

**ADOPTED BUDGET AND RESOLUTION
PERTAINING TO THE COLLECTION THEREOF**

FOR 19 2006

WATER DISTRICT NO. 110

STREAM _____

COUNTY BONNEVILLE

NAME OF SECRETARY TERRY KLIPFEL

ADDRESS OF SECRETARY 900 N. SKYLINE DR., STE A, IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402

COLLECTION AND HOLDING OF WATER DISTRICT FUNDS

Please check the appropriate box regarding the collection and holding of Water District funds.

☒ The Water District collects and holds its own funds.

☐ _____ County collects and holds funds for the Water District.
(county name)

☐ _____ County collects the Water District's funds and deposits the funds in an account
(county name) held by the Water District.

(This report must be certified and made in duplicate, one copy to be forwarded to the appropriate regional office of the Idaho Department of Water Resources., and one copy to the Secretary of the Annual Water User's Meeting of the Water District. If a designated county collects funds for the water, then a third copy must be sent to the auditor of the designated county.)

_____ **IDAHO FALLS** _____, Idaho, _____, 19 **2006**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within is true and correct copy of the budget as adopted at the annual Water User's Meeting of Water District No. 110, held at TERRETON, ID on the 17TH day of MAY, 19 2006, and all resolutions adopted at said meeting pertaining to ~~the time and~~ the manner of collecting the amounts provided for in the said budget.

Terry Klipfel

Secretary, Water District No. 110

Water Dist #110

- ☒ - minutes
- ☒ - resolutions
- ☒ - budget
- ☒ - wm oath office
- ☒ - Treas. oath office (6/19/06)
- ☒ - Petition for to Janic
wm services.
- ☒ - ~~WD info sheet~~
- ☐ - water master's proposed budget
- ☐ - W
- ☐ - water master's report

RESOLUTIONS PERTAINING TO THE ~~TIME AND~~ MANNER OF COLLECTING THE BUDGET AS
ADOPTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE USERS OF

WATER DISTRICT No. 110

DISTRIBUTION OF BUDGET AMONGST WD 110 WATER USERS

DRES	DIST	WRNUMBER	NAME ON WATER RIGHT	ADDRESS	POD	DIV_RATE	BILL CFS	# PODS	BCFS(4.60) + PODS(25)	OUNT OF BUDGET
4	110	31-02393	ADKINS, BETTY	2798 N 100 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 17 SWSW	0.7	0.7			
4	110	31-04008	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 20 SENENE	1.52	1.52			
4	110	31-10227	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 20 SESENW	1.2	1.2			
4	110	31-02334C	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 17 SWSW	0.5	0.5			
4	110	31-02269	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 20 SESENW	1.6	1.6			
4	110	31-02355	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 17 SWSW	0.8	0.8	4	29.07 + 100=\$129.07	\$129.07
5	110	31-02278D	STEWART INDUSTRIES INC.	996 E 2700 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 9 NWSE	2.8	2.8			
5	110	31-02278B	ADKINS, BETTY	2798 N 100 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 9 NWSE	1.46	1.46			
5	110	31-02334D	JACKSON, SANDRA	PO BOX 28, ROBERTS	08N 34E 9 NWSE	1.45	1.45			
5	110	31-02278C	JACKSON, SANDRA		08N 34E 9 NWSE	2.96	2.96			
5	110	31-02334E	STEWART INDUSTRIES INC.	996 E 2700 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 9 NWSE	1.37	1.37			
5	110	31-02334F	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 9 NWSE	0.72	0.72			
5	110	31-10660D	ADKINS, BETTY		08N 34E 9 NWSE	1.84	1.84			
5	110	31-10660E	JACKSON, SANDRA		08N 34E 9 NWSE	3.68	3.68			
5	110	31-10660F	STEWART INDUSTRIES INC.		08N 34E 9 NWSE	3.53	3.53	3	91.13 + 75.00=\$166.13	\$166.13
10	110	31-11238	ARCHIBALD, DON C; ARCHIBALD, VERA	153 E MAIN; PO BOX 16, RIGBY	08N 33E 20 NWSW	0.27	0.27			
10	110	31-02468	ARCHIBALD, DON C; ARCHIBALD, VERA		08N 33E 20 NWSW	4.86	4.86			
10	110	31-02467	ARCHIBALD, DON C; ARCHIBALD, VERA		08N 33E 20 NWSW	2.34	2.34	1	34.26 + 25.00=\$59.36	\$59.36
11	110	32-07015	ALLEN, EMERY & JOHN	420 E 2800 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 32E 13 SWSW	4.02	4.02	1	18.49 + 25.00=\$43.49	\$43.49
16	110	31-02392	ANDERSEN & SAVAGE	1917 N 2500 E, HAMER	07N 34E 34 NENE	2.27	2.27	1	10.44 + 25.00=\$35.44	\$35.44
25	110	31-02248	ASHCRAFT, BOYD - BHA	2983 E 2000 N, SUGAR CITY	07N 36E 23 SENE	0.9	0.9			
25	110	31-07203	ASHCRAFT, BOYD - BHA		07N 36E 23 SWNNWN	7.53	7.53			
25	110	31-10503	ASHCRAFT, BOYD - BHA		07N 36E 23 SWNNWN	0.66	0.66			
25	110	31-62S	ASHCRAFT, BOYD - BHA		07N 36E 23 SWNNWN	1.41	1.41			
25	110	31-63S	ASHCRAFT, BOYD		07N 36E 23 SWNNWN	0.59	0.59	2	51.01 + 50.00=\$101.01	\$101.01
26	110	31-07079	ASHCRAFT, GRANT	3930 E 2000 N, SUGAR CITY	06N 36E 7 NWSE	5.66	5.66			
26	110	31-02417	ASHCRAFT, GRANT		06N 36E 5 SWNW	4.04	4.04			
26	110	31-02407	ASHCRAFT, GRANT		06N 36E 5 SWNW	2.36	2.36	3	55.48 + 75.00=\$130.48	\$130.48
27	110	31-11610	ASHCRAFT, RYAN	2347 E 2000 N, HAMER	06N 36E 5 NENENE	1.19	1.19			
27	110	31-11611	ASHCRAFT, RYAN		06N 36E 05 NENENE	0.21	0.21			
27	110	31-11609	ASHCRAFT, RYAN		06N 36E 05 NENENE	0.56	0.56			
27	110	31-11724	ASHCRAFT, RYAN		06N 36E 05 NENENE	0.47	0.47			
27	110	31-07176	ASHCRAFT, RYAN		06N 36E 5 NENENE	1.78	1.78			
27	110	31-11608	ASHCRAFT, RYAN		07N 36E 33 NENWSW	3.14	3.14	2	33.81 + 50.00=\$83.81	\$83.81
28	110	31-02331	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA	2104 E 1800 N, TERRETON	06N 35E 1 NWSW	4.67	4.67			
28	110	31-02402	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 1 NESE	6.4	6.4			
28	110	31-02410	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 11 SESE	3.16	3.16			
28	110	31-02454A	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 1 NESE	0.73	0.73			
28	110	31-04126	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		07N 36E 31 NWNNWN	2.22	2.22			
28	110	31-11463	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 11 NWSESE	0.65	0.65			
28	110	31-10500	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		07N 36E 31 NWSWSW	3.49	3.49			
28	110	31-07262	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 1 SWNNWN	2.05	2.05			
28	110	31-11464	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY & JANA		06N 35E 12 SESWNN	1.53	1.53			
28	110	31-07441	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 36E 6 NENW	1.32	1.32			
28	110	31-07359	ASHCRAFT, SIDNEY; ASHCRAFT, JANA		06N 35E 11 SESE	1.83	1.83	10	129.03 + 250.00=\$379.03	\$379.03
31	110	31-02396	BALL, A VERNON & LA RAE	MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 24 NWNE	1.36	1.36	2	6.26 + 50.00=\$56.26	\$56.26
32	110	31-11418	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP	2612 E 2300 N, HAMER	08N 36E 31 NESESE	1.2	1.2			
32	110	31-10653	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 32 SESESE	0.09	0.09			
32	110	31-07156	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 14 SESESE	2.69	2.69			
32	110	31-07160	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 28 SENW	2.23	2.23			
32	110	31-07219	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 1 NESW	4.82	4.82			
32	110	31-07602	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 14 NWSW	2.51	2.51			
32	110	31-07100B	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 20 NESWSE	2.54	2.54			
32	110	31-10365	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 12 SESENE	0.4	0.4			
32	110	31-07100A	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 20 NWSWSW	2.53	2.53			
32	110	31-10969	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 13 NENENE	1	1			
32	110	31-10970	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 13 NWNESE	1.55	1.55			
32	110	31-10968	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 18 NWSW 3	1.54	1.54			
32	110	31-07603	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 20 SESE	2.72	2.72			

32	110	31-02401	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 18 NWSW 3	2.2	2.2			
32	110	31-02267	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 5 SWSWNE	1.1	1.1			
32	110	31-02291A	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 28 NWNW	1.96	1.96			
32	110	31-02356A	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 13 NENENE	1.18	1.18			
32	110	31-07155	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 14 SENENE	2.48	2.48			
32	110	31-02399	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 15 NWNENW	2.74	2.74			
32	110	31-02419	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 12 SESENE	5.6	5.6			
32	110	31-11417	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 32 SWNESE	2.56	2.56			
32	110	31-07036	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 13 NENW	1.68	1.68			
32	110	31-07040A	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 12 SENWNW	5.9	5.9			
32	110	31-07040B	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 12 SENE	0.2	0.2			
32	110	31-07067	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 36E 33 SWSWNW	2.53	2.53			
32	110	31-02356B	BALL, CIRCLE B FARMS LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 13 NWNESE	2.32	2.32	20	268.04 + 500.00=\$768.04	\$768.04
35	110	31-10444	BALL, AV & R J BALL	PO BOX 69, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 20 SWNESE	0.39	0.39			
35	110	31-02352D	BALL, AV & R J BALL		08N 34E 20 SWNESE	8.68	8.68			
35	110	31-02352C	BALL, AV & R J BALL		08N 34E 20 SWNESE	3.91	3.91	4	59.71 + 100.00=\$159.71	\$159.71
36	110	31-11686	BALL, LELAND W - ESTATE OF	27 N 3167 E, IDAHO FALLS	07N 36E 10 NWNENE	2.78	2.78			
36	110	31-11685	BALL, LELAND W - ESTATE OF		07N 36E 10 NESESE	2.4	2.4	2	23.83 + 50.00=\$73.83	
39	110	31-07110	BARZEE, JESSIE	TERRETON	06N 35E 14 SESW	1.22	1.22	1	5.61 + 25.00=\$30.61	\$30.61
40	110	31-11398	BARZEE, LLOYD RAY & JEWEL	TERRETON	06N 35E 13 NESWSW	0.89	0.89			
40	110	31-07063	BARZEE, LLOYD R		06N 35E 13 NWNW	2.69	2.69			
40	110	31-07175	BARZEE, LLOYD R		06N 35E 14 NENE	2.27	2.27			
40	110	31-07321	BARZEE, LLOYD R		06N 35E 14 NENW	3.88	3.88			
40	110	31-07379	BARZEE, LLOYD R		06N 35E 13 NWSW	3.2	3.2	4	59.48 + 100.00=\$159.48	\$159.48
52	110	31-02256B	BIRD, EILEEN	568 W 81 N, IDAHO FALLS	08N 34E 17 SWSE	0.8	0.8			
52	110	31-02256A	BIRD, EILEEN		08N 34E 17 SWSE	1.2	1.2			
52	110	31-10231	BIRD, EILEEN		08N 34E 17 SESWSE	3	3	1	23.00 + 25.00=\$48.00	\$48.00
53	110	31-02394	BITTER, DAREN	1344 N 1900 E, TERRETON	06N 35E 22 NWNENW	6.6	6.6			
53	110	31-07090	BITTER, DAREN		06N 35E 22 NWNENW	3.04	3.04			
53	110	31-07115	BITTER, DAREN		06N 35E 22 NWNENW	3.04	3.04			
53	110	31-02375A	BITTER, DAREN, HARVARD & KENT O		06N 35E 27 NESESE	4.77	4.77			
53	110	31-02375B	BITTER, DAREN, HARVARD & KENT O		06N 35E 23 NENW	0.74	0.74			
53	110	31-02329	BITTER, DAREN, HARVARD & KENT O		06N 35E 27 SWNWNE	3	3			
53	110	31-02360	BITTER, DAREN, HARVARD & KENT O		06N 35E 23 SENENW	2.68	2.68			
53	110	31-02328	BITTER, DAREN, HARVARD & KENT O		06N 35E 23 SENENW	5	5	6	132.80 + 150.00=\$282.80	\$282.80
62	110	31-7054C	BRASSANINI, PAULO	PO BOX 152, TERRETON	05N 35E 17 SWSENW	1.6	1.6			
62	110	31-7054D	BURTENSHAW, LEE	BOX 104, TERRETON	05N 35E 17 SWSENW	4.2	4.2			
62	110	31-10515	BURTENSHAW, LEE		05N 35E 17 SWSENW	0.33	0.33	1	28.20 + 25.00=\$53.20	\$53.20
69	110	31-07357	BROWN, SID E	2251 E 2350 N, HAMER	07N 36E 4 NWSW	0.62	0.62			
69	110	31-04067	BROWN, SID E		07N 36E 5 SWSE	0.55	0.55			
69	110	31-07180	BROWN, SID E		08N 36E 31 SESW	2.23	2.23			
69	110	31-07269	BROWN, SID E		07N 35E 1 SENE 5	0.84	0.84	4	19.50 + 100.00=\$119.50	\$119.50
74	110	31-07012	BURTENSHAW, LYNN	1758 N 1000 E, TERRETON	06N 34E 6 NENENE	6.29	6.29	1	28.93 + 25.00=\$53.93	\$53.93
75	110	31-07271	BURTENSHAW, STEVE	1202 N 1900 E, TERRETON	05N 35E 1 SWSW	2.54	2.54			
75	110	31-02336	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 1 SWSW	3.5	3.5			
75	110	31-02436	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 10 SWSE	5.1	5.1			
75	110	31-07003	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 3 NESW	4	4			
75	110	31-07023	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 10 SWSE	3.2	3.2			
75	110	31-07209	BURTENSHAW, STEVE (frm hill)		05N 35E 8 NESE	7.04	7.04			
75	110	31-10575	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 3 NENW	0.27	0.27			
75	110	31-11706	BURTENSHAW, STEVE (frm 31-7210)		05N 35E 4 NWSENW	3.33	3.33			
75	110	31-11707	BURTENSHAW, STEVE (frm 31-7210)		05N 35E 4 NWSENW	0.41	0.41			
75	110	31-07065	BURTENSHAW, STEVE		05N 35E 10 SWSE	3.2	3.2	5	149.91 + 125.00=\$274.91	\$274.91
80	110	31-07043B	BYBEE, ROBERT	2014 E 1800 N, TERRETON	07N 35E 36 NENWNW	0.62	0.62			
80	110	31-11461	BYBEE, ROBERT		07N 35E 6 NENWNW	0.28	0.28			
80	110	31-11442	BYBEE, ROBERT		07N 35E 36 NENWNW	1.98	1.98			
80	110	31-11459	BYBEE, ROBERT		07N 35E 36 SESWSW	0.72	0.72	2	16.56 + 50.00=\$66.56	\$66.56
83	110	31-07558	CALAWAY, BLAIR	248 N 1900 E, REXBURG	06N 34E 19 SESENW	3.34	3.34			
83	110	31-07563	CALAWAY, BLAIR		06N 34E 19 SENWSE	3.31	3.31	2	30.59 + 50.00=\$80.59	\$80.59
84	110	31-10466	CARPENTER, ELMER D	2154 N 800 E, TERRETON	07N 33E 12 NWSWSW	0.73	0.73			
84	110	31-02296	CARPENTER, ELMER D		07N 33E 12 NWSWSW	3.68	3.68	1	20.29 + 25.00=\$45.29	\$45.29
85	110	31-07167B	CARPENTER, SCOTT	2196 N 800 E, TERRETON	07N 33E 14 NENW	1.6	1.6			
85	110	31-07167A	CARPENTER, SCOTT		07N 33E 14 SENENW	1.56	1.56	1	14.54 + 25.00=\$39.54	\$39.54

\$73. 83

91	110	31-02258E	CAUDLE, STANLEY & SHIRLEY	2319 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 2 NWSE	2.9	2.9		
91	110	31-02351A	CAUDLE, STANLEY & SHIRLEY		07N 33E 02 SWNWSE	0.3	0.3	1	14.72 + 25.00=\$39.72
102	110	31-02463	COPE, DALE E; COPE, HAZEL	2527 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 24 NENWSW	1.6	1.6	3	7.36 + 75.00=\$82.36
103	110	31-02352B	COPE, MICHAEL E		08N 33E 23 NWSENE	2.61	2.61	1	12.01 + 25.00=\$37.01
104	110	31-11725	CORNELISON, KEITH	PO BOX 817, REXBURG	06N 36E 05 NWSENE	1.7	1.7	1	7.82 + 25.00=\$32.82
105	110	31-07658	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP	PO BOX 817, REXBURG	08N 38E 21 NESWNE	2.12	2.12		
105	110	31-07285	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		06N 35E 29 NESE	2.64	2.64		
105	110	31-07214F	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		08N 38E 5 SWSW	2.73	2.73		
105	110	31-07177D	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		08N 38E 05 SWSW	2.36	2.36		
105	110	31-07662	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 35E 22 NESE	2.02	2.02		
105	110	31-07660	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 22 SWSW	1.37	1.37		
105	110	31-02307B	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 32 SWNENW	1.29	1.29		
105	110	31-07663	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 22 NESWSE	2.17	2.17		
105	110	31-02408B	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 31 SWNESE	1.63	1.63		
105	110	31-07659	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 22 NESWNW	2.82	2.82		
105	110	31-07233B	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 28 SWNE	0.8	0.8		
105	110	31-07396B	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 04 SESE	0.75	0.75		
105	110	31-07396A	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		06N 35E 31 SWNE	6.69	6.69		
105	110	31-11226	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 7 NESE	7.35	7.35		
105	110	31-02443	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 10 SWNWSE	7	7		
105	110	31-07661	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 22 SWNE	1.98	1.98		
105	110	31-07177C	CORNELISON-larsen leased		08N 38E 08 NWNWSW	2.27	2.27		
105	110	31-07284	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		06N 35E 29 NESE	2.58	2.58		
105	110	31-07046	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 3 SENW	2.56	2.56		
105	110	31-07312	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		06N 35E 33 NWNW	2.65	2.65		
105	110	31-07095B	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 36E 31 SWNESE	5.74	5.74		
105	110	31-07087	CORNELISON-leased frm larsen		06N 35E 28 NWNW	4.63	4.63		
105	110	31-07058	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 4 NESE	0.66	0.66		
105	110	31-07057	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 5 SESE	3.76	3.76		
105	110	31-07206	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 2 NESE	2.56	2.56		
105	110	31-07048	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 3 SESWSW	4.47	4.47		
105	110	31-07215	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 7 NWSW	4.84	4.84		
105	110	31-07022B	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 4 NESW	1.49	1.49		
105	110	31-07022A	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 4 NESE	3.44	3.44		
105	110	31-07010	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		06N 35E 29 NESE	1.21	1.21		
105	110	31-07004	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		06N 35E 21 NENE	2.61	2.61		
105	110	31-02445	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 3 SESWSW	2.9	2.9		
105	110	31-07056	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 5 NWSE	4.73	4.73		
105	110	31-07278A	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 35E 5 SESW	2.75	2.75		
105	110	31-07283	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		06N 35E 33 NWNW	2.27	2.27		
105	110	31-07281	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 18 NWNW	6.54	6.54		
105	110	31-07280B	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 34E 4 SESE	0.08	0.08		
105	110	31-07280A	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 35E 4 NWSW	2.14	2.14		
105	110	31-07279B	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 34E 4 SESE	0.03	0.03		
105	110	31-07186	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 20 SWSE	2.2	2.2		
105	110	31-07278B	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 34E 4 SESE	0.07	0.07		
105	110	31-07253	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		06N 35E 32 SENW	4.63	4.63		
105	110	31-07244	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 21 NESW	2.1	2.1		
105	110	31-07235	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 21 NWSE	1.91	1.91		
105	110	31-07224	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 29 NENW	2.37	2.37		
105	110	31-07223	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		08N 38E 29 NWNE	2.32	2.32		
105	110	31-07222A	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		08N 38E 17 SENW	8.47	8.47		
105	110	31-07279A	CORNELISON-leased from larsen		05N 35E 5 NENE	2.24	2.24		
105	110	31-02315B	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		05N 34E 4 SESE	0.39	0.39		
105	110	31-02444	CORNELISON-OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 9 SENENW	6.3	6.3		
105	110	31-02315A	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		06N 35E 32 SESW	2.11	2.11		
105	110	31-02314	CORNELISON-Leased frm larsen		06N 35E 32 SESW	3.4	3.4	47	695.24 + 1175.00=\$1870.24
119	110	31-02385	DALLING, BRENT	1916 N 2350 E, HAMER	07N 36E 35 SWSWNE	2.09	2.09		
119	110	31-02370	DALLING, BRENT		07N 36E 35 SESWNE	2.65	2.65		
119	110	31-07141C	DALLING, BRENT R		07N 36E 28 SWSE	0.33	0.33		
119	110	31-00062P	DALLING, BRENT		07N 36E 27 SENE	0.71	0.71		
119	110	31-00062Q	DALLING, BRENT		07N 36E 35 SWNE	0.54	0.54		
119	110	31-00063P	DALLING, BRENT		07N 36E 27 SENE	0.29	0.29		

