CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on **April 11, 2025** I mailed a true and correct copy, postage prepaid, of the foregoing PRELIMINARY ORDER **(PRELIMINARY ORDER REINSTATING A PERMIT)** to the person(s) listed below:

RE: Preliminary Order in the Matter of Permit No. **67-15101**

MICHAEL HENDON
1992 RIVER RD
PAYETTE ID 83661

Julie Adams
Technical Records Specialist 1

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

322 E Front Street, Suite 648, Boise ID 83702 • PO Box 83720, Boise ID 83720-0098
Phone: 208-287-4800 • Fax: 208-287-6700 • Email: idwrinfo@idwr.idaho.gov • Website: idwr.idaho.gov

Governor Brad Little Director Mathew Weaver

April 11, 2025

MICHAEL HENDON
1992 RIVER RD
PAYETTE ID 83661

PROOF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER

RE: Permit No. 67-15101

Dear Permit Holder(s):

The Department acknowledges receipt of the Proof of Beneficial Use form ("proof") and license examination fee for the above-referenced water right permit. Enclosed is an order that reinstates this permit since proof and the license examination fee were submitted after the proof deadline. Please note this permit has been reinstated with the original priority date of **February 28, 2014**, pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-218a(4).

The next step in the process of developing a water right is for the Department to conduct a field examination to determine and confirm the use being made of the water according to the conditions of the permit.

Please be advised that Idaho Code § 42-248, requires you or the owner of this water right to maintain current ownership and address records on file with the Department. Forms to file a change of ownership of a water right and/or a change in the address of the water right owner are available from any Department office or at the Department's website at www.idwr.idaho.gov.

If you have any questions concerning the field examination, please contact the Western Region Office of the Department located in Boise at (208) 334-2190.

Sincerely,

Julie Adams
Technical Records Specialist 1

Enclosure(s)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PERMIT NO. 67-15101 IN THE NAME OF MICHAEL HENDON	))	**PRELIMINARY ORDER** **REINSTATING PERMIT**
	)	

This matter having come before the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("Department"), the Department finds, concludes and orders as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On May 16, 2014, the Department issued Permit No. 67-15101 ("Permit") in the name of Michael Hendon ("Permit Holder") authorizing the diversion of 0.12 cubic feet per second from ground water for irrigation use in the NW¼NW¼ of Section 27, Township 09 North, Range 05 West, B.M., Payette County. A condition of permit approval required the Permit Holder to submit proof of beneficial use of water to the Department on or before June 1, 2016.

2. On March 31, 2016, the Department notified the Permit Holder by certified mail that proof of beneficial use was due and instructed the Permit Holder of the steps to be taken to submit a *Statement of Completion for Submitting Proof of Beneficial Use* ("Proof") or a *Request for Extension of Time* ("Extension Request").

3. On April 14, 2016, the Permit Holder filed an Extension Request requesting an additional three – five years to submit proof of beneficial use because "well has been drilled.. Haven't been able to afford pump, electrical or piping – Going to have to do in stages as I can afford to.. ." The Department granted the Extension Request based on good cause and established a new proof of beneficial use due date of June 1, 2019.

4. On March 29, 2019, the Department notified the Permit Holder by certified mail that proof of beneficial use was due and instructed the Permit Holder of the steps to be taken to submit a Proof or an Extension Request.

5. On April 29, 2019, the Permit Holder filed an Extension Request requesting an additional five years to submit proof of beneficial use because "Haven't been able to afford sprinkler pipes. Also working to find best method to water, for most efficiency." The Department granted the Extension Request based on good cause and established a new proof of beneficial use due date of June 1, 2024.

6. On May 04, 2023, the Department received a Proof form without the required $50.00 license examination fee.

7. On May 31, 2023, the Department acknowledged the Proof without notifying the Permit Holder that the Proof was deficient because the required license examination fee had not been received.

8. On June 1, 2024, the Permit lapsed because the Department had not received an acceptable Proof or Extension Request.

9. On March 25, 2025, the Department notified the Permit Holder that the Permit lapsed because the required license examination fee had not been received.

10. On March 27, 2025, the Department received the required license examination fee.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Idaho Code § 42-218a(1) states in relevant part:

> A permit upon which the proof of beneficial use…, has not been submitted, or a request for extension of time has not been received on or before the date set for such proof or incremental statement, shall lapse and be of no further force nor effect… . Notice of said lapsing shall be sent by the department to the permit holder at the address of record by regular mail.

