 15 cfs and we recommended 11.2 cfs from ERO measurement (fall). We allowed them to do a summer measurement and they came back with 17.9 cfs. Pioneer only claimed 15 cfs, so at the time I spoke with Andy that was ok to recommend 15 cfs. I did not find out until today when I looked in the file that Wilder withdrew it's objection, so basically as long as Andy is still ok with the 15 cfs we can do an SF5 on this one.

*SF5 OK
Andy we
look for on*

SF5 prepared – Nick signed off on 9/21/09 and I signed off on 9/23/09. I hand delivered the SF5 to Dylan Lawrence for Andy on 9-24-09 at a meeting. I think Andy did say it got to him a while later.

63-2891 & 63-32513 – Rec. as applied, permitted and licensed. Objection wanted 13 cfs. ERO measured 10.6 cfs. PID ok with the 10 cfs on 63-2891. Want us to delete the wastewater condition even though not objected to. NOTE: 63-32513 filed for the same pod, Dave disallowed because Scott wrote letter that stated the bigger pump was installed in 1983. They can file a new application for permit for any extra water (.6 cfs). Andy was going to get back to me on whether they wanted to continue the .6 cfs portion of the objection. Will also need NMID settlement language as used in 63-2275. Not ready for SF5s.

*10 cfs
OK -*

*will withdraw
63-32513*

Just need to know what Pioneer (Andy) has decided to do on this one.

63-5255 – Objected to quantity. Still no measurement submitted – Andy feels there was a measurement done and he is still tracking it down. Wants to add another pod now and I told him to get me some evidence it was in there before 1987. Needs NMID Settlement language also. Not ready for SF5.

Awaiting measurement and pre-1987 info. *NOT READY FOR SF5*

1.6 cfs

63-2114 – Claimed and licensed for 8.64 cfs – recommended that way. Objection wants 20 cfs. ERO measured 10.2 cfs. Pioneer is now ok with 8.64 cfs, however, they want the remaining 1.56 cfs to be recommended on their Claim No. **63-32584**. This was a claim that came in between 12-6 and 12-14 the year I filed the report on 12-12. So, it did not get recommended in the report and needs to have an ADR filed in the fall report. Both of these need the NMID settlement language on them. I have evidence of a larger diversion flow from the back file on 63-2114 and the measurement so should be able to recommend claim. Probably won't want SF5 signed until claim gets decreed. Andy will need to speak to that, so no SF5 done on 63-2114 yet.

← *unsubmitted overleap.*
An ADR was filed for **63-32584**, objection period passed on 2-01-10 with no objections. Can do an SF5 on **63-2114** adding the NMID settlement language and changing the zip code. Question is does Andy want to wait until 63-32584 is decreed or do the SF5 on 63-2114 now since the objection period has passed.

*add NMID
on 63-32584?*

*ok to
do an
SF5 on
2114*

63-2529 – This is the one we have submitted the SF5's to Dylan Lawrence in a draft form showing Indian Creek as 63-2529B for the 10 cfs, 63-2529A for 87 cfs with the condition that when they are combined they cannot exceed 87 cfs, which was the total of the license to begin with. We will then recommend 63-2529 as disallowed and recommended as 63-2529A & 2529B. I did limit this Indian Creek right together with their other Indian Creek right, 63-5219 to a total of 18.4 cfs when both are in use. This is based on the measurement submitted for Pioneer by ERO. This was involved in the AF case, so AF will stay on groundwater portion 63-2529A.

*Andy
is going
to sign
SF5*

63-9109 – AF objection settled. Will leave af amount on. Can change zip code. This leaves the Season of Use objection. It appears they may want to keep that remark since it gives them an earlier beginning season of use. It is our typical shoulder remark for those licensed rights where the season of use was different than our standards now. If the season of use licensed was smaller than the standard. We added the shoulder remark. Other than that, I think this one is ready for an SF5. Just need to hear what Andy has to say.