119	110	31-00063Q	DALLING, BRENT		07N 36E 35 SWNE	0.22	0.22	3	31.42 + 75.00=\$106.42	\$106.42
120	110	31-07194	DALLING, G DAVID	1708 NORTH 2250 EAST, HAMER	06N 36E 5 NESE	2.45	2.45	1	11.27 + 25.00=\$36.27	\$36.27
121	110	31-07092	DALLING, GLENN W	590 W 1ST S, SUGAR CITY	08N 37E 22 SWSW	0.12	0.12	1	.55 + 25.00=\$25.55	\$25.55
122	110	31-07061	DALLING, JERALD K	1419 N 7th West, SUGAR CITY	07N 35E 16 NESE	5.28	5.28			
122	110	31-07195	DALLING, JERALD K		06N 36E 5 NWSW	2.53	2.53			
122	110	31-10060	DALLING, JERALD K		07N 35E 26 NWNWSW	2.49	2.49	4	47.38 + 100.00=\$147.38	\$147.38
125	110	31-04028	DAVIES, OLEY D	BOX 1660, HAMER	07N 36E 5 NWSE	0.8	0.8	1	3.68 + 25.00=\$28.68	\$28.68
128	110	31-07097	DBA SCOTT DAIRY, STANLEY K	PO BOX 59, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 16 SWNENW	3.2	3.2			
128	110	31-02330	DBA SCOTT DAIRY, STANLEY K		07N 33E 16 SENENW	4.4	4.4			
128	110	31-02456	DBA SCOTT DAIRY, STANLEY K		07N 33E 16 SENENW	4.98	4.98	2	57.87 + 50.00=\$107.87	\$107.87
129	110	31-10405A	DBA VELVET RANCH - FERGUSON	PO BOX 413, REXBURG	08N 33E 24 SESESE	1.6	1.6			
129	110	31-04117	DBA VELVET RANCH - FERGUSON		08N 34E 30 SENW	3.76	3.76			
129	110	31-10405B	FERGUSON-DBA VELVET RANCH			0.8	0.8	2	28.34 + 50.00=\$78.34	\$78.34
134	110	31-02409	DELEVA CORP	1268 E 1500 N, PO BOX 13, TERRETON	06N 33E 10 NWSE	6.34	6.34	1	29.16 + 25.00=\$54.16	\$54.16
136	110	A31-07159	DESERET RIDGE LTD PARTNERSHIP	1486 E 1200 N, TERRETON	05N 34E 1 SESESE	4	4			
136	110	A31-02433	DESERET RIDGE LTD PARTNERSHIP		05N 34E 1 SESESW	4.45	4.45			
136	110	A31-07029A	DESERET RIDGE LTD PARTNERSHIP		05N 34E 2 SWNESE	1.6	1.6			
136	110	A31-07053	DESERET RIDGE LTD PARTNERSHIP		05N 34E 2 SWNESE	4.76	4.76			
136	110	A31-07035	DESERET RIDGE LTD PARTNERSHIP		05N 34E 2 SWNESE	1.6	1.6	3	75.49 + 75.00=\$150.49	\$150.49
140	110	31-04029	DIXON, RAND & MAE	2514 EAST 2200 NORTH, HAMER	07N 36E 14 NWNENW	1.93	1.93			
140	110	A31-07068	DIXON, RAND & MAE		07N 36E 10 SENWNW	2.52	2.52	2	20.47 + 50.00=\$70.47	\$70.47
143	110	A31-07011	DUBOIS, CITY OF	PO BOX 27, DUBOIS	10N 36E 20 NESE	1.35	1.35			
143	110	A31-10002	DUBOIS, CITY OF		10N 36E 21 NESW	1	1	2	9.80 + 50.00=\$59.80	\$59.80
144	110	A31-10001	DUBOIS, CITY OF- OREGON SH LN RR	PO BOX 27, DUBOIS	10N 36E 21 SESW	0.33	0.33	1	1.52 + 25.00=\$26.52	\$26.52
149	110	31-02364	EDDINS, EDDIE ACE	995 E 2700 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 7 NENE	2.4	2.4	1	11.04 + 25.00=\$36.04	\$36.04
150	110	31-02412	EDDINS, ZANE L	2265 N 700 E, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 9 NENE	3.14	3.14			
150	110	31-07051	EDDINS, ZANE L		07N 33E 9 NENE	1.09	1.09	1	19.46 + 25.00=\$44.46	\$44.46
151	110	31-07267A	EGBERT, KIRK S	PO BOX 87, TERRETON	05N 35E 6 SENE 1	2.89	2.89			
151	110	31-07545	EGBERT, KIRK S		06N 34E 36 SENW	0.8	0.8			
151	110	31-07569	EGBERT RANCHES INC, KIRK S		06N 35E 28 NWSE	2.28	2.28			
151	110	31-10964A	EGBERT, KIRK S		05N 35E 6 SENE 1	2.74	2.74			
151	110	31-11670	EGBERT, KIRK S		06N 34E 36 SWSWNE	0.98	0.98			
151	110	35-7154B	EGBERT, KIRK S		06N 34E 36 SENWSW	2.83	2.83			
151	110	31-11843	EGBERT, KIRK S		06N 35E 23 SESESE	1.75	1.75			
151	110	31-11410	EGBERT, KIRK S		07N 37E 1 NWNW	4.54	4.54			
151	110	31-11414	EGBERT, KIRK S		07N 37E 1 SENWNE	0.32	0.32	1	POD CREDITED, NOW ON STEVE WEEKS	
151	110	31-11428	EGBERT, KIRK S		07N 37E 1 SENWNW	0.3	0.3	5	89.38 + 125.00=\$214.38	\$214.38
153	110	31-07192	EGBERT, RICHARD A	1134 BOND AVE, REXBURG	08N 37E 25 SENW	2.76	2.76			
153	110	31-07148	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 35 NENW	1.78	1.78			
153	110	31-07149	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 26 SESW	1.97	1.97			
153	110	31-07150	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 27 SWNE	1.98	1.98			
153	110	31-07151	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 26 SWNW	2.46	2.46			
153	110	31-07108	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 34 SWSW	2.2	2.2			
153	110	31-07154A	EGBERT, RICHARD A		06N 34E 36 SWNESW	1.54	1.54			
153	110	31-07107	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 34 NENW	2.09	2.09			
153	110	31-07193	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 26 SWNE	3.23	3.23			
153	110	31-07375	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 25 SWSE	1.91	1.91			
153	110	31-10677	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 26 SWNE	0.47	0.47			
153	110	31-07152	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 34 NENE	2.08	2.08			
153	110	31-07418	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 38E 31 NWSW	2.1	2.1			
153	110	31-07381	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 36 SESE	1.89	1.89			
153	110	31-07121	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 27 SESE	2.01	2.01			
153	110	31-07417	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 36 SENW	1.93	1.93			
153	110	31-07084	EGBERT, RICHARD A		06N 34E 32 SENW	3.63	3.63			
153	110	31-07103	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 33 SENE	1.83	1.83			
153	110	31-07104	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 33 SENW	2.08	2.08			
153	110	31-07105	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 33 SESW	2.59	2.59			
153	110	31-07106	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 33 NESE	2.02	2.02			
153	110	31-07382	EGBERT, RICHARD A		08N 37E 36 SWSW	2.06	2.06			
153	110	31-11669	EGBERT, RICHARD		06N 34E 36 SWNW	2.87	2.87	23	227.61 + 575.00=\$802.61	\$802.61
156	110	31-02277	ELLIS, MELVIN & ROBERT ELLIS	2317 E 2350 N, HAMER	07N 36E 4 SWNW	2.04	2.04			
156	110	31-02390	ELLIS, MELVIN & ROBERT ELLIS		07N 36E 4 NWNW	0.71	0.71	2	12.65 + 50.00=\$62.65	\$62.65
161	110	A31-07286	F & J SHEEP AND HAY INC	941 E 1700 N, TERRETON	06N 34E 6 SESW	1.28	1.28			

161	110	A31-02406B	F & J SHEEP AND HAY INC		06N 33E 1 SWSESE	2.3	2.3		
161	110	A31-07294	F & J SHEEP AND HAY INC		06N 34E 6 SESW	1.74	1.74		
161	110	A31-02366	F & J SHEEP AND HAY INC		06N 34E 6 SESW	6.36	6.36	2	53.75 + 50.00=\$103.73
170	110	31-02246	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE	PO BOX 8, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 36 NENW	6.4	6.4		
170	110	31-02281	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE		08N 33E 36 NENW	1.9	1.9		
170	110	31-10392B	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE		08N 33E 36 NENW	0.04	0.04		
170	110	31-10392A	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE		07N 33E 01 SENWNW	0.22	0.22		
170	110	31-2258H	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE		07N 33E 01 SENWNW	0.47	0.47		
170	110	31-2258G	FRANSEN, DOUGLAS M & JANICE		07N 33E 01 SENWNW	2.33	2.33	7	52.26 + 175.00=\$227.26
172	110	31-07094	FREDRIKSEN RANCH	PO BOX 101, DUBOIS	09N 36E 9 NWNW	1.41	1.41		
172	110	31-11444	FREDRIKSEN RANCH		09N 36E 09 NWNWNW	0.45	0.45	1	8.56 + 25.00=\$33.56
177	110	31-04024	GASSER, LOYD L	2303 E 2300 N, HAMER	07N 36E 4 NWSESW	1.7	1.7		
177	110	A31-07082	GASSER, LOYD L		07N 36E 5 NESESE	2.17	2.17		
177	110	31-07290	GASSER, LOYD L		07N 36E 4 SESW	0.34	0.34	2	19.37 + 50.00=\$69.37
189	110	A31-07008	GUNDERSON, K PAUL	1555 E 1500 N, HAMER	07N 35E 27 NENESE	1.08	1.08		
189	110	31-07338	GUNDERSON, K PAUL		07N 35E 25 NWSW	2.2	2.2		
189	110	31-95A	GUNDERSON, K PAUL		07N 35E 27 NWNW	4.99	4.99	2	38.04 + 50.00=\$88.04
192	110	31-07043A	HALL DAIRY	1543 N 1900 E, TERRETON	06N 35E 15 NESE	0.28	0.28	2	1.29 + 50.00=\$51.29
197	110	A31-02470	HANSEN, KENT & ANN	2626 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 20 NWNW	3.77	3.77		
197	110	A31-02379	HANSEN, KENT & ANN		08N 33E 10 SESE	3.2	3.2	2	32.06 + 50.00=\$82.06
198	110	A31-02377	HANSEN, KIRT	2995 N 500 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 15 SWNWSE	3.57	3.57		
198	110	A31-02349	HANSEN, KIRT		08N 33E 15 NWSWNE	5.24	5.24	2	40.53 + 50.00=\$90.53
203	110	A31-02258C	HARTWELL, MILLIE	2298 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 1 SWSE	4.5	4.5		
203	110	A31-02351B	HARTWELL, MILLIE		07N 33E 1 SWSE	1.9	1.9		
203	110	A31-02381B	HARTWELL, MILLIE		07N 33E 12 NWNE	2.03	2.03		
203	110	A31-02381D	HARTWELL, MILLIE		07N 33E 1 SWSE	0.56	0.56		
203	110	A31-02381C	HARTWELL, MILLIE		07N 34E 4 SENW	0.59	0.59	3	44.07 + 75.00=\$119.07
204	110	A31-04089	HAWKER, MILBURN	1052 E 2600 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 29 NWNE	1.96	1.96	1	9.02 + 25.00=\$34.02
210	110	A31-07185	HILLMAN, RALPH	PO BOX 396 (C/O CORNELISON), HAMER	08N 38E 20 SWSW	2.05	2.05		
210	110	A31-07183	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 20 SWNE	2.11	2.11		
210	110	A31-07230	HILLMAN AND OR SONS, RON		07N 37E 14 SWSE	2.16	2.16		
210	110	A31-07184	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 20 SWNW	2.23	2.23		
210	110	A31-07242	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 32 SENW	2.05	2.05		
210	110	A31-07232	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 28 SENW	2.29	2.29		
210	110	A31-07233A	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 28 SWNE	1.27	1.27		
210	110	A31-07236	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 30 SWSE	2.48	2.48		
210	110	A31-07238	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 31 SWNE	2.06	2.06		
210	110	A31-07239	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 32 SWNE	2.27	2.27		
210	110	A31-07241	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 28 NESW	1.94	1.94		
210	110	A31-10711	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 30 SESW	1.76	1.76		
210	110	A31-07240	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 29 NESW	2.5	2.5		
210	110	A31-07237	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 29 SWSE	2.12	2.12		
210	110	A31-07234	HILLMAN, RALPH		08N 38E 30 SESW	2.56	2.56	13	146.51 + 325.00=\$471.51
211	110	A31-07032	HILLMAN, NICK	BOX 224, HAMER	07N 37E 8 SWSW	2.45	2.45		
211	110	A31-07031	HILLMAN, NICK		07N 37E 7 NESE	2.64	2.64		
211	110	A31-07030B	HILLMAN, NICK		07N 37E 18 SWNE	1.6	1.6		
211	110	A31-07188	HILLMAN, NICK		07N 37E 18 SWNE	0.7	0.7	3	33.99 + 75.00=\$108.99
212	110	A31-07225	HILLMAN, RON	3120 E 2200 N, HAMER	07N 37E 14 NESW	2.23	2.23		
212	110	A31-07243	HILLMAN, RON		08N 38E 21 SENW	2.27	2.27	2	20.70 + 50.00=\$70.70
214	110	A31-07172	HIRSCHMAN, PAUL & MICHELLE	18402 West O.I.E. Hwy, BENTON CITY, ORGEON	06N 35E 10 SENWNW	2.64	2.64	1	12.14 + 25.00=\$37.14
215	110	A31-07443	HOGGAN BROS. INC	1968 E 1800 N, HAMER	07N 35E 35 SENWSW	2.21	2.21		
215	110	31-11683	HOGGAN BROS. INC			0.26	0.26	1	11.36 + 25.00=\$36.36
216	110	31-11695	HOLDAWAY FARMS	1667 N SALMON HWY, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 07 SENENE				
216	110	A31-07019	HOLDAWAY, BRYAN W; CHRIS B; LEO S		06N 33E 11 SENE	2.07	2.07		
216	110	31-02473	HOLDAWAY FARMS LLC		07N 33E 8 NESW	3.82	3.82	3	27.09 + 75.00=\$102.09
231	110	A31-07362	I M FAMILY LTD PARTNERSHIP	4200 S 2148 W, REXBURG	08N 37E 35 SESW	2.29	2.29		
231	110	A31-07014	I M FAMILY LTD PARTNERSHIP		07N 37E 7 NWNE	4.88	4.88		
231	110	A31-07361	I M FAMILY LTD PARTNERSHIP		08N 37E 34 NESE	2.29	2.29	3	43.52 + 75.00=\$118.52
240	110	A31-02448A	IDAHO, STATE OF - FISH & GAME-WMA	MUD LAKE WMA, TERRETON	07N 34E 23 NENW	4	4		
240	110	A31-02448B	IDAHO, STATE OF - FISH & GAME-WMA		07N 35E 20 NESESE	6	6		
240	110	A31-02316	IDAHO, STATE OF - FISH & GAME-WMA		07N 35E 20 SESE	7.5	7.5	3	80.50 + 75.00=\$155.50
251	110	A31-02426B	JACOBS, F MITCHELL	2496 N 2375 E, HAMER	08N 36E 28 NESESE	0.45	0.45		
251	110	A31-02426A	JACOBS, F MITCHELL		08N 36E 28 SESE	1.9	1.9	1	10.81 + 25.00=\$35.81