Idaho Code § 42-218a(2) states in relevant part:

> Within sixty (60) days after such notice of lapsing the department may, upon a showing of reasonable cause, reinstate the permit with the priority date advanced a time equal to the number of days that said showing is subsequent to the date set for proof.

Idaho Code § 42-218a(4) states in relevant part:

> The original priority date of a lapsed permit shall not be reinstated except upon a showing of error or mistake of the department.

1. The Department errored by failing to provide timely notice that the Proof was unacceptable without the required $50 license examination fee.

2. The appropriate period for consideration of the timely filing of Proof should be the period between the notice of lapse and the date the required fee was received.

3. The Permit Holder submitted the required fee 2 days after the notice of lapse.

4. Pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-218a(4) the Permit should be reinstated with the original priority date of February 28, 2014.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Permit No. 67-15101 is **REINSTATED** with the Permit's original priority date of February 28, 2014.

DATED this 10th day of April, 2025.

Phill Hummer
Water Rights Section Manager

EXPLANATORY INFORMATION TO ACCOMPANY A PRELIMINARY ORDER

(To be used in connection with actions when a hearing was **not** held)

(Required by Rule of Procedure 730.02)

The accompanying order or approved document is a "**Preliminary Order**" issued by the department pursuant to section 67-5243, Idaho Code. **It can and will become a final order without further action of the Department of Water Resources ("department") unless a party petitions for reconsideration, files an exception and brief, or requests a hearing as further described below:**

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of a preliminary order with the department within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. **Note: the petition must be received by the department within this fourteen (14) day period.** The department will act on a petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See Section 67-5243(3) Idaho Code.

EXCEPTIONS AND BRIEFS

Within fourteen (14) days after: (a) the service date of a preliminary order, (b) the service date of a denial of a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, any party may in writing support or take exceptions to any part of a preliminary order and may file briefs in support of the party's position on any issue in the proceeding with the Director. Otherwise, this preliminary order will become a final order of the agency.

REQUEST FOR HEARING

Unless a right to a hearing before the Department or the Water Resource Board is otherwise provided by statute, any person aggrieved by any final decision, determination, order or action of the Director of the Department and who has not previously been afforded an opportunity for a hearing on the matter may request a hearing pursuant to section 42-1701A(3), Idaho Code. A written petition contesting the action of the Director and requesting a hearing shall be filed within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the denial or conditional approval.

ORAL ARGUMENT

If the Director grants a petition to review the preliminary order, the Director shall allow all parties an opportunity to file briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the preliminary order and may schedule oral argument in the matter before issuing a final order. If oral arguments are to be heard, the Director will within a reasonable time period notify each party of the place, date and hour for the argument of the case. Unless the Director orders otherwise, all oral arguments will be heard in Boise, Idaho.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

All exceptions, briefs, requests for oral argument and any other matters filed with the Director in connection with the preliminary order shall be served on all other parties to the proceedings in accordance with IDAPA Rules 37.01.01302 and 37.01.01303 (Rules of Procedure 302 and 303).

FINAL ORDER

The Director will issue a final order within fifty-six (56) days of receipt of the written briefs, oral argument or response to briefs, whichever is later, unless waived by the parties or for good cause shown. The Director may remand the matter for further evidentiary hearings if further factual development of the record is necessary before issuing a final order. The department will serve a copy of the final order on all parties of record.

Section 67-5246(5), Idaho Code, provides as follows:

> Unless a different date is stated in a final order, the order is effective fourteen (14) days after its service date if a party has not filed a petition for reconsideration. If a party has filed a petition for reconsideration with the agency head, the final order becomes effective when:
>
> (a) The petition for reconsideration is disposed of; or
> (b) The petition is deemed denied because the agency head did not dispose of the petition within twenty-one (21) days.

APPEAL OF FINAL ORDER TO DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to sections 67-5270 and 67-5272, Idaho Code, if this preliminary order becomes final, any party aggrieved by the final order or orders previously issued in this case may appeal the final order and all previously issued orders in this case to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

i. A hearing was held,
ii. The final agency action was taken,
iii. The party seeking review of the order resides, or
iv. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency action is located.

The appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days of this preliminary order becoming final. See section 67-5273, Idaho Code. The filing of an appeal to district court does not itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.