*Prepare
SF5*

63-32580

63-166A, B, 167A, B, 179A, C – Things have become complicated. I believe there will be a request to vacate the scheduling conference and put on hold for some time. Many factors to consider and the attorneys are working at agreements on each side.

Please let me know tomorrow morning if there is something I missed or that is entirely wrong. I have been gone due to Bob's surgery and hurried through this memo. Glad to change it.

Jan

→ *also 63-32580 late claim objected*

*Jon Gould hasn't heard anything except
to ask FRANKLIN Ditch Co & Macon Ch.
ditch Co. to add Pioneer Surg. Ditch.
lands
Paul Arington - Representing Macon Creek now.
Trial sched. for Dec. 14, 15 & 16th
Status conf. set for June 8th at 11:30.*

From 63-230M

Possible settlement in 63-21706

Comment: Per Court Hearing and ruling of Special Master Ted Booth the language in the period of use remark under this right was changed from what appeared on the SF5 as follows (1st sentence only) - Diversion under this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur - nothing else changed in the entire paragraph from that which was signed off on and proposed under the SF5. Order will be following this change here.



NOT
HEEDED
on 63-21706
after all

From: Shurte, Jan

Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 2:46 PM

To: Spencer, Nick; Williams, Ray; Harris, Lori

Subject: Pioneer ID Updates

This is an update (on the info I have) for Pioneer's. Don't quote me on this, it's just to follow along. Andy should have the updates on these for the conference. If not, here's my best take from all my notes.

63-5199 – Received ERO's measurement copy on 9/2/2009 (last week) from Andy. Measurement was taken in 7/07 and no copy given to us or found until recently. Agreed to add a pod and up the diversion rate to 3.8 cfs per amount filed on claim. Actual measured amount for both wells is 4.02 cfs. Zip code and Pioneer is ok on the acre foot amount on this one since it is based on b/u. Ready to do SF5.

63-5200 – Pioneer ok with cfs and acre foot amount now. Agreed to put that one of the wells is on the centerline between the SENE and NESE, S34 and put both of those pods on the right. It is on the line per gps by ERO. Also corrected AF amount under POU – was 3,989.8 AF/YR and should be 3,898.8. Total was correct. Ready to do SF5.

63-166A, B, 167A, B, 179A, C – All were going to settle on language that basically stated that Mason Creek and Franklin could not file a transfer to change the pod, pou or nou unless Pioneer was first contacted and ok'd it. Then, Andy mentioned something along the line that he was talking with Scott and realized that when Mason Creek &/or Franklin Ditch do not need their water (for whatever reason) then it goes on down and irrigates Pioneer lands, so now they were wanting to put their pou on Franklin and Mason Creek's rights. It kind of goes back to why they may have filed competing rights anyway. We will likely hear what the outcome of their discussions were. Not ready for SF5s.

63-2891 & 63-32513 – Rec. as applied, permitted and licensed. Objection wanted 13 cfs. ERO measured 10.6 cfs. PID ok with the 10 cfs on 63-2891. Want us to delete the wastewater condition even though not objected to. NOTE: 63-32513 filed for the same pod, Dave disallowed because Scott wrote letter that stated the bigger pump went in 1983. They can file a new application for permit for any extra water (.6 cfs). Andy was going to get back to me on whether they wanted to continue the .6 cfs portion of the objection. Will also need NMID settlement language as used in 63-2275. Not ready for SF5s.

63-5255 – Objected to quantity. Still no measurement submitted – Andy feels there was a measurement done and he is still tracking it down. Want to add another pod now and I told him to get me some evidence it was in there before 1987. Needs NMID Settlement language also. Not ready for SF5.