252	110	31-11672	JACOBS, F MITCHELL & VALYNN	2496 N 2375 E, HAMER	08N 36E 34 NWSWNW	1.85	1.85	1	8.51 + 25.00=\$33.51	\$33.51
253	110	31-11443	JACOBS, KIRK & LINDA	PO BOX 119, HAMER	07N 37E 07 NWNWNE	0.66	0.66			
253	110	A31-07015B	JACOBS, KIRK		08N 36E 26 SWSENE	5.35	5.35			
253	110	A31-02427A	JACOBS, KIRK		08N 36E 35 SWSENE	5.33	5.33			
253	110	A31-02427B	JACOBS, KIRK		08N 36E 35 SESENNW	5.33	5.33			
253	110	A31-07292	JACOBS, KIRK		07N 37E 8 SWNENE	2.18	2.18			
253	110	A31-07291	JACOBS, KIRK		07N 37E 8 SENWNW	2.34	2.34			
253	110	A31-07288	JACOBS, KIRK		08N 36E 9 SWNWSE	2.46	2.46			
253	110	A31-07024B	JACOBS, KIRK		08N 36E 35 SWNWNW	2.2	2.2	7	118.91 + 175.00=\$293.91	\$293.91
261	110	A31-02395	IDAHO PROPERTIES LLC	23539 365TH AVE, KIMBALL	07N 34E 10 SESW	3.65	3.65			
261	110	A31-07311	IDAHO PROPERTIES LLC		07N 34E 10 SESW	13.45	13.45			
261	110	A31-02357	IDAHO PROPERTIES LLC		07N 34E 10 SESW	4.6	4.6	2	122.77 + 50.00=\$172.77	\$172.77
268	110	A31-07042	KESLER, DAVID O & HELEN	(NOW BELONGS TO DAVID & DOREEN KESLER)	07N 35E 25 NWSWSE	2.5	2.5			
268	110	A31-10306	KESLER, DAVID O & HELEN		07N 35E 25 NWSWSE	0.75	0.75			
268	110	A31-02454D	KESLER, DAVID O II; KESLER, DARENE D	19 KESLER LN, PHILIPSBURG, MT	07N 35E 36 SENWSE	1	1			
268	110	A31-02318	KESLER, DAVID O II; KESLER, DARENE D		07N 35E 36 NENENW	1.6	1.6			
268	110	A31-02304	KESLER, DAVID O II; KESLER, DARENE D		07N 35E 36 NENENW	4	4	4	45.31 + 100.00=\$145.31	\$145.31
271	110	A31-07277	KNIGHT, ARLIN D & CORALLE	2379 E 2050 N, HAMER	07N 36E 21 SWSE	1.87	1.87			
271	110	31-07444	KNIGHT, ARLIN D & CORALLE		07N 36E 28 NWNW	0.82	0.82			
271	110	A31-10534	KNIGHT, ARLIN D & CORALLE		07N 36E 28 NWNW	0.82	0.82			
271	110	A31-02291	KNIGHT, ARLIN D & CORALLE		07N 36E 28 NWNW	1.26	1.26	2	21.94 + 50.00=\$71.94	\$71.94
275	110	A31-07360	L & M CATTLE COMPANY INC	440 NORTH 4300 EAST, RIGBY	07N 34E 13 SESENE	2.22	2.22	1	10.21 + 25.00=\$35.21	\$35.21
280	110	A31-02397	LARICK, JOHN A	HC 62 BOX 2230, DUBOIS	12N 38E 1 NWNE	0.85	0	1	0 + 25.00=\$25.00	\$25.00
282	110	32-02116C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	PO BOX 188, HAMER	09N 33E 14 SENW	2.04	2.04			
282	110	31-07373	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 16 SESENE	0.11	0.11			
282	110	31-07611	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWSW	0.21	0.21			
282	110	31-7300	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 14 SWSESE	3	3			
282	110	31-7306	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 18 SWSE	3.24	3.24			
282	110	31-07332	LARSEN, BLAINE		08N 36E 4 SWNWSE	3.04	3.04			
282	110	31-7293K	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 14 NENW	0.3	0.3			
282	110	31-7293J	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		07N 36E 1 NWSE	1.84	1.84			
282	110	31-07605	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNW	0.75	0.75			
282	110	31-07606	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWSW	0.45	0.45			
282	110	31-07607	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNW	0.95	0.95			
282	110	31-07608	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNW	0.38	0.38			
282	110	31-07609	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWSW	0.11	0.11			
282	110	31-07368A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 NENW	1.67	1.67			
282	110	31-7221B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 38E 19 NESW	2.17	2.17			
282	110	31-7178D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 4 NWSW	1.84	1.84			
282	110	31-7178E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 4 NWSE	1.82	1.82			
282	110	31-7178F	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 4 NWSE	1.81	1.81			
282	110	31-7202A	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 9 NESW	6.18	6.18			
282	110	31-7202B	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 21 NWSE	2.42	2.42			
282	110	31-7299	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 23 SWNESE	3.23	3.23			
282	110	31-7221A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 38E 19 NESW	2.17	2.17			
282	110	31-7221C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 38E 19 NESW	2.17	2.17			
282	110	31-7221D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 38E 19 NESW	2.17	2.17			
282	110	31-7222B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 24 NWSE	0.42	0.42			
282	110	31-7276B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 25 NWNE	2.08	2.08			
282	110	31-7293C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		07N 37E 6 SWNENW 3	2.16	2.16			
282	110	31-7293F	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 28 SWSE	0.15	0.15			
282	110	31-7220E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 37E 6 NWNE 3	0.38	0.38			
282	110	31-07641	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNE	0.54	0.54			
282	110	31-07610	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENW	0.31	0.31			
282	110	31-07634	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 NWNE	0.02	0.02			
282	110	31-07635A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 37E 30 NWNWNW	1.86	1.86			
282	110	31-07631	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWSE	1.97	1.97			
282	110	31-07630	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 22 NWSW	0.78	0.78			
282	110	31-07642	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNW	0.42	0.42			
282	110	31-07643	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNW	0.36	0.36			
282	110	31-07644	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNW	0.62	0.62			
282	110	31-07645	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNW	0.4	0.4			
282	110	32-02116A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 34E 9 SWNE	0.35	0.35			

282	110	31-07637	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 11 NWSE	2.23	2.23		
282	110	31-07623	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SENE	3.18	3.18		
282	110	31-07613	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNW	0.57	0.57		
282	110	31-07614	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		10N 36E 33 NWSE	1.55	1.55		
282	110	31-07616	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNW	0.37	0.37		
282	110	31-07617	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		10N 36E 33 NWSE	1.51	1.51		
282	110	31-7158C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 23 SESE	1.9	1.9		
282	110	31-07625	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWNE	2.52	2.52		
282	110	31-07626	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 NENW	1.82	1.82		
282	110	31-07628	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 NWNE	1.19	1.19		
282	110	31-07629	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWSW	0.24	0.24		
282	110	31-2452	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 3 SWSE	3	3		
282	110	31-7178C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 4 NWSW	1.85	1.85		
282	110	31-2365F	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 29 SENE	3.68	3.68		
282	110	31-02374B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.18	0.18		
282	110	31-02405A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		07N 37E 6 SWSE	4.78	4.78		
282	110	31-02405B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.22	0.22		
282	110	31-2365D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 14 NENW	0.2	0.2		
282	110	31-02426E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 17 NESE	0.79	0.79		
282	110	31-2335D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 14 NENW	0.16	0.16		
282	110	31-7013B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNW	1.37	1.37		
282	110	31-7013D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNE	1.37	1.37		
282	110	31-7013F	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 9 NWNE	0.94	0.94		
282	110	31-7015A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 27 NWSENE	3.45	3.45		
282	110	31-7018	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 5 SWSE	41.54	41.54		
282	110	31-02426D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 27 NESE	4.53	4.53		
282	110	31-7423	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 21 NWSE	0.2	0.2		
282	110	31-2365E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.62	0.62		
282	110	31-7439B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 13 NWNW	0.84	0.84		
282	110	31-7034T	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 14 NENW	0.29	0.29		
282	110	31-2258F	LARSEN, BLAINE		07N 33E 11 NENE	3.12	3.12		
282	110	31-2271	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 21 SWSE	2.2	2.2		
282	110	31-2335B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 28 SWNE	0.35	0.35		
282	110	31-2335C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 29 NESW	2.16	2.16		
282	110	31-7439A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 38E 5 SWSW	0.66	0.66		
282	110	31-7091	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 29 NESW	2.19	2.19		
282	110	31-7052L	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 7 NWSE	1.52	1.52		
282	110	31-7069	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 18 SESW	4.84	4.84		
282	110	31-7070	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 19 NESW	6	6		
282	110	31-7071	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 17 NESW	6	6		
282	110	31-7025A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 36 SENE	9.34	9.34		
282	110	31-7073	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 20 NESW	3.12	3.12		
282	110	31-7052G	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 35E 24 SENE	1.89	1.89		
282	110	31-7111	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 16 SESENE	2.42	2.42		
282	110	31-7112	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 15 SWSWSW	2.91	2.91		
282	110	31-7127	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 21 NWSE	6.24	6.24		
282	110	31-7158A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 23 SESE	1.9	1.9		
282	110	31-7158B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 23 SESE	1.9	1.9		
282	110	31-7072	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 20 NWSE	3.54	3.54		
282	110	31-7052A	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 29 SWSW	2.5	2.5		
282	110	31-7177B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.13	0.13		
282	110	31-7034U	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 15 NWNE	1.64	1.64		
282	110	31-7037A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 32 NWSE	7.65	7.65		
282	110	31-7037B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 36E 28 SWSE	0.07	0.07		
282	110	31-7037C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 24 NWSE	0.24	0.24		
282	110	31-7038A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 31 SENW	4.69	4.69		
282	110	31-7052J	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 32 NWNE	2.58	2.58		
282	110	31-7044B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.21	0.21		
282	110	31-7052H	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 32 SWSW	3.16	3.16		
282	110	31-7052B	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 36E 30 SWNE	3	3		
282	110	31-7052C	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 35E 15 NWNE	1.61	1.61		
282	110	31-7052D	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 35E 13 SESW	2.34	2.34		
282	110	31-7052E	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 35E 24 NENW	1.89	1.89		

282	110	31-7052F	LARSEN, BLAINE		09N 35E 24 SESE	1.91	1.91		
282	110	31-7025B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 35 SESE	0.66	0.66		
282	110	31-7038B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		08N 37E 24 NWSE	0.31	0.31		
282	110	31-11738	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		07N 36E 18 SWNW	1.35	1.35		
282	110	32-2095B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 35E 32 SWNE	0.75	0.75		
282	110	31-11732	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 33E 21 SWSENW	2.66	2.66		
282	110	31-13214	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 33E 27 SENENW	0.54	0.54		
282	110	31-11730	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE (HANSEN?)		08N 33E 22 SWNWSW	2.04	2.04		
282	110	32-13220	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 33E 31 SWNWSE	0.36	0.36		
282	110	32-13219	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 33E 31 SWNWSE	0.64	0.64		
282	110	31-11739	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 11 NWSWSW	0.27	0.27		
282	110	31-11791	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.02	0.02		
282	110	31-11792	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.2	0.2		
282	110	32-13210	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 33E 27 SENENW	0.53	0.53		
282	110	31-11728	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 33E 22 NWNENW	2.96	2.96		
282	110	31-7621A	BLAINE & CONNIE LARSEN		09N 36E 26 NWSWSW	0.57	0.57		
282	110	31-7615B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.05	0.05		
282	110	31-7615A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SESWNW	0.35	0.35		
282	110	31-7612B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.06	0.06		
282	110	31-11797	BLAINE & CONNIE LARSEN		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.04	0.04		
282	110	31-11798	BLAINE & CONNIE LARSEN		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.29	0.29		
282	110	31-7612A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 NWSWSW	0.51	0.51		
282	110	31-11794	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.75	0.75		
282	110	31-11803	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	0.02	0.02		
282	110	31-11800	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	0.23	0.23		
282	110	31-11799	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	0.01	0.01		
282	110	31-7302B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SESWSW	1.01	1.01		
282	110	31-7302A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 24 NENWSE	4.4	4.4		
282	110	31-7621B	BLAINE & CONNIE LARSEN		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.06	0.06		
282	110	31-11801	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	0.13	0.13		
282	110	31-11793	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.1	0.1		
282	110	31-11796	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.99	0.99		
282	110	31-11795	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 SENWNE	0.13	0.13		
282	110	31-7627B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		07N 36E 04 NWSESE	0.39	0.39		
282	110	31-7627A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 24 NESWSE	2.63	2.63		
282	110	31-11802	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	2.2	2.2		
282	110	31-7628B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.08	0.08		
282	110	31-11804	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 10 SWNENW	0.38	0.38		
282	110	32-2095A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 34 SESE	1.85	1.85		
282	110	31-7638A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 26 NWSWSW	0.76	0.76		
282	110	32-07005B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 33E 33 SENENE	0.8	0.8		
282	110	31-07618B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.06	0.06		
282	110	31-07368C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 11 SWSW	2.59	2.59		
282	110	31-07368D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.18	0.18		
282	110	31-07369E	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 3 NENWSW	2.65	2.65		
282	110	31-07369G	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.39	0.39		
282	110	31-02374D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 37E 29 SENESE	0.98	0.98		
282	110	31-07618A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWNW	0.37	0.37		
282	110	31-02374C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 37E 29 SWNESW	0.8	0.8		
282	110	31-07620A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWNW	0.52	0.52		
282	110	31-07620B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.08	0.08		
282	110	31-07622A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWNW	0.57	0.57		
282	110	31-07622B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 34 SWNW	0.09	0.09		
282	110	32-02096A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 35 SWSW	2.02	2.02		
282	110	32-02086B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 35 SWSE	1.12	1.12		
282	110	31-07369F	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWSE	1.73	1.73		
282	110	31-7220C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 25 SENESW	1.08	1.08		
282	110	32-07034A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 35 SWSE	0.73	0.73		
282	110	32-07034B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 34 SWNW	1.29	1.29		
282	110	32-07034C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 34 SWNE	1.29	1.29		
282	110	32-07034D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 34E 08 SESE	0.61	0.61		
282	110	32-07034E	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 34E 10 SENW	0.18	0.18		
282	110	31-7220B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 36E 28 NWSWSW	1.26	1.26		

282	110	32-02120C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	10N 35E 32 SWNE	1.23	1.23		
282	110	31-7220D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 36E 25 SESWNE	1.08	1.08		
282	110	31-07220F	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	08N 37E 32 SWSNE	1.42	1.42		
282	110	32-13207	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 33E 16 SESW	4.15	4.15		
282	110	31-07635B	LARSEN, BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 36E 33 SWNWNE	0.05	0.05		
282	110	31-02301A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 22 NENENE	3.62	3.62		
282	110	31-02301B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 36E 27 SESWSW	0.38	0.38		
282	110	31-11673	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 34 NWSWNW	1.77	1.77		
282	110	32-02120A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 3 NWSW	0.73	0.73		
282	110	32-07053C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	10N 34E 35 SWSW	0.28	0.28		
282	110	32-04001B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	10N 34E 35 SWNW	2.52	2.52		
282	110	32-04001C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	10N 34E 35 SENE	2.55	2.55		
282	110	32-04001D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	9N 34E 35 SENE	1.01	1.01		
282	110	31-07214B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 13 NWNW	0.31	0.31		
282	110	32-02120B	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 10 SENW	1.24	1.24		
282	110	32-07092A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 2 NWSE	2.402	2.402		
282	110	32-07058A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 2 NWSE	3.215	3.215		
282	110	32-07058B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 35E 5 SWNE	0.7	0.7		
282	110	32-07072A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	10N 35E 32 SWNE	0.68	0.68		
282	110	32-07072B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 3 NWSW	2.52	2.52		
282	110	32-07088A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 17 SWNW	1.65	1.65		
282	110	32-07095D	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 35E 5 SWNE	1.16	1.16		
282	110	32-7091B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 5 SWNE	1.46	1.46		
282	110	32-07056E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNE	0.63	0.63		
282	110	32-07092B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 35E 5 SWNE	0.52	0.52		
282	110	32-07093A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNW	2.58	2.58		
282	110	32-07093E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNE	1.16	1.16		
282	110	32-07094E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 3 NWSW	0.05	0.05		
282	110	32-07094G	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 10 SENE	2.11	2.11		
282	110	32-07053E	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 04 SWNE	3.13	3.13		
282	110	32-7091A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 5 SWNW	1.46	1.46		
282	110	32-07022W	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 35E 5 SWNE	0.3	0.3		
282	110	32-07005C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNE	0.16	0.16		
282	110	32-07018A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 10 NWNW	1.804	1.804		
282	110	32-07018B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 35E 5 SWNE	0.49	0.49		
282	110	32-07022AA	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 18 SESW	3.34	3.34		
282	110	32-07022K	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 17 SWNE	4.71	4.71		
282	110	32-07022M	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNE	3.68	3.68		
282	110	32-07057G	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 10 SENE	2.04	2.04		
282	110	32-07022R	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 15 SWNE	3.85	3.85		
282	110	32-07057E	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 3 NWSW	0.06	0.06		
282	110	32-07022X	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 17 SWNW	1.65	1.65		
282	110	32-07052G	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 3 SWNE	3.67	3.67		
282	110	32-7054A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 5 SWNW	3.43	3.43		
282	110	32-7054B	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 5 SWNE	3.43	3.43		
282	110	32-7056A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNW	3.82	3.82		
282	110	32-07102A	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 9 SWNE	0.49	0.49		
282	110	32-07022P	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 15 SENW	5.13	5.13		
282	110	31-07370D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 37E 05 NWNE	2.24	2.24		
282	110	31-07034AD	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 10 SWSW	2.7	2.7		
282	110	31-07052N	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 36E 31 NWSE	0.51	0.51		
282	110	31-07052P	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 36E 34 SWSW	0.81	0.81		
282	110	31-07293G	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 36E 01 NWNE	1.89	1.89		
282	110	31-07293H	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 28 SWSE	0.27	0.27		
282	110	32-07095C	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE	09N 34E 2 SWNW	2.79	2.79		
282	110	31-07370C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 37E 05 NENW	2.37	2.37		
282	110	31-07034W	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	08N 36E 15 SWNW	2.41	2.41		
282	110	31-07370E	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 37E 05 NWSE	1.97	1.97		
282	110	31-07370F	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 36E 04 SESE	0.27	0.27		
282	110	32-07022AE	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 09 SWNW	3.03	3.03		
282	110	32-07022AF	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 10 SENW	0.94	0.94		
282	110	32-07053A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	09N 34E 04 SWNW	3.12	3.12		
282	110	31-07370A	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE	07N 37E 05 SWSW	0.44	0.44		

282	110	31-07034AA	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 27 SWSW	1.28	1.28			
282	110	32-07247	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		10N 35E 32 SWNE	0.31	0.31			
282	110	32-07248	LARSEN, BART; BLAINE L; CONNIE		09N 35E 5 SWNE	0.08	0.08			
282	110	31-07034C	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 22 NENW	1.98	1.98			
282	110	31-07034D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 22 SWSE	1.98	1.98			
282	110	31-07034P	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 14 SENW	1.66	1.66			
282	110	31-07034S	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 28 SWSE	1.85	1.85			
282	110	31-07034Y	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 13 SWSE	3.96	3.96			
282	110	31-07034Z	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 14 SWNE	1.78	1.78			
282	110	31-07034X	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 14 SWSE	1.83	1.83			
282	110	31-07034AB	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 23 SWSW	0.94	0.94			
282	110	31-07034AC	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 15 SESW	1.67	1.67			
282	110	31-07034AE	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 13 SWSW	1.54	1.54			
282	110	31-07034AF	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 15 SWNE	1.79	1.79			
282	110	31-07034AG	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		09N 36E 33 NWNE	0.64	0.64			
282	110	32-07053D	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		10N 34E 34 SESE	0.15	0.15			
282	110	31-07034V	LARSEN, BLAINE & CONNIE		08N 36E 23 NWNW	1.8	1.8	163	1993.60 + 4075.00=\$6068.60	\$6,068.60
290	110	A31-07029B	LEE, J DEWAYNE	TERRETON, ID	05N 34E 3 SESE	2.4	2.4	1	11.04 + 25.00=\$36.04	\$36.04
291	110	31-07166C	LEE, LARRY O.	PO BOX 2480, HAMER	06N 35E 33 NWNE	2.15	2.15			
291	110	31-07166B	LEE, LARRY O.		06N 35E 34 NWNW	2.2	2.2			
291	110	31-07166D	LEE, LARRY O.		06N 35E 34 NWSW	2.31	2.31			
291	110	31-07166E	LEE, LARRY O.		06N 35E 33 NWSE	2.18	2.18			
291	110	31-07166A	LEE, LARRY O		06N 35E 33 NWNE	3.2	3.2	4	55.38 + 100.00=\$155.38	\$155.38
295	110	31-07416	LINFORD, KENT	2142 W 4200 S, REXBURG	08N 37E 30 NWSW 3	2.29	2.29			
295	110	31-07415	LINFORD, KENT		08N 37E 30 NWSW 3	1.38	1.38			
295	110	A31-02429	LINFORD, KENT		08N 36E 34 NWSESE	7.35	7.35			
295	110	A31-02430	LINFORD, KENT		07N 36E 3 SENENW	5.88	5.88			
295	110	A31-07268	LINFORD, KENT		08N 37E 30 NWNE	2.2	2.2			
295	110	A31-07303	LINFORD, KENT		08N 37E 30 NWNE	1.8	1.8			
295	110	A31-07316	LINFORD, KENT		08N 36E 25 NWNE	5.46	5.46			
295	110	31-07414	LINFORD, KENT		08N 37E 30 SWSE	1.28	1.28	10	127.14 + 250.00=\$377.14	\$377.14
302	110	A31-00062A	LOREN MCGARRY RANCHES	2393 E 1900 N, HAMER	07N 36E 22 SESE	4.03	4.03			
302	110	A31-00063A	LOREN MCGARRY RANCHES		07N 36E 22 SESE	1.67	1.67			
302	110	A31-07270	LOREN MCGARRY RANCHES		07N 36E 33 NWSE	4.64	4.64	2	47.56 + 50.00=\$97.56	\$97.56
307	110	A31-07261	LUNDHOLM, CARL W	1806 N 2000 E, HAMER	07N 35E 35 SENE	1.76	1.76			
307	110	A31-00335A	LUNDHOLM, CARL W		07N 35E 26 NENE	2.6	2.6			
307	110	A31-04009	LUNDHOLM, CARL W		07N 35E 26 NENE	3.5	3.5			
307	110	A31-00372	LUNDHOLM, CARL W		07N 35E 26 NENE	3	3	2	49.96 + 50.00=\$99.96	\$99.96
308	110	A31-10379	M & T WATER AND SEWER	PO BOX 131, TERRETON	06N 34E 17 SWSWSE	1	1			
308	110	A31-07146	M & T WATER AND SEWER		06N 34E 17 SESESE	2.23	2.23	2	14.86 + 50.00=\$64.86	\$64.86
310	110	A31-07201	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L	PO BOX 74, TERRETON	06N 34E 32 SWSENE	5.45	5.45			
310	110	A31-07248	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 33 NESWNE	3.13	3.13			
310	110	A31-07249	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 33 SWNESW	2.16	2.16			
310	110	31-07378	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 33 SENW	4.54	4.54			
310	110	A31-07250	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		05N 34E 3 SWSENE 1	4.71	4.71			
310	110	A31-10419	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 33 NWNWNN	1.59	1.59			
310	110	A31-10422	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 32 SWSENE	0.24	0.24			
310	110	A31-10423	MARTIN FAMILY TRUST; MARTIN BROS L&L		06N 34E 33 SWNESW	2.16	2.16	6	110.31 + 150.00=\$260.31	\$260.31
313	110	A31-00365	MARTY, JOSEPH M; MARTY, ELLA	1775 E 1500 N, MARTY	07N 35E 22 NESWSW	10	10			
313	110	A31-95B	MARTY, JOSEPH M; MARTY, ELLA		07N 35E 27 SESW	10	10			
313	110	A31-00340	MARTY, JOSEPH M; MARTY, ELLA		07N 35E 23 NWSW	10	10			
313	110	A31-07324	MARTY, JOSEPH M; MARTY, ELLA		07N 35E 25 NENWNN	1.33	1.33			
313	110	A31-00335B	MARTY, JOSEPH M; MARTY, ELLA		07N 35E 26 SWSWNN 1	7.4	7.4	5	178.16 + 125.00=\$303.16	\$303.16
321	110	A31-02446	MECHAM, LARRY B	2300 N 598 E, MONTEVIEW	07N 33E 17 NWNW	6.4	6.4	1	29.44 + 25.00=\$54.44	\$54.44
334	110	A31-07266	MITCHELL, DAN & TERRI LEANN		07N 34E 20 NENW	0.59	0.59	1	2.71 + 25.00=\$27.71	\$27.71
336	110	A31-02263	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	8.51	0		no bill/contract with WD 31	
336	110	A31-02382	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	20	0			0
336	110	31-10640	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	46.4	0			0
336	110	A31-02279	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	5.22	0			0
336	110	A31-02273	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	4	0			0
336	110	A31-02268	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	12.8	0			0
336	110	A31-02235	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	9.6	0			0
336	110	A31-02359	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	20	0			0

336	110	A31-02348	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	17.78	0		0
336	110	A31-02323	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	13.1	0		0
336	110	A31-02295	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	9.6	0		0
336	110	A31-02294	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	16	0		0
336	110	A31-02460	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	20	0		0
336	110	A31-02284	MONTEVIEW CANAL COMPANY INC		07N 33E 14 NWNENE	19.2	0	0 no bill/contract with WD 31	0
340	110	A32-07035	MOUNTAIN STATES DEV.-HOWELL, DEAN	1892 COUNTY ROAD 125, THAYNE, WY	08N 33E 18 NESW	3.14	3.14		
340	110	A32-07014	MOUNTAIN STATES DEV.-HOWELL, DEAN		08N 33E 18 NESE	4.7	4.7		
340	110	A31-02469	MOUNTAIN STATES DEV.-HOWELL, DEAN		08N 33E 19 SWNESE	4.42	4.42		
340	110	A31-07521	MOUNTAIN STATES DEV.-HOWELL, DEAN		08N 33E 19 SWNESE	2.14	2.14	3 66.24 + 75.00=\$141.24	\$141.24
341	110	A31-00063L	MURDOCK, DAN	1995 N 2500 E, HAMER	06N 36E 11 NWNENE	0.38	0.38		
341	110	A31-00063K	MURDOCK, DAN		07N 36E 22 NESESE	0.86	0.86		
341	110	A31-02337	MURDOCK, DAN & STEVE		06N 36E 11 NWNENE	1.68	1.68		
341	110	A31-00062K	MURDOCK, DAN		07N 36E 22 NESESE	2.06	2.06		
341	110	A31-00062L	MURDOCK, DAN		06N 36E 11 NWNENE	0.92	0.92	2 27.14 + 50.00=\$77.14	\$77.14
351	110	A31-07162	NEVILLE, STAN D	2263 W 1000 N, REXBURG	07N 37E 19 SWNENW	2	2		
351	110	31-07509	NEVILLE & SONS, DC		07N 37E 2 SWNW	1.63	1.63		
351	110	A31-02424	NEVILLE, SCOTT		07N 37E 7 SWSESW	5.31	5.31		
351	110	A31-07044A	NEVILLE, DARRELL C & THEDA		07N 37E 11 NENW	5.25	5.25		
351	110	A31-07143	NEVILLE, STAN D		08N 37E 35 NWSE	2.8	2.8		
351	110	A31-07168	NEVILLE, STAN D		07N 36E 24 NESWNE	2.44	2.44		
351	110	A31-07190	NEVILLE, STAN D		07N 37E 2 NWSE	2.53	2.53		
351	110	A31-07289	NEVILLE, STAN D		07N 37E 3 SENE	2.23	2.23		
351	110	A31-07363	NEVILLE, STAN D		07N 37E 2 SWSW	0.76	0.76		
351	110	A31-07140	NEVILLE, STAN & SCOTT		07N 37E 11 SWSW	2.3	2.3		
351	110	A31-07374	NEVILLE, STAN & SCOTT		07N 37E 15 SWSENE	2.4	2.4	11 136.39 + 275.00=\$411.39	\$411.39
352	110	31-2413C	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN	2941 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 05 SENW	4.32	4.32		
352	110	32-7269	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	0.72	0.72		
352	110	31-2439A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 33E 03 SWSW	3.46	3.46		
352	110	32-7268	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	1.15	1.15		
352	110	32-7266	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	0.33	0.33		
352	110	32-7270	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	0.11	0.11		
352	110	32-7263	L 2 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 17 NWNW	0.64	0.64		
352	110	31-2458A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 33E 03 SWSW	0.39	0.39		
352	110	32-7267	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	0.22	0.22		
352	110	32-7262	L 2 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 17 NWNW	1.28	1.28		
352	110	31-2386A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 33E 02 SWSE	4.8	4.8		
352	110	32-7265	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	1.38	1.38		
352	110	31-7101A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 34E 05 NWNE L2	5.9	5.9		
352	110	31-7078A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 34E 05 SWNW	2.18	2.18		
352	110	31-2455A	LA VAR & LOUISE; LANE & LONI NEWMAN		08N 33E 03 NWNE L2	2.16	2.16		
352	110	31-2464A	LA VAR & LOUISE NEWMAN		08N 33E 02 SWSE	1.3	1.3		
352	110	32-7271	L 3 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 08 NENENE	0.38	0.38		
352	110	32-7264	L 2 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP		08N 33E 17 NWNW	2.38	2.38		
352	110	31-11338	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 33E 10 NENWNW	1.24	1.24		
352	110	A32-10154	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 32E 12 NWSENE	3.2	3.2		
352	110	A32-2100	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 32E 12 SENE	5.42	5.42		
352	110	A32-02090A	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 33E 9 NENESE	4.42	4.42		
352	110	A31-10238	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 33E 2 SESWSE	0.07	0.07		
352	110	A31-2403A	NEWMAN, L LA VAR		08N 33E 2 SWNWNW	5.71	5.71		
352	110	A31-02383	NEWMAN, LA VAR (frm allen)		08N 33E 29 NWNWNE	2.22	2.22		
352	110	A31-02340	NEWMAN, LA VAR (frm allen)		08N 33E 29 NWNWNE	3.2	3.2		
352	110	31-07391	NEWMAN, LA VAR (frm allen)		08N 33E 29 NWNE	3.76	3.76	16 286.76 + 400.00=\$686.76	\$686.76
353	110	A31-2404	NEWMAN, ROSS	2103 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 2 SWSW	2.31	2.31		
353	110	A31-2384	NEWMAN, ROSS		07N 33E 14 SESE	3.12	3.12		
353	110	A31-2425	NEWMAN, ROSS		07N 33E 14 SESE	2.52	2.52	2 36.57 + 50.00=\$86.57	\$86.57
369	110	31-11365	CORY & DARLA PETERSON	1980 NORTH 2500 EAST, HAMER	07N 36E 26 NENWSE	0.82	0.82		
369	110	A31-04019	PETERSEN, CORY		07N 36E 26 NWNWNE	3.93	3.93		
369	110	A31-00063F	PETERSEN, CORY		07N 36E 26 NWNWNE	1.11	1.11		
369	110	A31-00062F	PETERSEN, CORY		07N 36E 26 NWNWNE	2.69	2.69	2 39.33 + 50.00=\$89.33	\$89.33
370	110	31-11364	WILMA PETERSON		07N 36E 26 NENWSE	1.31	1.31		
370	110	A31-07147	PETERSEN, DON	2973 W WILD ROSE CT, IDAHO FALLS	08N 36E 9 SWNE	2.37	2.37		
370	110	A31-10599	PETERSEN, DON		07N 36E 23 NWNE	1.11	1.11		

370	110	A31-07086	PETERSEN, DON		07N 36E 23 NENE	2.18	2.18	4	32.06 + 100.00=\$132.06	\$132.06
373	110	31-11399	PETERSON, LEE C.	1526 N 1900 E, TERRETON	06N 35E 14 SENWSE	3.85	3.85	1	17.71 + 25.00=\$42.71	\$42.71
375	110	31-7144B	PLACE FARMS LTD	1646 N 2200 E, HAMER	06N 36E 06 NESWSW	0.28	0.28			
375	110	A31-07060	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 6 NWNESW	2.28	2.28			
375	110	A31-07098	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 35E 12 SENWNE	1.38	1.38			
375	110	31-07144A	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 7 SWNENW	2.17	2.17			
375	110	A31-07297	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 6 NESWSW 7	1.18	1.18			
375	110	A31-07099	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 7 SWNENE	2.67	2.67			
375	110	A31-07296	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 6 SENWSE	2.18	2.18			
375	110	A31-02423	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 35E 12 SENWNE	4.67	4.67			
375	110	A31-07039	PLACE FARMS LTD		06N 36E 8 SESWNW	4.9	4.9	7	99.87 + 175.00=\$274.87	\$274.87
382	110	A31-10963	PRODUCERS IRRIGATION CO	800 E 2886 N, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 14 SWNWSW	48.51	0			
382	110	A31-10669	PRODUCERS IRRIGATION CO		08N 34E 14 SWNWSW	17.27	0			
382	110	A31-02391	PRODUCERS IRRIGATION CO		08N 34E 14 SWNWSW	6.74	0			
382	110	A31-02347	PRODUCERS IRRIGATION CO		08N 34E 14 SWNWSW	10.66	0		0 no bill/contract with WD 31	0
384	110	A31-07295	RADY, DEANNA	HC 69, HAMER	07N 35E 34 SESE	2.16	2.16	1	9.94 + 25.00=\$34.94	\$34.94
391	110	31-02457	RENO AGRICULTURE & ELECTRONICS	4655 AIRCENTER CIRCLE, RENO, NV	08N 33E 26 NESW	3.2	3.2			
391	110	31-02411	RENO AGRICULTURE & ELECTRONICS		08N 33E 27 SENE	3.13	3.13			
391	110	31-07064	RENO AGRICULTURE & ELECTRONICS		08N 33E 27 NENE	0.96	0.96	12	33.53 + 300.00=\$333.53	\$333.53
396	110	A31-02453	RICHINS, DALE	PO BOX 95, TERRETON	05N 35E 3 SWNE 2	4.4	4.4	1	20.24 + 25.00=\$45.24	\$45.24
397	110	32-7086	RICKS, REED	MONTEVIEW	09N 33E 26 SENWNW	7.75	7.75			
397	110	A32-02116B	RICKS, REED & NADINE		09N 33E 14 SESW	2.28	2.28			
397	110	A32-07004	RICKS, REED		09N 33E 13 SWSWSE	6.06	6.06			
397	110	A32-07005D	RICKS, REED & NADINE		09N 33E 23 NWSENW	2.17	2.17			
397	110	32-13208	RICKS, REED		09N 33E 27 SENENW	0.64	0.64			
397	110	A32-07021	RICKS, REED		09N 33E 13 SWSWSE	9.12	9.12	5	128.89 + 125.00=\$253.89	\$253.89
398	110	A32-07000	RICKS, ROBERT R	MONTEVIEW	09N 33E 24 NWNWNE	4.96	4.96			
398	110	A32-07001	RICKS, ROBERT R		09N 33E 24 NWNWNE	5.86	5.86	1	49.77 + 25.00=\$74.77	\$74.77
400	110	A31-02308	RIGBY, JAMES	2391 N 600 E, MONTVIEW	07N 33E 9 NENENW	3.66	3.66	1	16.84 + 25.00=\$41.84	\$41.84
404	110	A31-07033	ROBERTSON, BARRY	6612 W 6000 N, REXBURG	07N 37E 8 NESE	4.08	4.08			
404	110	A31-07030A	ROBERTSON, BARRY		07N 37E 17 NWNNE	4.22	4.22	3	38.18 + 75.00=\$113.18	\$113.18
409	110	A31-02282A	ROUNDY, KAMLAH	2527 N 665 E, ROUNDY	08N 34E 24 NENW	2.29	0			
409	110	A31-02372	ROUNDY, KAMLAH		08N 33E 24 NENW	2.2	0			
409	110	A31-04090	ROUNDY, KAMLAH		08N 34E 20 SESW	3.2	3.2			
409	110	A31-07196C	ROUNDY, KAMLAH		08N 33E 24 NENW	6.49	3.06	3	28.80 + 75.00=\$103.80	\$103.80
410	110	31-2319	ROUNDY, WILLIAM H	2526 N 665 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 28 NWSENW	8.28	8.28			
410	110	A31-07124	ROUNDY, WILLIAM H		07N 33E 8 NESE	5.49	5.49			
410	110	A32-02114	ROUNDY, WILLIAM		08N 33E 9 SESW	3.2	3.2			
410	110	A32-4000	ROUNDY, WILLIAM		08N 33E 17 NWSWSW	5.45	5.45			
410	110	A32-7074	ROUNDY, WILLIAM		08N 33E 9 SESW	2.23	2.23			
410	110	A31-07657	ROUNDY, WILLIAM H		08N 33E 14 NENWNW	3.2	3.2			
410	110	A31-02298	ROUNDY, WILLIAM		08N 34E 20 NESE	1.7	1.7	8	135.93 + 200.00=\$335.93	\$335.93
417	110	A31-04065	SANDERS, REED	2218 E 2350 N, HAMER	07N 36E 6 SWNW	0.6	0.6			
417	110	A31-07356	SANDERS, REED		07N 36E 5 NWSW	0.41	0.41	2	4.65 + 50.00=\$54.65	\$54.65
418	110	31-11435	SANDERS, REESE	PO BOX 236, HAMER	07N 36E 15 NWSWSE	0.76	0.76			
418	110	31-11681	SANDERS, REESE		07N 36E 15 SENWNE	0.28	0.28			
418	110	31-63T	SANDERS, REESE		07N 36E 14 SESWNW	0.15	0.15			
418	110	31-11436	SANDERS, REESE		07N 36E 15 SENWNE	0.62	0.62			
418	110	31-62T	SANDERS, REESE		07N 36E 14 SESWNW	0.59	0.59			
418	110	31-07318	SANDERS, REESE		07N 36E 15 SWSENE	1.52	1.52	5	18.03 + 125.00=\$143.03	\$143.03
420	110	31-2140C	SAUER FARMS INC	HC 63 BOX 2137, MONTEVIEW	09N 34E 32 NENE	6.6	6.6			
420	110	31-2140E	SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 34E 29 NESW	3.04	3.04			
420	110	31-2140F	DAVID SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 34E 29 NWNE	0.56	0.56			
420	110	32-2141A	SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 34E 32 NENESW	5.7	5.7			
420	110	32-2141B	L & D FARMS (LORIN & DIANE?)		09N 34E 31 NWNENW	12.06	12.06			
420	110	32-7013D	DAVID SAUER FARMS INC		09N 34E 21 NENWSW	3.34	3.34			
420	110	31-2140D	LORIN & DIANE SAUER		09N 34E 29 NWNE	6.24	6.24			
420	110	31-161A	SAUER, NEAL W		07N 34E 05 NENESE	2.77	2.77			
420	110	32-7023P	SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 33E 36 SENESE	4.97	4.97			
420	110	32-7023Q	RAY SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 33E 36 NWNW	0.1	0.1			
420	110	31-4011C	SAUER, RAY		07N 34E 05 NENESE	1.75	1.75			
420	110	31-4011B	SAUER, NEAL W.		07N 34E 18 SENWSW L3	1.64	1.64			
420	110	32-7023M	SAUER FARMS INC		09N 33E 36 NENE	4.47	4.47			