63-2114 – Claimed and licensed for 8.64 cfs – recommended that way. Objection wants 20 cfs. ERO measured 10.2 cfs. Pioneer is now ok with 8.64 cfs, however, they want the remaining 1,56 cfs to be recommended on their Claim No. 63-32584. This was a claim that came in between 12-6 and 12-14 the year I filed the report on 12-12. So, it did not get recommended in the report and needs to go into the fall report this year. Both of these need the NMID settlement language on them. I have evidence of a larger diversion flow from the backfile on 63-2114 and the measurement so should be able to recommend late claim. Probably won't want SF5 signed until late claim gets decreed. Andy will need to speak to that, so no SF5 done on 63-2114 yet. → LATE REPORT

63-21706 – Pioneer ok with .1 cfs (if you can believe it). We are ok with Ada rather than Canyon & zipcode of course. SOU objection was the hold up – Andy wanted the SOU remark removed because this is a 1961 priority dated right which is junior to all the reservoirs anyway and he thought it was useless. Was to talk with BPBC & BOR about it and if they agree to remove SOU remark per Andy's idea, then ready for SF5. Otherwise, not ready for SF5 now.

63-21713 – Claim was for 15 cfs and we recommended 11.2 cfs from ERO measurement (fall). We allowed them to do a summer measurement and they came back with 17.9 cfs. Pioneer only claimed 15 cfs, so at the time I spoke with Andy that was ok to recommend 15 cfs. I did not find out until today when

I looked in the file that Wilder withdrew it's objection, so basically as long as Andy is still ok with the 15 cfs we can do an SF5 on this one.

SF5's done:

63-161BU

63-224

63-225

63-294

63-295

63-371

63-2275

can go forward to PD as

63-2276

email.

63-2294

63-5219

63-5237

63-21714 - PD Issued

63-21731

63-21739

63-32514

63-32515

NOTE: Talk with Nick about 63-32496 to see if it

an uncontested overlap - send SM Booth an

That's the best I got and it is in the order of the hearing schedules. See ya later – have questions call me quick.

Jan

Shurte, Jan

From: Shurte, Jan
 Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 2:46 PM
 To: Spencer, Nick; Williams, Ray; Harris, Lori
 Subject: Pioneer ID Updates

63-32496 Done
 UNCONTESTED OVERLAP
 SHOOT EMAIL TO TED
 & ANDY. -

This is an update (on the info I have) for Pioneer's. Don't quote me on this, it's just to follow along. Andy should have the updates on these for the conference. If not, here's my best take from all my notes.

* 63-5199 - Received ERO's measurement copy on 9/2/2009 (last week) from Andy. Measurement was taken in 7/07 and no copy given to us or found until recently. Agreed to add a pod and up the diversion rate to 2.96 cfs. Zip code and Pioneer is ok on the acre foot amount on this one since it is based on b/u. Ready to do SF5. ^{3 SCFS} STATUS CONF. 2/25/09

* 63-5200 - Pioneer ok with cfs and acre foot amount now. Agreed to put that one of the wells is on the centerline between the SENE and NESE, S34 and put both of those pods on the right. It is on the line per gps by ERO. Ready to do SF5. STATUS CONF. 2/25/09

63-166A, B, 167A, B, 179A, C - All were going to settle on language that basically stated that Mason Creek and Franklin could not file a transfer to change the pod, pou or nou unless Pioneer was first contacted and ok'd it. Then, Andy mentioned something along the line that he was talking with Scott and realized that when Mason Creek &/or Franklin Ditch do not need their water (for whatever reason) then it goes on down and irrigates Pioneer lands, so now they were wanting to put their pou on Franklin and Mason Creek's rights. It kind of goes back to why they may have filed competing rights anyway. We will likely hear what the outcome of their discussions were. Not ready for SF5s. SET STATUS SC HLED. CONF AT 16:30 AM.