420	110	31-160B	SAUER, RAY		07N 34E 05 NENESW	0.75	0.75		
420	110	32-7023J	NEAL W. SAUER		09N 33E 36 NENENE	4.72	4.72		
420	110	31-161B	SAUER, CLARICE		07N 34E 18 SENWSW L3	2.03	2.03		
420	110	32-2127A	SAUER FARMS INC		09N 34E 30 NENWNE	2.14	2.14		
420	110	32-2127B	SAUER, DAVID W		09N 34E 20 NENWNW	1.81	1.81		
420	110	32-2127C	SAUER, DAVID SAUER FARMS INC		09N 34E 20 NENWNW	1.81	1.81		
420	110	32-2127D	LORIN & DIANE SAUER		09N 34E 29 NWNWNW	1.62	1.62		
420	110	32-7013C	SAUER, DAVID & MAGDALENE		09N 34E 20 SWSESE	4.01	4.01		
420	110	31-160A	SAUER, NEAL W.		07N 34E 05 NENESE	1.79	1.79		
420	110	32-7023N	RAY SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 33E 36 NWNW	0.1	0.1		
420	110	32-7023F	SAUER FARMS INC		09N 34E 30 NWNESW	2.01	2.01		
420	110	32-7099A	SAUER FARMS INC.		09N 34E 30 NWNESW	2.27	2.27		
420	110	32-7099B	L & D FARMS (LORIN & DIANE?)		09N 34E 32 NWNWNW	0.57	0.57		
420	110	32-7023G	DAVID SAUER		09N 33E 36 NENENE	4.11	4.11		
420	110	32-7023H	NEAL W. SAUER		09N 33E 25 SWNWE	4.97	4.97		
420	110	32-7064	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 33E 26 SENWSW	1.47	1.47		
420	110	32-7065	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 33E 26 SENWSW	1.74	1.74		
420	110	32-7069	SAUER, RAY		09N 33E 36 NENWNW	2.63	2.63		
420	110	32-7066	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 27 SENW	3.89	3.89		
420	110	32-7063	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 33E 24 NWNESW	1.87	1.87		
420	110	32-2140B	SAUER, LOREN & DIANE		09N 34E 32 NWNW	0.8	0.8		
420	110	32-7013A	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 27 SENW	1.95	1.95		
420	110	31-7320	SAUER, RAY		07N 33E 13 SWNESE	5.12	5.12		
420	110	32-7023C	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 20 NENWNW	2.09	2.06		
420	110	32-7023D	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 20 SWSESE	0.27	0.27		
420	110	32-7023E	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 33E 36 NENENE	1.97	1.97		
420	110	32-7032	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 27 SENW	3.45	3.45		
420	110	32-7049C	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 28 NENE	4.72	4.72		
420	110	32-7050C	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 28 SENW	3.5	3.5		
420	110	32-7022A	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		09N 34E 15 SWSESE	3.75	3.75		
420	110	31-10652	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		07N 33E 13 SWNESE	6.22	6.22		
420	110	31-2299	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		07N 33E 13 SWNESE	3.14	3.14		
420	110	31-2415	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		07N 33E 13 SWNESE	2.55	2.55		
420	110	31-4012	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		07N 33E 13 SWNESE	6.24	6.24		
420	110	31-7131	SAUER FARMS INC; SAUER ENTERPRISES		07N 34E 5 NESE	4.82	4.82	44	690.51 + 1100.00=\$1790.51
422	110	A31-7171	SAUREY, GRANT	2246 W 4000 N, REXBURG	07N 37E 12 NWNW	2.56	2.56	1	11.78 + 25.00=\$36.78
423	110	A31-00063N	SAVAGE, AARON		07N 36E 27 SENE	0.88	0.88		
423	110	A31-00062M	SAVAGE, AARON & LYLA		07N 36E 27 NWSE	0.74	0.74		
423	110	A31-00063M	SAVAGE, AARON & LYLA		07N 36E 27 NWSE	0.31	0.31		
423	110	A31-07117	SAVAGE, AARON & LYLA		07N 36E 34 NWNW	2.13	2.13		
423	110	A31-00062N	SAVAGE, AARON		07N 36E 27 SENE	2.12	2.12	3	28.43 + 75.00=\$103.43
424	110	A31-00062C	SAVAGE, BONNIE	1917 N 2500 E, HAMER	07N 36E 27 SESE	1.24	1.24		
424	110	A31-00063C	SAVAGE, BONNIE		07N 36E 27 NWSE	0.51	0.51		
424	110	31-00063L	SAVAGE, BONNIE		07N 36E 27 SESE	0.38	0.38	1	9.80 + 25.00=\$34.80
429	110	A31-02369	SEE FARMS INC	1040 W MAIN ST, REXBURG	08N 33E 32 NWSWNW	4.12	4.12		
429	110	A31-07123	SEE FARMS INC		08N 33E 32 SWNW	2.04	2.04	1	28.34 + 25.00=\$53.34
435	110	32-7081C	SHENTON, TOD H	HC 61, BOX 1320, DUBOIS	10N 35E 06 NWNE L2	1.89	1.89		
435	110	A32-07081B	SHENTON, DEAN	HC 61, BOX 1110, DUBOIS	10N 35E 6 NENWNE 2	4.16	4.16	1	27.83 + 25.00=\$52.83
438	110	A31-10683	SHUPE, ALAN	2296 E 1950 N, HAMER	07N 36E 21 NESE	0.215	0.215		
438	110	A31-02309	SHUPE, ALAN		07N 36E 21 NWSESE	0.8	0.8	1	4.67 + 25.00=\$29.67
439	110	31-11427	SHUPE, LYLE R & KARLENE	2296 E 1950 N, HAMER	07N 36E 21 NWSWSE	1.11	1.11		
439	110	31-11426	SHUPE, LYLE R & KARLENE		07N 36E 21 NWSESE	2.15	2.15		
439	110	A31-4018	SHUPE, LYLE R		07N 36E 29 NWNWSE	4.98	4.98		
439	110	A31-02432	SHUPE, LYLE R		07N 36E 28 NESWSW	3.44	3.44		
439	110	A31-4017	SHUPE, LYLE R		07N 36E 20 NESESE	3.84	3.84	5	79.39 + 125.00=\$196.39
440	110	A31-00063J	SHURTLIFF, RENE	2023 N OLD BUTTE HWY, HAMER	07N 36E 22 NESESE	1.61	1.61		
440	110	A31-00062J	SHURTLIFF, RENE		07N 36E 22 NESESE	0.69	0.69	1	10.58 + 25.00=\$35.58
443	110	31-07561	SKIDMORE, GARY & ANGELA	1268 E 1500 N, TERRETTON	06N 33E 24 SWNENE	2.26	2.26		
443	110	31-07562	SKIDMORE, GARY & ANGELA		06N 33E 24 SWNESE	2.27	2.27		
443	110	31-07564	SKIDMORE, GARY & ANGELA		06N 33E 24 NESW	4.35	4.35	3	40.85 + 75.00=\$115.85
447	110	31-04000A	SMITH, VICTORIA H	5933 N BRANSTETTER ST, BOISE	07N 36E 2 SENENE	2.53	2.53		
447	110	31-04000B	SMITH, VICTORIA H		07N 36E 2 SWNESE	2.47	2.47		
447	110	31-04001A	SMITH, VICTORIA H		07N 36E 11 NWSWSE	2.38	2.38		

447	110	31-04001B	SMITH, VICTORIA H		07N 36E 11 SWNESW	2.23	2.23			
447	110	31-07000	SMITH, VICTORIA H		07N 36E 2 SWSNW	5.07	5.07			
447	110	31-07001	SMITH, VICTORIA H		07N 36E 11 SWSNE	4.84	4.84	6	89.79 + 150.00=\$239.79	\$239.79
467	110	31-02302	STALLINGS, ESTELLA R	1570 CANAL ST, IDAHO FALLS	08N 36E 21 SESE	0.7	0.7			
467	110	31-7026	STALLINGS, ESTELLA R		08N 36E 27 SWNW	2.6	2.6	2	15.18 + 50.00=\$65.18	\$65.18
470	110	31-02338	STEWART IND INC	1791 E 800 N, MONTEVIEW	07N 34E 6 NWNNW	2.5	2.5	1	11.50 + 25.00=\$36.50	\$36.50
472	110	31-02278A	STEWART, SUSAN	2700 N 1090 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 34E 16 SENW	2.23	2.23			
472	110	31-02278E	STEWART, SUSAN		08N 34E 16 SENW	2.55	2.55			
472	110	31-02334A	STEWART, SUSAN		08N 34E 16 SENW	1.1	1.1			
472	110	A31-02334B	STEWART, SUSAN		08N 34E 16 SENW	1.26	1.26	1	32.84 + 25.00=\$57.84	\$57.84
478	110	A32-07117	SULLIVAN, M FRANK (blue creek)	PO BOX 67, MONTEVIEW	09N 34E 6 NWNESW	2.54	2.54			
478	110	A32-07024	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 8 NENENW	3.16	3.16			
478	110	32-07055A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 06 SWNE	3.13	3.13			
478	110	32-07055B	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 NWNW	0.66	0.66			
478	110	A32-07110B	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 SENE	0.17	0.17			
478	110	A32-07112B	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 SENE	0.03	0.03			
478	110	A32-07017	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 7 SESESE	5.42	5.42			
478	110	A31-02333	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		08N 34E 18 NENW	3.1	3.1			
478	110	31-07182A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		07N 33E 5 NWNESW	6.78	6.78			
478	110	A32-07029A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 7 NESW	2.45	2.45			
478	110	A32-07029B	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 SENE	0.03	0.03			
478	110	A32-07045A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 NWNW	3.47	3.47			
478	110	A32-07045B	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 33E 12 SENE	1.69	1.69			
478	110	A32-07110A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 7 SENW	4.11	4.11			
478	110	A31-07055	SULLIVAN, M FRANK (c & s)		07N 33E 15 SWSESW	4.49	4.49			
478	110	A32-07112A	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		09N 34E 18 NWNW	2.48	2.48			
478	110	31-11696	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		07N 33E 5 SWNNWNW	1.83	1.83			
478	110	31-11692	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		07N 33E 5 SWNNWNW	3	3			
478	110	31-11699	SULLIVAN, M FRANK		07N 33E 5 SWNNWNW	0.25	0	16	223.28 + 400.00=\$623.28	\$623.28
491	110	A31-04022B	TELFORD, ROBERT K	827 EAST 2600 NORTH, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 24 SWSESW	0.6	0.6			
491	110	A31-07337	TELFORD, ROBERT K		08N 33E 24 NESWSW	0.3	0.3			
491	110	A31-04022A	TELFORD, ROBERT K		08N 33E 24 NESWSW	0.6	0.6	2	6.90 + 50.00=\$56.90	\$56.90
499	110	A31-07298	TORGERSON, RONALD	1854 N 2500 E, HAMER	07N 36E 35 SWNNWNW	0.68	0.68	1	3.13 + 25.00=\$28.13	\$28.13
500	110	A31-07257	TORGERSON, WAYNE		07N 33E 12 SWSE	2.6	2.6	1	11.96 + 25.00=\$36.96	\$36.96
509	110	A31-11202	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, USDA, ARS	USDA ARS, HC 62 BOX 2010, DUBOIS	11N 36E 34 NENE	0.334	0.334			
509	110	34-07546	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, USDA, ARS		06N 33E 13 NESE	0.25	0.25	2	2.69 + 50.00=\$52.69	\$52.69
511	110	A31-07301	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS	CAMAS NWR, 2150 E 2350 N, HAMER	07N 36E 5 NESW	8.78	0			
511	110	A31-04016	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 35E 25 NENW	1.6	0			
511	110	A31-11229	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		08N 36E 28 NWSW	7.12	0			
511	110	A31-11230	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 35E 1 NESE	11.06	0			
511	110	A31-11231	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 35E 1 NWSE	15.7	0			
511	110	A31-11232	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 36E 6 NWSE	43.3	0			
511	110	A31-02363	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 36E 6 NWSE	39	0			
511	110	A31-02251	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 36E 6 NESE	2.2	0			
511	110	A31-02322	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		08N 36E 28 NWSW	5.78	0			
511	110	A31-02350	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 35E 1 NESE	11.06	0			
511	110	A31-02362	AMERICA, ACTING THROUGH, US - CAMAS		07N 35E 1 NWSE	10.6	0	9	0 + 225.00=\$225.00	\$225.00
513	110	A31-07081	VADNAIS, LARRY	2871 N 800 E, MONTEVIEW	08N 33E 11 NWNENE	1.57	1.57	1	7.22 + 25.00=\$32.22	\$32.22
514	110	31-02389	VALCARCE, DIANE SAVAGE	1719 HARGIS HILL, WILLARD, UT	07N 36E 26 NWSW	2	2	1	9.20 + 25.00=\$34.20	\$34.20
522	110	31-07408	WAHOO INC. C	3930 E 2000 N, IDAHO FALLS	11N 35E 29 NENE	5	5			
522	110	A31-07016	WAHOO INC		06N 35E 12 SESESE	5.52	5.52	2	48.39 + 50.00=\$98.39	\$98.39
524	110	A31-04053	WALKER LAND & CATTLE	PO BOX 129, MENAN	07N 36E 15 SESESW	1	1			
524	110	A31-02387	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO		07N 36E 3 SWNNWSE	4.46	4.46			
524	110	A31-02427C	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO.		08N 36E 26 SENW	4.46	4.46			
524	110	A31-02437	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO		07N 37E 4 NWNESW	9.09	9.09			
524	110	A31-02435	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO		08N 36E 23 NESE	10	10			
524	110	A31-10275	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO		07N 37E 4 NWNESW	0.26	0.26			
524	110	A31-04054	WALKER LAND & CATTLE		07N 36E 15 NENWSW	2	2			
524	110	A31-04055	WALKER LAND & CATTLE		07N 36E 21 SENENE	3	3			
524	110	31-11684	WALKER LAND & CATTLE			2.5	2.5			
524	110	A31-07565	WALKER LAND & CATTLE CO		08N 37E 16 NENE	10.4	10.4	14	216.98 + 350.00=\$566.98	\$566.98
527	110	A31-02465	WALKER, ALFRED W	PO BOX 1144, THAYNE, WY	08N 33E 10 SENENE	2.42	2.42	1	11.13 + 25.00=\$36.13	\$36.13
528	110	A31-02450	WALKER, PAUL; WALKER, ELMA	1638 MOUNTAIN ROSE DR, IDAHO FALLS	08N 33E 10 NESW	1.73	1.73			

528	110	A31-02380	WALKER, PAUL; WALKER, ELMA		08N 33E 10 NENE	1.92	1.92	1	16.79 + 25.00=\$41.79	\$41.79
529	110	A31-07226	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M	PO BOX 129, MENAN	08N 37E 22 NWSE	2.63	2.63			
529	110	A31-07134	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 21 SWSW	2.16	2.16			
529	110	A31-07135	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 21 SWSE	2.28	2.28			
529	110	A31-07136	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SESE	2.19	2.19			
529	110	A31-07137	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SWSW	1.91	1.91			
529	110	A31-07132	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 21 SWNW	2.19	2.19			
529	110	A31-07093	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SENE	1.92	1.92			
529	110	A31-07138	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SENW	2.34	2.34			
529	110	A31-07133	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 21 SWNE	1.99	1.99			
529	110	A31-02365A	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 29 NENE	1.82	1.82			
529	110	A31-02365B	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SWSE	0.42	0.42			
529	110	A31-10699	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 28 SWSE	1.82	1.82			
529	110	A31-07229	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 23 NENW	1.86	1.86			
529	110	A31-07228	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 23 NWSW	1.87	1.87			
529	110	A31-07227	WALKER, ROLAND L; WALKER, DOROTHY M		08N 37E 22 SENE	2.19	2.19	13	136.11 + 325.00=\$461.11	\$461.11
538	110	A31-10533	WEST JEFFERSON MEMORIAL CEMETARY DI	TERRETON, ID	06N 34E 22 NWNW	0.055	0.055	1	25 + 25.00=\$25.25	\$25.25
539	110	A31-10294	WEST JEFFERSON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 253	HC 63, BOX 1000, TERRETON	06N 34E 22 NWNWNE	0.2	0.2	1	92 + 25.00=\$25.92	\$25.92
544	110	A31-07216	WILCOX BROTHERS LLC	1110 GOLDEN BEAUTY DR., REXBURG	07N 34E 10 NWNE	2.16	2.16			
544	110	A31-02346	WILCOX BROTHERS LLC		07N 34E 10 NWNE	6.94	6.94			
544	110	A31-02376	WILCOX BROTHERS LLC		07N 34E 10 NWNE	3.26	3.26			
544	110	A31-02428	WILCOX BROTHERS LLC		07N 34E 10 NWNE	2.16	2.16			
544	110	A31-02449	WILCOX BROTHERS LLC		07N 34E 10 NWNE	1.54	1.54	4	73.88 + 100.00=\$173.88	\$173.88
550	110	A31-07557A	WOOD, LYNN & JANET	1014 E 1500 N, TERRETON	06N 34E 31 NESWNE	2.85	2.85			
550	110	31-11652	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 35E 35 NWSWNW	0.6	0			
550	110	A31-07567	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 30 NESW	6.44	6.44			
550	110	A31-07560B	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 30 NESWSE	3.28	3.28			
550	110	A31-07560A	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 30 NWSENE	2.67	2.67			
550	110	A31-07559B	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 31 NWSENW	2.52	2.52			
550	110	A31-07557B	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 31 NESWSE	2.85	2.85			
550	110	A31-07204	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 35E 35 NWSWNW	3.08	3.08			
550	110	A31-07170	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		07N 33E 6 NESE	2.36	2.36			
550	110	A31-07122	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		07N 33E 6 NESE	3.16	3.16			
550	110	A31-07109	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		08N 33E 32 NWSW	6.26	5.01			
550	110	A31-02371	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 35E 26 NWSWSW	4.14	4.14			
550	110	A31-02327A	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 35E 26 NWSWSW	4	0.17			
550	110	A31-07559A	WOOD, LYNN & JANET		06N 34E 31 SWNESW	2.59	2.59	12	189.15 + 300.00=\$489.15	\$489.15
551	110	A31-07095A	WOODARD, PERRY L	PO BOX 85, HAMER	07N 36E 32 SWSWNW	2.36	2.36			
551	110	A31-02408A	WOODARD, PERRY L		07N 36E 31 SESENE	0.67	0.67			
551	110	A31-02307A	WOODARD, PERRY L		07N 36E 31 SESENE	0.53	0.53	2	16.38 + 50.00=\$66.38	\$66.38
552	110	A32-02132	WOODFIELD, ELMAN T	2619 E 725 N, ROBERTS	09N 35E 5 SESW	6.13	6.13	1	28.25 + 25.00=\$53.20	\$53.20
557	110	31-07310	YEARSLEY, KIM C	HC 69 BOX 1940, HAMER	07N 36E 22 SWNW	0.3	0.3	1	1.38 + 25.00=\$26.38	\$26.38
558	110	A31-07141B	YEARSLEY, WADE	2354 E 1900 N, HAMER	07N 36E 27 SWNW	1.08	1.08			
558	110	31-07287	YEARSLEY, WADE		07N 36E 22 NWSW	0.9	0.9			
558	110	A31-07141A	YEARSLEY, WADE		07N 36E 28 NESE	2.58	2.58	3	20.98 + 75.00=\$95.98	\$95.98
562	110	32-4074	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN	PO BOX 75, TERRETON	09N 33E 33 NENE	0.09	0.09			
562	110	32-7257	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.75	0.75			
562	110	32-7011B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.72	0.72			
562	110	32-2133B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.76	0.76			
562	110	32-2104B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.41	0.41			
562	110	32-7090B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.83	0.83			
562	110	32-7256	STEPHEN & PAMELA ZWEIFEL		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.61	0.61			
562	110	32-7258	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	1.17	1.17			
562	110	31-7182C	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 33 NWNWSW	0.95	0.95			
562	110	32-7259	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN & PAMELA		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.19	0.19			
562	110	32-2105B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.55	0.55			
562	110	32-2117B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.48	0.48			
562	110	32-2119B	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 33 NENE	0.91	0.91			
562	110	31-2303A	ROBERT & STEPHEN ZWEIFEL		08N 33E 33 NWNWSW	3.03	3.03			
562	110	31-07118A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 32 NWNWNE	6.65	6.65			
562	110	32-7011A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 4 NWSWNW	8.59	8.59			
562	110	32-2133A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 34 SWSWNW	5.64	5.64			
562	110	32-2119A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		09N 33E 34 SESENE	5.11	5.11			

562	110	32-2117A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 4 NWSESW	3.73	3.73		
562	110	32-2105A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 4 NWNWNW	3.65	3.65		
562	110	32-2104A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 4 NWNWNW	2.62	2.62		
562	110	32-7090A	ZWEIFEL, STEPHEN VERN		08N 33E 4 NWNWNW 4	8.14	8.14	9	255.67 + 225.00=\$480.67
563	110	31-4010A	ZWEIFEL, GLENN F	2012 HENRYANNA AVE, IDAHO FALLS	08N 33E 28 NWSE	0.63	0.63		
563	110	31-2459A	ZWEIFEL, GLENN F		08N 33E 28 NWSE	5.37	5.37	2	27.60 + 50.00=\$77.60
564	110	31-7293D	NEIBAUR, RYAN	1650 CLAREMONT LN, IDAHO FALLS	07N 37E 6 SWNENE 1	2.16	2.16	1	9.94 + 25.00=\$34.94
571	110	31-04004	ELLIS, KENNETH P	12034 S. 1900 W., RIVERTON, UT	07N 36E 32 NWNWNE	4.2	4.2	1	19.32 + 25.00=\$44.32
572	110	A31-02327B	JRG PARTNERS II	22050 REGNART RD, CUPERTINO, CA	06N 35E 26 NWSWSW	1.2	1.2		
572	110	31-11844	JRG PARTNERS II		06N 35E 26 SENWNE	0.59	0.59	1	8.23 + 25.00=\$33.23
576	110	31-2406A	STANDARD INDUSTRIES INC.	3212 S STATE ST, SLC, UT	06N 33E 01 SWSESE	2.3	2.3	1	10.58 + 25.00=\$35.58
577	110	31-7489	RDO PROCESSING	PO BOX 265, DUBOIS	09N 36E 28 NWNE	1	1		
577	110	31-7128	RDO PROCESSING		09N 36E 28 NWNE	0.2	0.2	1	5.52 + 25.00=\$30.52
580	110	A32-02135	HOWARD TAYLOR & SONS	PO BOX 51780, IDAHO FALLS	10N 35E 31 SENW	2.7	2.7	1	12.42 + 25.00=\$37.42
581	110	31-7267B	WEEKS, STEVE	45785 COUNTY RD #16, COPE, CO	05N 35E 6 SENE 1	3.02	3.02		
581	110	31-10964B	WEEKS, STEVE		05N 35E 6 SENE 1	2.86	2.86	1	27.05 + 25.00
			SOME OF NWMD EXCESS FUNDS TO OFFSET COSTS PER RESOLUTION						\$13,800
						2188.545	729	TOTAL	42040.45

11:41 AM
07/26/06
Accrual Basis

Water District 110
Sales by Item Summary
May 1 through July 26, 2006

May 1 - Jul 26, 06							
	Qty	Amount	% of Sales	Avg Price	COGS	Avg COGS	Gross Margin %
Service							
2006 CFS	2,188.545	10,067.34	35.6%	4.60			
2006 Well Charge	728	18,200.00	64.4%	25.00			
Total Service		28,267.34	100.0%				
TOTAL		28,267.34	100.0%				

2194 ~~43~~ with addition of stew weeks
water rights + billing. ☺
okay!!

WATER DISTRICT INFORMATION SHEET

~~2006~~ 2006

Year

110

Water District #/Stream Name

LYLE SWANK

Watermaster Name

900 N. SKYLINE DR., STE. A, IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402

Address

(208) 525-7171

Phone Number

GENE HANSEN

Assistant Watermaster Name

(SAME)

Address

(SAME)

Phone Number

TERRY KLIPFEL

Secretary Name

SAME

Address

SAME

Phone Number

Please fill in the blank information above and return it to the Idaho Department of Water Resources. Your cooperation on this matter would be greatly appreciated. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Janice Krahn
Water Rights Secretary

PETITION FOR WATERMASTER'S SERVICES

IDAHO FALLS, Idaho

JULY 21, 2006

RE: Water District No. 110

Stream: _____

TO: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

I, the undersigned, owner or manager of ditches or person controlling ditches in Water District No. 110, hereby request the services of a watermaster for the reason that there is a necessity for the use and control of the waters of the District.

NAME OF WATERMASTER: LYLE SWANK

ADDRESS OF WATERMASTER: 900 N. SKYLINE DR, STE A

IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402

PHONE NUMBER: (208)525-7171

Date watermaster is to start: MAY 17, 2006

If known, the date services of watermaster are to terminate: _____

Lyle Swank (SAME) (208)525-7171
signature address telephone

W A R N I N G: Watermaster cannot begin services until ALL conditions of appointment have been fulfilled.

110 water
master
oath of office


BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss OFFICIAL OATH
County of BONNEVILLE)

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the
Constitution of the United States, the Constitution and laws of
the State of Idaho, specifically including the provisions of
Section 42-605 and 42-607, Idaho Code and that I will faithfully
discharge all the duties of the office of WATERMASTER
_____ according to the
best of my ability. So help me God.

Lyle Swank
Principal

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 11th day of July,
2006.



SEAL

Lisa Snarr
NOTARY PUBLIC
Residing at Bonneville County
Commission Expires 6/12/12

WATER DISTRICT 110
put in Janice's Inbox
6/19/06
copy

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

STATE OF IDAHO)

) ss

OFFICIAL OATH

County of BONNEVILLE)

I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the
Constitution of the United States, the Constitution and laws of
the State of Idaho, specifically including the provisions of
Section 42-605 and 42-607, Idaho Code and that I will faithfully
discharge all the duties of the office of TREASURER
_____ according to the
best of my ability. So help me God.

Dale Rockwood

Principal

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 15 day of June,
2006.



SEAL

Lisa Snarr

NOTARY PUBLIC

Residing at Bonneville County

Commission Expires 6-12-12

RECEIVED

JAN 09 2006

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

January 3, 2006

Re: Water District 110 Steering Committee Meeting

The Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") conducted a hearing in Terreton on December 5, 2005 regarding creation of a permanent water district in the Mud Lake area. IDWR proposes to designate the district as Water District No. 110, which includes Administrative Basins 31 and 32 overlying the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer ("ESPA"). The boundaries of the district are proposed to be the same as the North ESPA Water Measurement District, except that portions of Basins 33 and 34 within the ESPA will not be included at this time.

At the hearing on December 5th, Director Dreher indicated that the Department would convene a steering committee comprised of representative water users within the proposed water district. The Director recommended that the committee meet once or twice prior to the Water District No. 110 annual meeting. A steering committee is needed to help water users prepare for the first annual water district meeting and provide orderly transition from the water measurement district to the water district. The committee will also need to develop resolutions for the upcoming annual meeting.

You are hereby invited to the first Water District No. 110 Steering Committee, to be held as follows:

Date: January 18, 2005

Time: 10:00 a.m. - Noon.

Location: West Jefferson High School Advanced Learning Center (former
LDS (former LDS Church site adjacent to High School)
Highway 93 North
Terreton, ID

The purpose of this first meeting is to address questions about creation of the water district, the scope and requirements of the district, and transition from the measurement district to the water district. A proposed meeting agenda is attached. Also attached is a list of invited attendees, including the current North Water Measurement District advisory committee and other water user representatives from the Water District No. 110 area.

IDWR will try to schedule the first annual water district meeting on the same date reserved for the North ESPA Water Measurement District (February 8, 2006), pending a final order issued by the Director.

Questions, concerns or needs related to the scheduled meeting may be directed to Tim Luke by calling 208-287-4959, or by e-mail at tim.luke@idwr.idaho.gov. You may also contact Gene

Hansen, North Measurement District Hydrographer at the IDWR Regional office in Idaho Falls at 208-525-7161, or by e-mail at gene.hansen@idwr.idaho.gov.

Sincerely,

Tim Luke
Manager, Water Distribution

Cc: Karl Dreher, Director, Idaho Department of Water Resources
Ron Carlson, IDWR Eastern Region Manager
Gene Hansen, North Measurement District, IDWR Eastern Region

WATER DISTRICT NO. 110
STEERING COMMITTEE

MEETING AGENDA
January 18, 2006
10:00 a.m. - Noon

- I. Water District 110 Creation Tim Luke, IDWR
 - a. Background information, timeline update
 - b. Transition from Measurement District to Water District

- II. Ground Water District Formation Don Parker/Kirk Jacobs

- III. Water District Responsibilities Tim Luke, IDWR
 - a. Annual meeting, resolutions, elections, governance
 - b. Watermaster Duties
 - c. Minimum standards for district

- IV. Water District Resolutions All Participants

- V. Schedule Next Meeting & Adjournment All Participants

WD 110 Steering Committee Meeting
(Final) Minutes
January 18, 2006

Tim Luke began the meeting at approximately 10:10 a.m. IDWR employees Lyle Swank, Ron Carlson, Gene Hansen, and Water District 1 employees Wendy Murphy and Terry Klipfel were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees.

Tim gave introductions and some background information and a timeline update for the formation of the new water district. He said that Water District 110 would be comprised of ground water rights from basins 31 & 32 within the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA), and talked about the transition from a water measurement district to a water district. Tim said he had drafted an order for the formation of the Water District, but the Director still needs to sign it. The goal is to have the order signed quickly in order that the meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 8th, for the North Water Measurement District would be the first annual meeting of Water District 110. IDWR will send out meeting notices.

There was more discussion about the draft order. Tim said written comments had been received and reviewed, but only a couple of folks testified at the hearing. The possibility of including entities within WD 110 as sub-districts also took place (these might include canal company wells, or the Camas Wildlife Refuge within the proposed water district). There were some comments about including wells within Water District 31. Tim proposed leaving Water District 31 a separate district, which would include the ground water rights and wells subject to the Mud Lake Agreement. All other ground water rights and wells would be part of Water District 110. There were some concerns voiced over the Fish & Wildlife Service reporting their 9 diversions due to an agreement with IDWR. He said Gene Hansen of the Measurement District conducts periodic meter calibrations of the measuring devices used on Camas Refuge wells to insure accurate reporting by the Fish & Wildlife Service. Tim said there are other entities that report to IDWR under similar agreements with the North Water Measurement District, including Montevieu and Producer's Canal Companies.

Tim discussed the various regulatory duties of a watermaster, including the monitoring of illegal use by water users via satellite analysis. Several other duties such as addressing water right inquiries, helping to resolve complaints or delivery issues, overseeing measuring and reporting, and reviewing water right transfers were also discussed. Should the Water District 110 area be pulled into a delivery call from senior right holders on the Snake River, the watermaster may have to provide for the curtailment of certain water rights, and help to monitor mitigation plans provided by the users in the water district and approved by the Director. Tim did say that enforcement of water rights and monitoring of mitigation plans within Water District 110 would probably not be that significant.

Tim asked Don Parker to give an update on the formation of the new ground water district. Don said signatures, etc., still need to be taken to the county, and asked Tim

what IDWR's responsibilities are. Tim said IDWR is only responsible for providing a certified list of all water rights within the proposed boundaries and also to submit a written report to the County Commission regarding the proposed boundaries of the ground water district. Don read a copy of the letter that will be submitted to the county with the signatures requesting a new ground water district be formed. Don said that the proper number of signatures within the county tax base had been verified for submittal of the proposal. Mud Lake Water Users have agreed to put up the necessary bond to cover the cost of election for the proposed ground water district. Don still had questions as to the need of forming a ground water district. Tim explained differences within the code between water districts and ground water districts.

Conversation turned back to the formation of the Water District and the responsibilities of that district including, electing a water master, adopting a budget, adopting resolutions for the operation of the water district, and the formation of an advisory committee.

The election of the watermaster by the water users was the next topic of conversation. Tim told attendees that the Director has said IDWR would provide watermaster services to the Water District for two years free, should members elect an IDWR employee suggested by the department. If water users decide to elect someone outside of IDWR, the cost would be incurred upon the Water District and its members.

There was also discussion about legislation that would pass on costs of water model analysis and maintenance to the water users sometime in the future. Tim said the shared costs to water districts hydraulically connected to the ESPA could go as high as 1.2 million dollars per year (the limit established by statute), but would most likely not be incurred upon the districts until sometime in 2007. There was lengthy discussion about the differences in costs to water users depending upon whether or not they elect a watermaster from IDWR verses electing someone from outside of the department and contracting services for measuring and reporting. Kirk Jacobs gave his opinion, stating that he believed there had been many cost benefits over the years because some services, such as work Wendy has done, that has not been charged to the water users. Also, the lower cost of using IDWR office space, departmental vehicles, etc., has kept costs to the water users lower. Bryce Contor expressed his views, stating that he believed his costs would be somewhat higher should he be elected hydrographer, and measuring and reporting be contracted out. There was also some discussion, pro and con, about the possibility of counties collecting money for water districts.

Tim asked Wendy to discuss the North Budget Comparison. Wendy said income was \$76,181. Expenses to date were \$78,800 with the IDWR contract expenses not yet included. With the contract, the amount for expenses would be somewhere around \$80,000. \$1500 dollars for office supplies and the rental of measurement equipment from Water District 1 were the only other real expenses. There is \$36,800 in the account with about \$2800 needing to be paid out to those who have overpaid. After paying those, the account balance would be approximately \$33,998. An annual audit still needs to be done. Current liabilities are \$1,390.00. After all is considered, including an IDWR contract charge, there will be about \$27,000 that will need to transition from the Water

Measurement District to the Water District. Ron Carlson said he thinks the statute envisioned this transition so it shouldn't be a problem.

Tim talked briefly about the resolutions, stating they could be examined now, or looked over and addressed at the advisory meeting that is scheduled for Wednesday, February 1st, in Idaho Falls. Meeting attendees decided to discuss resolutions at the upcoming advisory meeting.

There was a question about PCC and the ET Method Study, and brief discussion followed. Some water users hope that there can be a change in the frequency of the PCC measurements. Tim suggested that IDWR try to review the data and address if the frequency can be extended. Concerns about system changes by Tim Luke and Gene Hansen were cited as reasons this might not be possible. Tim also mentioned that the Snake River canal companies or others who have made delivery calls in the past have expressed concerns over the years that the PCC method was not adequate, or that measurements should be more frequent. However, in the last year or two they seem to have back off that position.

There was no other discussion and the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:45 a.m.

WD 110 Steering Committee Meeting
Minutes
January 18, 2006

Tim Luke began the meeting at approximately 10:10 a.m. IDWR employees Lyle Swank, Ron Carlson, Gene Hansen, and Water District 1 employees Wendy Murphy and Terry Klipfel were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees.

Tim gave introductions and some background information and a timeline update for the formation of the new water district. He said that Water District 110 would be comprised of ground water rights from basins 31 & 32 within the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA), and talked about the transition from a water measurement district to a water district. Tim said he had drafted an order for the formation of the Water District, but the Director still needs to sign it. The goal is to have the order signed quickly in order that the meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 8th, for the North Water Measurement District would be the first annual meeting of Water District 110. IDWR will send out meeting notices.

There was more discussion about the draft order. Tim said written comments had been received and reviewed, but only a couple of folks testified at the hearing. The possibility of including entities within WD 110 as sub-districts also took place (these might include canal company wells, or the Camas Wildlife Refuge within the proposed water district). There were some comments about including wells within Water District 31. Tim proposed leaving Water District 31 a separate district, which would include the ground water rights and wells subject to the Mud Lake Agreement. All other ground water rights and wells would be part of Water District 110. There were some concerns voiced over the Fish & Wildlife Service reporting their 9 diversions due to an agreement with IDWR. He said Gene Hansen of the Measurement District conducts periodic meter calibrations of the measuring devices used on Camas Refuge wells to insure accurate reporting by the Fish & Wildlife Service. Tim said there are other entities that report to IDWR under similar agreements with the North Water Measurement District, including Montevieu and Producer's Canal Companies.

Tim discussed the various regulatory duties of a watermaster, including the monitoring of illegal use by water users via satellite analysis. Several other duties such as addressing water right inquiries, helping to resolve complaints or delivery issues, overseeing measuring and reporting, and reviewing water right transfers were also discussed. Should the Water District 110 area be pulled into a delivery call from senior right holders on the Snake River, the watermaster may have to provide for the curtailment of certain water rights, and help to monitor mitigation plans provided by the users in the water district and approved by the Director. Tim did say that enforcement of water rights and monitoring of mitigation plans within Water District 110 would probably not be that significant.

Tim asked Don Parker to give an update on the formation of the new ground water district. Don said signatures, etc., still need to be taken to the county, and asked Tim

what IDWR's responsibilities are. Tim said IDWR is only responsible for providing a certified list of all water rights within the proposed boundaries and also to submit a written report to the County Commission regarding the proposed boundaries of the ground water district. Don read a copy of the letter that will be submitted to the county with the signatures requesting a new ground water district be formed. Don said that the proper number of signatures within the county tax base had been verified for submittal of the proposal. Mud Lake Water Users have agreed to put up the necessary bond to cover the cost of election for the proposed ground water district. Don still had questions as to the need of forming a ground water district. Tim explained differences within the code between water districts and ground water districts.

Conversation turned back to the formation of the Water District and the responsibilities of that district including, electing a water master, adopting a budget, adopting resolutions for the operation of the water district, and the formation of an advisory committee.

The election of the watermaster by the water users was the next topic of conversation. Tim told attendees that the Director has said IDWR would provide watermaster services to the Water District for two years free, should members elect the IDWR employee suggested by the department. If water users decide to elect someone outside of IDWR, the cost would be incurred upon the Water District and its members. There was also discussion about legislation that would pass on costs of water model analysis and maintenance to the water users sometime in the future. Tim said the shared costs to water districts hydraulically connected to the ESPA could go as high as 1.2 million dollars per year (the limit established by statute), but would most likely not be incurred upon the districts until sometime in 2007. There was lengthy discussion about the differences in costs to water users depending upon whether or not they elect a watermaster from IDWR verses electing someone from outside of the department and contracting services for measuring and reporting. Kirk Jacobs gave his opinion, stating that he believed there had been many cost benefits over the years because some services, such as work Wendy has done, that has not been charged to the water users. Also, the lower cost of using IDWR office space, departmental vehicles, etc., has kept costs to the water users lower. Bryce Contor expressed his views, stating that he believed his costs would be somewhat higher should he be elected hydrographer, and measuring and reporting be contracted out. There was also some discussion, pro and con, about the possibility of counties collecting money for water districts.

Tim asked Wendy to discuss the North Budget Comparison. Wendy said income was \$76,181. Expenses to date were \$78,800 with the IDWR contract expenses not yet included. With the contract, the amount for expenses would be somewhere around \$80,000. \$1500 dollars for office supplies and the rental of measurement equipment from Water District 1 were the only other real expenses. There is \$36,800 in the account with about \$2800 needing to be paid out to those who have overpaid. After paying those, the account balance would be approximately \$33,998. An annual audit still needs to be done. Current liabilities are \$1,390.00. After all is considered, including an IDWR contract charge, there will be about \$27,000 that will need to transition from the Water

Measurement District to the Water District. Ron Carlson said he thinks the statute envisioned this transition so it shouldn't be a problem.

Tim talked briefly about the resolutions, stating they could be examined now, or looked over and addressed at the advisory meeting that is scheduled for Wednesday, February 1st, in Idaho Falls. Meeting attendees decided to discuss resolutions at the upcoming advisory meeting.

There was a question about PCC and the ET Method Study, and brief discussion followed. Some water users hope that there can be a change in the frequency of the PCC measurements. Tim suggested that IDWR try to review the data and address if the frequency can be extended. Concerns about system changes by Tim Luke and Gene Hansen were cited as reasons this might not be possible. Tim also mentioned that the Snake River canal companies or others who have made delivery calls in the past have expressed concerns over the years that the PCC method was not adequate, or that measurements should be more frequent. However, in the last year or two they seem to have back off that position.

There was no other discussion and the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:45 a.m.

changes made by T.L.
on (1-23-06).

Suggested changes to
"Draft" minutes by
Tim Luke of Boise

WD 110 Steering Committee Meeting
"Draft" Minutes
January 18, 2006

Tim Luke began the meeting at approximately 10:10 a.m. IDWR employees Lyle Swank, Ron Carlson, Gene Hansen, and Water District 1 employees Wendy Murphy and Terry Klipfel were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees.