63-2891 & 63-32513 - Rec. as applied, permitted and licensed. Objection wanted 13 cfs. ERO measured 10.6 cfs. PID ok with the 10 cfs on 63-2891. Want us to delete the wastewater condition even though not objected to. NOTE: 63-32513 filed for the same pod, Dave disallowed because Scott wrote letter that stated the bigger pump went in 1983. They can file a new application for permit for any extra water (.6 cfs). Andy was going to get back to me on whether they wanted to continue the .6 cfs portion of the objection. Not ready for SF5s. SET STATUS CONF. 2/23/10 11:00 AM

63-5255 - Objected to quantity. Still no measurement submitted - Andy feels there was a measurement done and he is still tracking it down. Want to add another pod now and I told him to get me some evidence it was in there before 1987. Not ready for SF5. SET STATUS FOR 2/23/10 AT 11:00 AM

63-2114 - Claimed and licensed for 8.64 cfs - recommended that way. Objection wants 20 cfs. ERO measured 10.2 cfs. Pioneer is now ok with 8.64 cfs, however, they want the remaining 1.56 cfs to be recommended on their late claim No. 63-32584. I have evidence of a larger diversion flow from the backfile on 63-2114 and the measurement so should be able to recommend late claim. Probably won't want SF5 signed until late claim gets decreed. Andy will need to speak to that, so no SF5 done on 63-2114 yet. NEEDS A RECOMMENDATION NOT A LATE CLAIM. NEED SETTLEMENT REMARK.

63-21706 - Pioneer ok with .1 cfs (if you can believe it). We are ok with Ada rather than Canyon & zipcode of course. SOU objection was the hold up - Andy wanted the SOU remark removed because this is a 1961 priority dated right which is junior to all the reservoirs anyway and he thought it was useless. Was to talk with BPBC & BOR about it and if they agree to remove SOU remark per Andy's idea, then ready for SF5. Otherwise, not ready for SF5 now. - SM WANTS 03 230M LANGUAGE IF SOU LATER SET STATUS 2/23/10 AT 11:00 AM.

* 63-21713 - Claim was for 15 cfs and we recommended 11.2 cfs from ERO measurement (fall). We allowed them to do a summer measurement and they came back with 17.9 cfs. Pioneer only claimed 15 cfs, so at the time I spoke with Andy that was ok to recommend 15 cfs. I did not find out until today when I looked in the file that Wilder withdrew it's objection, so basically as long as Andy is still ok with the 15 cfs we can do an SF5 on this one. - DO SF5. - DONE

SF5's done:

63-161BU - COURT HAS
 63-224 - "
 63-225 - "
 63-294 - "
 63-295 - "
 63-371 - "
 63-2275 - WE HAVE
 63-2276 - "

9/8/2009

63-2294 ✓

63-5219 ✓

63-5237 ✓

63-21714 (?) Sent & returned yet) ✓

63-21731 ✓

63-21739 ✓

63-32514 ✓

63-32515 ✓

~~WHAT HAS HAPPENED HERE?~~ BP ISSUED

That's the best I got and it is in the order of the hearing schedules. See ya later – have questions call me quick.

Jan

9/8/2009

From 63-230M

Possible settlement in 63-21706 – 9-09

Comment: Per Court Hearing and ruling of Special Master Ted Booth the language in the period of use remark under this right was changed from what appeared on the SF5 as follows (1st sentence only) - Diversion under this water right prior to April 1 and after October 31 shall occur - nothing else changed in the entire paragraph from that which was signed off on and proposed under the SF5. Order will be following this change here.

STATUS CONFERENCE NOTES:

RIGHT NO. 63-21706

DATE: 2/10/09

Picker for 90 days - if something doesn't happen, then set for status conference. Only problem left is the SSN - Could be deleted since the priority date ⁽¹⁹⁶³⁾ is much later than Pioneer ID 63-21706 (1958). 1 cfs was OK with the PID and we were OK w/ add Co. & not Canyon Co, plus of course the zip code.

Shurte, Jan

From: Shurte, Jan
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 5:13 PM
To: Spencer, Nick; Williams, Ray
Cc: Shurte, Jan
Subject: 63-21706

Oh yeah, by the way 63-21706 is all ok except for the holdup on the objection to the season of use remark for the Boise River. The objection wants it deleted and Nick's email states they need more time to negotiate with the Boise Project Board of Control. The priority date on this one is after all of the reservoirs, so they don't feel it is needed. No SF5 on it yet. I don't know why that was the subject of my first email and I left it out completely. Too much for this old brain. Have a good evening, Jan

2/10/2009