Tim gave introductions and some background information and a timeline update for the formation of the new water district. He said that ~~most of~~ Water District 110 would be comprised of ground water rights from basins 31 & 32 within the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA), and talked about the transition from a water measurement district to a water district. Tim said he had drafted an order for the formation of the Water District, but the Director still needs to sign it. The goal is to have the order signed quickly in order that the meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 9th, (my notes indicate the NWMD meeting was scheduled for Feb. 8th) for the North Water Measurement District would be the first annual meeting of Water District 110. IDWR will send out meeting notices.

There was more discussion about the draft order. Tim said written comments had been received and reviewed, but only a couple of folks testified at the hearing. The possibility of including entities other small districts within WD 110 as sub-districts also took place (these might include city wells & canal company wells or the Camas Wildlife Refuge within the proposed water district). There were some comments about including wells within Water District 31. Tim proposed leaving Water District 31 a separate entity district, which would include the ground water rights and wells subject to the Mud Lake Agreement. All other ground water rights and wells would be ~~However, he did propose including wells with non-decreed water rights within Water District 31 as part of~~ Water District 110. There were some concerns voiced over the Fish & Wildlife Service reporting their 9 diversions due to an agreement with IDWR. He said that Gene Hansen of the Measurement District conducts periodic meter calibrations of the measuring devices used at on Camas Refuge wells to insure accurate reporting by the Fish & Wildlife Service. Tim said there are other entities s who report to IDWR under similar agreements with the North Measurement District including Montevieu and Producer's Canal Companies. ~~He also said that meter calibrations are conducted by Gene Hansen of IDWR to insure accurate reporting by these entities.~~

Tim discussed the various regulatory duties of a watermaster, including the monitoring of illegal use by water users via satellite analysis. Several other duties such as addressing water right inquiries, helping to resolve complaints or delivery issues, overseeing measuring and reporting, and reviewing water right transfers were also discussed. Should the Water District 110 area be pulled into a delivery call from senior right holders on the Snake River, the watermaster may have to provide for the curtailment of certain water rights, and help to monitor mitigation plans provided by the users in the water district and

approved by ~~to~~ the Director. Tim did say that enforcement of water rights and monitoring of mitigation plans within Water District 110 would probably not be that significant.

Tim asked Don Parker to give an update on the formation of the new ground water district. Don said signatures, etc., still need to be taken to the county, and asked Tim what IDWR's responsibilities are. Tim said IDWR is only responsible for providing a certified list of all water rights within the proposed boundaries and also to submit a written report to the County Commission regarding the proposed boundaries of the ground water district. Don read a copy of the letter that will be submitted to the county with the signatures requesting a new ground water district be formed. Don said that the proper number of signatures within the county tax base had been verified for submittal of the proposal. Mud Lake Water Users have agreed to put up the necessary bond to cover the cost of election for the proposed ground water district. Don still had questions as to the need of forming a ground water district. Tim explained differences within the code between water districts and ground water districts.

Conversation turned back to the formation of the Water District and the responsibilities of that district including, electing a water master, adopting a budget, adopting resolutions for the operation of the water district, and the formation of an advisory committee.

The election of the watermaster by the water users was the next topic of conversation. Tim told attendees that the Director has said IDWR would provide watermaster services to the Water District should members elect the IDWR employee suggested by the department. If water users decide to elect someone outside of IDWR, the cost would be incurred upon the Water District and its members. There was also discussion about legislation that would pass on costs of water model analysis and maintenance to the water users sometime in the future. Tim said the shared costs to water districts hydraulically connected to the ESPA could go as high as 1.2 million dollars per year (the limit established by statute), but would most likely not be incurred upon the districts until sometime in 2007. There was lengthy discussion about the differences in costs to water users depending upon whether or not they elect a watermaster from IDWR verses electing someone from outside of the department and contracting services for measuring and reporting. Kirk Jacobs gave his opinion, stating that he believed there had been many cost benefits over the years because some services, such as work Wendy has done, that has not been charged to the water users. Also, the lower cost of using IDWR office space, departmental vehicles, etc., has kept costs to the water users lower. Bryce Contor expressed his views, stating that, he believed his costs would not be that much higher should he be elected hydrographer, and measuring and reporting be contracted out. There was also some discussion, pro and con, about the possibility of counties collecting money for water districts.

Tim asked Wendy to discuss the North Budget Comparison. Wendy said income was \$76,181. Expenses to date were \$78,800 with the IDWR contract expenses not yet included. With the contract, the amount for expenses would be somewhere around \$80,000. \$1500 dollars for office supplies and the rental of measurement equipment from Water District 1 were the only other real expenses. There is \$36,800 in the account

with about \$2800 needing to be paid out to those who have overpaid. After paying those, the account balance would be approximately \$33,998. An annual audit still needs to be done. Current liabilities are \$1,390.00. After all is considered, including an IDWR contract charge, there will be about \$27,000 that will need to transition from the Water Measurement District to the Water District. Ron Carlson said he thinks the statute envisioned this transition so it shouldn't be a problem.

Tim talked briefly about the resolutions, stating they could be examined now, or looked over and addressed at the advisory meeting that is scheduled for Wednesday, February 1st, in Idaho Falls. Meeting attendees decided to discuss resolutions at the upcoming advisory meeting.

There was a question about PCC & the ET Method Study, and brief discussion followed. Some water users hope ~~was to that there can be a~~ change in the frequency of the PCC measurements how frequently wells are measured. Tim suggested that IDWR should try to review the data and address if the frequency can be extended. Concerns about system complexities and changes by Tim Luke and Gene Hansen were cited as reasons this might not be possible. Tim also mentioned that the Snake River canal companies or others who have made delivery calls in the past have expressed concerns over the years that the PCC method was not adequate enough or that measurements should be more frequent, although they seemed to have backed off that position some in the last year or two.

There was no other discussion and the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:45 a.m.

WATER DISTRICT NO. 110
STEERING COMMITTEE

MEETING AGENDA

January 18, 2006

10:00 a.m. - Noon

- I. Water District 110 Creation Tim Luke, IDWR
 - a. Background information, timeline update
 - b. Transition from Measurement District to Water District

- II. Ground Water District Formation Don Parker/Kirk Jacobs

- III. Water District Responsibilities Tim Luke, IDWR
 - a. Annual meeting, resolutions, elections, governance
 - b. Watermaster Duties
 - c. Minimum standards for district

- IV. Water District Resolutions All Participants

- V. Schedule Next Meeting & Adjournment All Participants

**Water District 120 Annual Meeting
2005 Resolutions**

The water users of water district 120 meeting at the annual district meeting on February 14, 2005, at the American Falls High School in American Falls, Idaho HEREBY ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS:

1. That the watermaster perform the duties as required by Chapter 6, Title 42, Idaho Code and in accordance with:
 - 1) The duties described in the Final Order Creating Water District 120, signed by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), February 19, 2002, including:
 - a) Curtail illegal diversions;
 - b) Measure and report the diversions under water rights;
 - c) Enforce the provisions of the stipulated agreements approved by the Director, and;
 - d) Curtail out-of-priority diversions determined by the director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director.
 - 2) Direction and guidance provided by the Director of IDWR pursuant to Idaho Water Law.
 - 3) The annual water district resolutions as adopted herein.
2. Lewis Rounds be elected the watermaster for Water District 120. That the Director of IDWR appoint Lewis Rounds, an employee of IDWR, to provide watermaster services for the ensuing year.
3. As provided in Idaho Code § 42-608(4), the watermaster shall serve throughout the entire year after election at this meeting and upon appointment by the Director.
4. The water users of Water District 120 hereby empower the elected advisory committee to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding between the Water District and the Director of IDWR that will provide for the following:
 - a) Compensation of the watermaster and all related water district expenses for 2005 fiscal year shall be provided by the water district.
 - b) The budget for Water District 120 for the ensuing year will be set at this annual meeting in resolution 10. Appointment of a district treasurer for fiscal year 2005 will occur during a regular or special meeting of the advisory committee of Water District 120 before assessments from the water district are sent.
 - c) The watermaster shall maintain an office for the ensuing year within the IDWR Eastern Regional Office, located in Idaho Falls, Idaho.

- d) IDWR will provide office space, vehicles and the use of all necessary office and field equipment in exchange for compensation as set in the 2005 budget. This arrangement shall not give Water District 120 any ownership interest in any IDWR office space and equipment.
 - e) Districts identified herein are expected to fund, at their own expense during 2005 fiscal year, personnel and equipment necessary for measurement and reporting of diversions within these districts.
 - f) Water District 120 will assume full responsibility for all expenses of Water District 120 following this annual meeting on the second Monday of February 2005.
- 5. Diversions in Water District 120 that are not already measured by one of the districts identified herein and also have a diversion rate allowed by their water right in excess of 0.24 cfs shall be measured directly by the water district in the ensuing year and assessed for measuring and reporting at the following rate;
 - a) \$50 per diversion
 - b) \$0.10 per AF for measurement
 - c) \$0.10 per AF for Administrative purposes
- 6. Water District 120 includes one or more ground water districts, irrigation districts or other geographical areas where the source of water or nature of water use is common among water right owners and users. The following ground water districts and irrigation districts may already be measuring and reporting diversions on their own to promote efficiency in the administration of water rights and water management.
 - 1) Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District
 - 2) Bingham Ground Water District
 - 3) Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District
 - 4) Falls Irrigation
- 7. These districts designated above may have employees who measure and report diversions to the water master of Water District 120 and IDWR. The water master of Water District 120 may therefore appoint employees or agents of these districts as assistant watermasters for purposes of measuring and reporting water use to the watermaster of Water District 120. The water district watermaster and the Director of IDWR will provide direction and guidance to assistant watermasters for measuring and reporting of diversions in these districts, including but not limited to the following:
 - a) Each district responsible for data collection shall provide an annual report of diversion data to the water district watermaster in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, § 42-709, and the direction provided by the Director of IDWR.
 - b) Assistant watermasters shall cooperate and coordinate with the water district watermaster and IDWR in sharing water use information.

- c) The regulation of diversions, including curtailment of diversions and other water right enforcement actions, shall be the primary responsibility of the water district watermaster and IDWR.
- d) The designated districts will first be given the opportunity to resolve unauthorized diversions or other enforcement matters before action is taken by the water master or IDWR.
- e) To the extent that assistant watermasters may otherwise be involved in the regulation or enforcement of diversions, such involvement will be under the instruction of the watermaster and the Director of IDWR.

8. WHEREAS, the water district meets in regular annual session, as provided by state law, and;

WHEREAS, an advisory committee shall be elected at each regular annual session to represent the interests of the water users and to provide input to the watermaster and the Director of IDWR, and;

WHEREAS Idaho Code 42-605 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of Water District 120 hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:

- a) The advisory committee shall consist of 11 members, of which no more than 3 of these shall represent non-irrigation water users. And be it further resolved that when available there shall be at least three committee members from both the Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District and the Bingham Ground Water District and at least two committee members from the Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District.
 - b) Must be a water user of the district as evidenced by a valid water right or an assessment for water delivery the previous year.
 - c) Must have paid all assessments owed to the water district.
9. BE IT RESOLVED that the Water District 120 budget will be approved for a fiscal year that begins November 1 and ends October 31.
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Water District 120 advisory committee shall hereby be authorized to adapt an interim water district budget for the period between November 1 and the next annual meeting.
10. WHEREAS, it will be necessary for Water District 120 to be self-sufficient and responsible for all cost incurred beginning the day after the annual meeting of Water District 120 held on the second Monday of February 2004, and.

BE IT RESOLVED that the budget for fiscal year 2005 be set at this annual meeting as follows:

Expenses	WD 120
IDWR Contract	66,300
Report/Office Supplies/Mailings	1,500
Auto/Travel Expenses	2,000
Misc. Equipment	3,000
Meeting Expense	2,000
Totals	\$74,800

BE IT FURTHER RESOVLED that the stated budget represents an assessment of \$0.10 per acre-foot for the average yearly water use for the period between 1999 and 2004. Also included is the measurement assessment as stated in resolution 5 and a \$25.00 per diversion minimum assessment for those diversions that are in the water district, not measured by the water district or any other entity, and have an allowed diversion rate below 0.24 cfs but not including domestic water rights as described in Idaho Code §42-111.

11. The annual Water District 120 meeting shall hereafter be held on the second Monday of February, of each year unless the Director of IDWR or the Water District 120 advisory committee should find it necessary to change the meeting date or unless changed by resolution of the district at any annual meeting.
12. Copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-615, shall be filed with the director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-617.
13. The watermaster of Water District 120 shall be responsible for submitting to IDWR annual reports showing water use and such other information that may be deemed necessary by the Director of IDWR in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, and § 42-709.
14. The watermaster and assistant watermasters are authorized under Idaho Code § 42-1701(5) to make reasonable entry on lands within the district to carry out the duties of the watermaster as instructed by IDWR. These duties include but are not limited to:
 - a) Monitoring diversion and measuring rate and volume of water diverted from ground water and other public water sources.
 - b) Measuring the depth to water in wells.
 - c) Monitoring the place, period, and nature of use of water to identify unauthorized diversion and use and to determine compliance with mitigation provisions required by agreement or order of IDWR.

15. WHEREAS some small diversions would have regular assessments less than the amount required by the Water District to maintain their account,

WHEREAS many of these small diversions are not currently measured by the Water District, ground water districts, or the irrigation district. and;

WHEREAS measurement of diversions smaller than 0.24 cfs that irrigate 5 or less acres is cost prohibitive and the water use for many of these diversions can be estimated.

BE IT RESOLVED that Water District 120 will have a minimum charge of \$25.00.

**Water District 120 Annual Meeting
2005 Resolutions**

The water users of water district 120 meeting at the annual district meeting on February 14, 2005, at the American Falls High School in American Falls, Idaho HEREBY ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS:

1. That the watermaster perform the duties as required by Chapter 6, Title 42, Idaho Code and in accordance with:
 - 1) The duties described in the Final Order Creating Water District 120, signed by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), February 19, 2002, including:
 - a) Curtail illegal diversions;
 - b) Measure and report the diversions under water rights;
 - c) Enforce the provisions of the stipulated agreements approved by the Director, and;
 - d) Curtail out-of-priority diversions determined by the director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director.
 - 2) Direction and guidance provided by the Director of IDWR pursuant to Idaho Water Law.
 - 3) The annual water district resolutions as adopted herein.
2. Lewis Rounds be elected the watermaster for Water District 120. That the Director of IDWR appoint Lewis Rounds, an employee of IDWR, to provide watermaster services for the ensuing year.
3. As provided in Idaho Code § 42-608(4), the watermaster shall serve throughout the entire year after election at this meeting and upon appointment by the Director.
4. The water users of Water District 120 hereby empower the elected advisory committee to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding between the Water District and the Director of IDWR that will provide for the following:
 - a) Compensation of the watermaster and all related water district expenses for 2005 fiscal year shall be provided by the water district.
 - b) The budget for Water District 120 for the ensuing year will be set at this annual meeting in resolution 10. Appointment of a district treasurer for fiscal year 2005 will occur during a regular or special meeting of the advisory committee of Water District 120 before assessments from the water district are sent.
 - c) The watermaster shall maintain an office for the ensuing year within the IDWR Eastern Regional Office, located in Idaho Falls, Idaho.

- d) IDWR will provide office space, vehicles and the use of all necessary office and field equipment in exchange for compensation as set in the 2005 budget. This arrangement shall not give Water District 120 any ownership interest in any IDWR office space and equipment.
 - e) Districts identified herein are expected to fund, at their own expense during 2005 fiscal year, personnel and equipment necessary for measurement and reporting of diversions within these districts.
 - f) Water District 120 will assume full responsibility for all expenses of Water District 120 following this annual meeting on the second Monday of February 2005.
5. Diversions in Water District 120 that are not already measured by one of the districts identified herein and also have a diversion rate allowed by their water right in excess of 0.24 cfs shall be measured directly by the water district in the ensuing year and assessed for measuring and reporting at the following rate;
- a) \$50 per diversion
 - b) \$0.10 per AF for measurement
 - c) \$0.10 per AF for Administrative purposes
6. Water District 120 includes one or more ground water districts, irrigation districts or other geographical areas where the source of water or nature of water use is common among water right owners and users. The following ground water districts and irrigation districts may already be measuring and reporting diversions on their own to promote efficiency in the administration of water rights and water management.
- 1) Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District
 - 2) Bingham Ground Water District
 - 3) Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District
 - 4) Falls Irrigation
7. These districts designated above may have employees who measure and report diversions to the water master of Water District 120 and IDWR. The water master of Water District 120 may therefore appoint employees or agents of these districts as assistant watermasters for purposes of measuring and reporting water use to the watermaster of Water District 120. The water district watermaster and the Director of IDWR will provide direction and guidance to assistant watermasters for measuring and reporting of diversions in these districts, including but not limited to the following:
- a) Each district responsible for data collection shall provide an annual report of diversion data to the water district watermaster in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, § 42-709, and the direction provided by the Director of IDWR.
 - b) Assistant watermasters shall cooperate and coordinate with the water district watermaster and IDWR in sharing water use information.

- c) The regulation of diversions, including curtailment of diversions and other water right enforcement actions, shall be the primary responsibility of the water district watermaster and IDWR.
- d) The designated districts will first be given the opportunity to resolve unauthorized diversions or other enforcement matters before action is taken by the water master or IDWR.
- e) To the extent that assistant watermasters may otherwise be involved in the regulation or enforcement of diversions, such involvement will be under the instruction of the watermaster and the Director of IDWR.

8. WHEREAS, the water district meets in regular annual session, as provided by state law, and;

WHEREAS, an advisory committee shall be elected at each regular annual session to represent the interests of the water users and to provide input to the watermaster and the Director of IDWR, and;

WHEREAS Idaho Code 42-605 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of Water District 120 hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:

- a) The advisory committee shall consist of 11 members, of which no more than 3 of these shall represent non-irrigation water users. And be it further resolved that when available there shall be at least three committee members from both the Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District and the Bingham Ground Water District and at least two committee members from the Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District.
- b) Must be a water user of the district as evidenced by a valid water right or an assessment for water delivery the previous year.
- c) Must have paid all assessments owed to the water district.

9. BE IT RESOLVED that the Water District 120 budget will be approved for a fiscal year that begins November 1 and ends October 31.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Water District 120 advisory committee shall hereby be authorized to adapt an interim water district budget for the period between November 1 and the next annual meeting.

10. WHEREAS, it will be necessary for Water District 120 to be self-sufficient and responsible for all cost incurred beginning the day after the annual meeting of Water District 120 held on the second Monday of February 2004, and.

BE IT RESOLVED that the budget for fiscal year 2005 be set at this annual meeting as follows:

Expenses	WD 120
IDWR Contract	66,300
Report/Office Supplies/Mailings	1,500
Auto/Travel Expenses	2,000
Misc. Equipment	3,000
Meeting Expense	2,000
Totals	\$74,800

BE IT FURTHER RESOVLED that the stated budget represents an assessment of \$0.10 per acre-foot for the average yearly water use for the period between 1999 and 2004. Also included is the measurement assessment as stated in resolution 5 and a \$25.00 per diversion minimum assessment for those diversions that are in the water district, not measured by the water district or any other entity, and have an allowed diversion rate below 0.24 cfs but not including domestic water rights as described in Idaho Code §42-111.

11. The annual Water District 120 meeting shall hereafter be held on the second Monday of February, of each year unless the Director of IDWR or the Water District 120 advisory committee should find it necessary to change the meeting date or unless changed by resolution of the district at any annual meeting.
12. Copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-615, shall be filed with the director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-617.
13. The watermaster of Water District 120 shall be responsible for submitting to IDWR annual reports showing water use and such other information that may be deemed necessary by the Director of IDWR in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, and § 42-709.
14. The watermaster and assistant watermasters are authorized under Idaho Code § 42-1701(5) to make reasonable entry on lands within the district to carry out the duties of the watermaster as instructed by IDWR. These duties include but are not limited to:
 - a) Monitoring diversion and measuring rate and volume of water diverted from ground water and other public water sources.
 - b) Measuring the depth to water in wells.
 - c) Monitoring the place, period, and nature of use of water to identify unauthorized diversion and use and to determine compliance with mitigation provisions required by agreement or order of IDWR.

15. WHEREAS some small diversions would have regular assessments less than the amount required by the Water District to maintain their account,

WHEREAS many of these small diversions are not currently measured by the Water District, ground water districts, or the irrigation district. and;

WHEREAS measurement of diversions smaller than 0.24 cfs that irrigate 5 or less acres is cost prohibitive and the water use for many of these diversions can be estimated.

BE IT RESOLVED that Water District 120 will have a minimum charge of \$25.00.

**North Water Measurement District
Year 2005 Resolutions**

**Resolution 1
Meeting Date**

1. BE IT RESOLVED that the annual North Water Measurement District meeting shall hereafter, or until changed by further resolution of the district, be held on the second Wednesday of February of each year unless the director or advisory members should find it necessary to change the meeting date. The next annual meeting is to be held February 8, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the 2005 North Water Measurement District budget will be extended until the next annual meeting.

Resolution 2
Election of Hydrographer

2. BE IT RESOLVED, that; (1) the Hydrographer continue to establish a process for determining ground water depletion associated with pumping in the NWMD in accordance with the districts plan of operation, (2) all water users are assessed as provided by statute and resolution of the district and that the Hydrographer prepare the annual report required by Idaho Code §42-708.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that:

The water users of the North Water Measurement District continue the cooperative program with Water District 1 as outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding dated March 10, 1997, which was previously approved by the Advisory Committee and Water District 1, and signed by the Chairman of the Committee of Nine and the Chairman of the Advisory Committee of the North Water Measurement District, a copy of which agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof as if set out at length herein.

Ronald D. Carlson be re-elected Hydrographer for the ensuing year, and be authorized to hire a full-time staff of a deputy, a secretary, and such other assistants as provided by the adopted budget.

The treasurer of Water District 1 be elected North Water Measurement District Treasurer and shall assume the duties specified in Idaho Code §42-715 and his annual compensation, set by the Advisory Committee, but not to exceed the funds provided in the 2005 North Water Measurement District budget.

The duties of the hydrographer and treasurer shall continue until the next annual meeting.

The Budget for the North Water Measurement District for the 2005 year beginning on the second Wednesday of February year 2005 and continue until the annual meeting in 2006, shall be as follows: (see attached)

Resolution 3
Continuing Resolution for Operation of District and Plan for Measurement

3. WHEREAS, the water users of the North Water Measurement District meeting in regular annual session find it necessary to adopt certain "on-going" resolutions to direct the hydrographer and treasurer of the district in certain aspects of district operations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the North Water Measurement District budget prepared pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-712, and adopted in resolution no. 2 at this North Water Measurement District annual meeting, shall become the basis for the official billing of the amount of said budget for the succeeding year to the respective water users, using the water rights and the number of diversions of the water users, as the basis for said distribution of such billing to the individual water users, pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-711 and is hereby authorized to collect all of the amounts billed.

The treasurer shall establish and maintain a general account and shall cause to be deposited all monies received pursuant to the billing and shall make all disbursements as necessary to conduct the business of administering and measuring the waters of the district.

The district hydrographer shall cause the delivery of water to be withheld or to cause diversions of water to cease by those users who have not paid their pro rata share of the cost of operating the district as levied until such time as the pro rata share of the cost is paid. The measurement district may also file a lien against the property of a delinquent water user if the amount owed is not paid by the end of the year in which assessments are delinquent.

That copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-708, shall be filed with the Director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-714.

Resolution 4
Installation of Flowmeters

4. WHEREAS, alternate methods or the power consumption method may require operator assistance in collecting and reporting certain data.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the water users of the North Water Measurement District, meeting in regular annual session this 9th day of February, 2005, that where alternate methods and/or operator reporting of data is not acceptable, measuring devices of a type approved by the director of IDWR will be installed on all systems prior to May 1, 2005.

Resolution 5
Advisory Committee Authorized to Make Decisions

5. WHEREAS, the water measurement district meets in regular annual session, as provided by state law, and;

WHEREAS, there are, from time to time, decisions related to water measurement, contracts, or policies that may not have been specifically addressed by resolution at the annual meeting.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the advisory committee of the Northern Water Measurement District is authorized to take such actions, by consensus, they deem necessary and appropriate to fulfill the duties for which the district was created.

Resolution 6
Credentials Requirement

6. WHEREAS Idaho Code § 42-707 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of the North Water Measurement District hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:

- 1) Must be a water right holder as defined in Idaho Code § 42-707 (1).
- 2) Must divert and use water within the boundaries of the North Water Measurement District.
- 3) Must have paid all assessments owed the North Water Measurement District.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall continue from year to year until repealed or changed by the water users.

Resolution 7
Composition of Advisory Committee

7. WHEREAS it is desirable that the advisory committee represent all water users in the district,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the advisory committee shall consist of ten members from five equal areas to be determined by the advisory committee. For the purposes of selecting advisory committee members, the physical location of a member's well or diversion determines his or her geographic area.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall continue from year to year until repealed by the water users.

Resolution 8
Remote Sensing Study

- 8.) WHEREAS the District Hydrographer is directed by resolution of the water users to establish a process for determining ground water depletion associated with pumping in the NWMD, and;

WHEREAS current measurement and reporting methods focus not on groundwater depletion but upon gross pumpage, and;

WHEREAS consumptive use may be a better indicator of net depletion than is pumpage, and;

WHEREAS studies by the Idaho Department of Water Resources and North Water Measurement District have not supported the use of crop and climate data on individual diversions, and;

WHEREAS these studies have considered only crop acres but not individual field, soil, and system characteristics, and;

WHEREAS Idaho Department of Water Resources has developed a consumptive use analysis method which includes methodology for considering these individual factors, and;

WHEREAS the North Water Measurement District has good historical crop data for a number of diversions for the crop years 1997 through 2004, and has access to actual climate data for the same years;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the District Hydrographer is directed to study a randomly selected sample of diversions for which crop data are available, and to compare the net and gross irrigation requirements predicted by E.T. analysis with pumpage data produced by current measurement district methods, and to present the results to the water users in the next annual meeting.

Change in Billing Procedures

- 9.) WHEREAS billing practice before Dec. 1999 in the North Water Measurement District involved the billing of the full budgeted amount, with a credit for carryover funds not expended in the previous year, and;

WHEREAS that procedure resulted in bills and statements that are confusing to the water user and time consuming for the district treasurer to administer, and;

WHEREAS that procedure can also result in inconsistent billing from year to year, which is an inconvenience for water users, and;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that beginning in the year 2000, annual assessments will be made to recover the previous year's expenditures. The expenditures will be apportioned among the water users as specified by Idaho Code 42-711 a), and b), which is: \$25 per diversion, plus a pro-rata share of expenses apportioned among the patrons of the district according to the diversion rate authorized by their water rights.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the treasurer will not apply surplus district funds to reduce the present year's assessment and will set the assessments at whatever cfs charge is necessary to replace actual expenditures of the North Water Measurement District from the previous year.

BE IT RESOLVED that this resolution shall continue from year to year until specifically repealed by the members of the Water Measurement District duly met in an annual or special meeting.

Letter of concern to the Director of IDWR

- 10.) WHEREAS, the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources is in the process of developing water management rules for the State, and;

WHEREAS, these rules will set forth the basis for administering surface water and ground water rights conjunctively, and;

WHEREAS, these rules will provide the basis for day-to-day administration and regulation of all water rights, and;

WHEREAS, the same administrative processes used to distribute and regulate surface water uses are not applicable and appropriate for the administration of groundwater, and;

WHEREAS, the director's draft "umbrella" rules do not recognize the need for different administrative processes;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the water users of the North Water Measurement District, meeting this 9th day of February, 2005, That the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources be encouraged to draft rules that recognize the practical differences between ground water and surface water administration. These considerations should include:

- 1.) Recognition that rate of diversion is not a reasonable basis for regulating groundwater uses.
- 2.) Recognizing that current methods for collecting or computing water diversion data only allow the acquisition of monthly volumes.
- 3.) Recognition that for all purposes pumping data are of less value than depletion values generated by determining the evapo-transpiration data for the crops being grown.
- 4.) Recognizing that any injury to senior surface water users will generally be after-the-fact and real-time administration of ground water use has no real-time benefits.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the director be encouraged to support legislation that would allow the measurement of consumptive use rather than diversion rate in complying with the requirements of §42-709, Idaho Code.

Process of Notification.

- 11.) WHEREAS the personnel of the North Water Measurement District are committed to accomplishing the work responsibilities of the district at the lowest possible cost to the individual water user, and;

WHEREAS travel cost the district both in wasted personnel time and additional travel, and:

WHEREAS measurement district personnel make reasonable effort to have the owner or operator present when the measurements are made, and:

WHEREAS it is to the benefit of the owner to be present to provide the district personnel with information about the system operations,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED at the North Water Measurement District meeting this Wednesday the 9th day of February year 2005 in regular annual session encourage the hydrographer and deputies to make reasonable effort to have the owner or operator present at the time measurement is made.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that when the owner or operator is not available and the system is in operation that the field personnel be authorized to make the necessary measurements as provided for in Idaho Code §41-709

Sign in Sheet

Brian Higgs

Scott Clawson

Kirk Jacobs

Carl Ball

ROB LARRAÑAGA

Bryce Coutor

Lyle Swank

Wendy Murphy

Lee D. Hansen

Gerald Messerli

Peter Fisher

Don Parker

Ron Carlson

Frank Sullivan

Kiley Smith

Sharon Gioser

Brett Maderick

Greg Shenton

Keith Shulby

Justin Place

Daphne Bitter

Shirley Vachon

Terry Klipfel

Tim Luke

W0110 Steering Committee meeting.

1. Tim Luke gives intro. gives background info, timeline update. Basin 31 + 32 95% of wells. Reps. of meas. District here to discuss formation of Water District. Explained diff. industries of meas. district vs. water district. "Transition"

Where are we today. Tim drafted order gave to director. He hasn't signed. Tim asked him to hurry to still hold meeting Wed. Feb 8th. Will send notice (edw.).

Informal transitional meeting today ... how "transitions occur."

Tim asked for introductions from attendees.

Draft order discussion by Tim. Comments reviewed only two folks testified, some written testimony. Must have to do with wells in WD #31. Tim proposed some discussion of including other WD within 110 as subdistricts. Tim proposed WD 31 remain separate with "business as usual," some additional reporting from ~~some~~ but generally same area.

Other wells that Guy measures in WD #31, not decreased rights so Tim proposed they be part of 110.

~~Other wells that Guy measures in WD #31, not decreased rights so Tim proposed they be part of 110.~~
Wells in good reporting other wells such as cities, done by GWD, or report. Canal Co. being subdistricts within 110, or contract for their wells. ~~about~~ some concerns Fish & Wildlife Service reporting their (9) diversions. Some ~~surface~~ had agreement with BWR. Gene calibrates these devices to make sure.

Also agreement with meas. district with producers, canal, municipal, etc. Similar agreements. These entities could be viewed as subdistrict. Watermaster could continue meas. or reporting. Otherwise water master of 110 would do.

Kirk
Jacobs

WM
Duties

Other WM duties. General regulatory - illegal uses, over
uses, etc. Satellite imagery to make sure ~~the~~ areas
irrigated have a water right. If illegal use - give
notice of violation, help resolve issues, complaints,
violation responsibility of WM. Over seeing meas. reporting.
Reviews transfers, provides comments. WM has some
oversight that WR kept up-to-date.
If WD pulled into ~~a~~ call, WM has to curtail
WR in directors order, monitors plan helps provide
mitigation authorized by Director. Administrative
Enforcement monitoring activities may not be
that significant for WM in this area.

No questions.

* Tim gave floor to Don Parker to discuss formation
of GWD. Don still needs to take signatures, etc. to
County. IDWR responsibility is to provide certified
list of rights, and boundaries to County. Tim said IDWR
just needs copies.

* Don reads how letter to county reads. Will submit
through Jeff. County. Don said he checked all signatures
with county as being on tax rolls.
Proposed lands - GWD same boundaries of meas. District.
but will include WD 31. Don asked if WD 32 should be
included, but very few GW rights in this district. ^{Don} Shared map
of proposed boundaries.

Ask
Don

Exhibit B - proposed lands
Exhibit C -
Exhibit D - ~~5~~ 10 directors

Mudlake WUsers would issue bond. Held in Escrow
to cover cost of election. of GWD.

(water users in)

Don still has questions. Don thought he said 120
offered mitigation. Why then do we need a GWD??

Is there a way to have WDI/O perform functions?

Can board of GWD + WD ~~be~~ be same advisors. Can WDI/O
form function of GWD? ~~Tim~~ Tim said WD statutes don't
authorize district to assess for mitigation. You don't
have to form GWD. If form have statutes to guide you
for mitigation. People can opt out, but if passing curtailments,
must provide for his own mitigation.

Comments Ron? Statutes provide some authorities

with GWD that WD doesn't have. ~~is only board~~
~~to collect for mitigation~~ within latitude of
advisory committee, probable need piece legislation
gives you the ability. Need to look down the road &
see if statutes may be beneficial in future to see if
worth it.

Water Dist. Responsibilities:

1. Elect WM
2. Budget adopt
3. resolutions adopt
4. Adv. Committee
- ~~5. ...~~

Budget Karl said would provide WM at no cost for
2 years, but means cost ongoing.

if dept. provides those services

Users have to decide @ meeting how they want to
do whether under meas. district or contract out, but
still have to elect water master.

Don. When is cost of model passed on to WD. ~~After~~

~~2 years (in 2007)~~ Tim talked about legislation
passed year ago. For cost of gm modeling, action
which would not exceed 1.2 million a year. WD would
have to start providing money to cover proportionately.
Also + advisory board formed. In 2006 all
areas in Sn. Plain Aquifer will be in watershed Tim
not sure of cost need to get together under advisory
committee. Not expecting it to be 1.2 million 2nd
year, but could go to that. Potentially in '07 may
be expenses through WD for model. etc.

Kirk Jacobs

~~Dwain Kirkham~~ - is continue to use dept. for
wm means would it be bene? Yes. For watermaster
it could be one dept. employee for 110 and 120,100.
a couple of other w districts. Probably be Emile
Carlson. He worked on adjudicating rights in
this area. Wm serves as long as water users ~~elect~~ ^{elect wms}
if users elect someone else, 110 would have
separate wms. have to pick up full cost of wms, cost
of news, reporting, etc. Director has to appoint wms
water users elect. Would not be paid by state, but
paid by water district. But have to answer to
director.

Ron talked about history of water masters.
Extension of director's authority through creation
of water district ~~with~~ ^{with} use of water master.
Ultimately when elected he became person who
represented Director in field. Template now
you've got regional office, director has personnel
to do that. Director wants people
he has out there.

Ron discussed competitive management + water districts.
Not well advised to assume processes in past will
work in future.

Byer - ~~on edge on the~~ ^{offers his}
~~opinion on~~ ^{on having}
independent water master - saying water master
appointed by director thing idem may not be
as beneficial. If water master govt man rather
than director's water users may have more influence
involvement.

Don Parker said cost is factor. Ability of wms
to work with water users critical.

Even if elect someone Ernie ~~cost~~ costs could still change some. Reason Karl made offer to help pay for water master gives district time to look @ costs of that water master.

Buyer would like to do WM, and possibly subcontract for mns, + reporting.

* Minimum requirements, field equipment, office equipment cell phones, office somewhere, etc. - 18 independent WM elected.

Don Parker ^{said} ~~discussed~~ ~~about~~ why having

"Director's man" or independent person chosen by water users. man dept. wants

Said ~~Ernie~~ was man who tried to take water rights away on ^(Montezuma) ~~canal~~ ^{canal};

not favorite man, says Don. Don said he won't elect Ernie.

Don said is " " isn't acceptable WM option with IDWR. There are other options.

Tim asked Wendy to review current budget of mns. district.

Kirk Jacobs

Dwain Kirkham said ~~the~~ many costs picked up with WOI that they've absorbed, as well as other benefits - Gotten off cheaply. Costs will increase going independent. Please keep in mind. People need to be aware of this.

Buyer said... in long run, same # pickups, equipment. Might be a little more expensive, but not a lot.

Need to have a treasurer, county could provide treas. services, if commissioners approve. Dale Rockwood never charged for treasurer.

WO27 used to go thru county for billing. Hard to track who paid who had not, Wendy found county had short charged district by about \$600. Take time tracking with county a little easier if do in house.

Wendy discussed North Budget Comparison
(76,181 income), expenses ^{of today} as 78,800, ider
portion of contract not added, but will bring to
80,000. 1500 report & office supplies
Rental of meas. equip from ~~and~~ (only other
real expenses.

\$ 86,800.00 in account about 2800 ^{to pay ball} ~~was paid~~.

33,998.00 after paying those.

Audit still needs to be done.

1,390.00 current liabilities

33,998 total liabilities + equity with ider
charge pending which will reduce that to
about 27,000 that needs to transition some how.

^{asked Carl Bail}
Will remainder go to WD? Tim said have to
decide what to do with balance. Tim thinks I should
transition it to water district to offset ongoing

meas. + reporting costs. Not sure of legality. Wendy
hopes can discuss with auditor. Ron thinks that statute
envisions that transition so shouldn't be a problem.

~~Wendy~~

Resolutions

Users ~~said~~ decided to look over resolutions, look over and address @ addison meeting on the 1st.

~~Users~~ Budget items may or may not apply depending on what users decided to do with reporting + water meter.

Tim said most w'd have gone from county collection to doing on air because easier to resolve problems. Ron said many counties don't like to do.

Dwain asked about ~~ET study~~ ET study. Gene said from ET study, you can predict in this area (like a lab situation), if not for drought get some results year after year, but is based on actual meas. At this point ET could be viable way of meas. Have to have some way to know what kind of crops, + satellite imagery expensive. DCCS have started making consistent. 75% wells in the area. 74% actually monitor and of those probably 60-70% within last four years are within 100-200 gpm output. Drought influence on drought having most influence on diff. Our has it changed requirements for meas.

?? Tim also discussed direct metric meas.

PLC at least in this area cheapest way to go

Some discussion of changing ~~time~~ rotation of well meas. Tim said unsure what to say @ this point. If systems change, have to be remeasured. This is why you sit on a 3 year rotation. Other issue playing politician to Utah P. + Light. Don't maintain p-meters. Causes problems for us. Gene sometimes finds problems + informs w-users about problem.

Don suggested looking @ resolutions
@ Feb. 1 ^{1:00 PM} meeting and adjourning
meeting. #

**North Water Measurement District/110 Steering
Advisory Committee Meeting
“Final” Minutes**

May 10, 2006

1:00 p.m.

Tim Luke of IDWR in Boise called the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m. Other IDWR employees in attendance were Lyle Swank, Gene Hansen, and Ernest Carlsen. Terry Klipfel of WD1 was also in attendance. North advisors in attendance were Carl Ball, Darren Bitter, Scott Clawson, Dale Cope, Kirk Jacobs, Don Parker, and Lynn Wood. Tim discussed the hearing and meetings scheduled for May 17th in Terreton, stating the NWMD meeting is scheduled for 1:00 p.m., the hearing to abolish the measurement district at 1:30 p.m, and the Water District 110 annual meeting for 2:00 p.m.

Kirk Jacobs made a motion to have Tim Luke chair the meeting and Terry Klipfel as secretary, but Tim Luke said he could only act as the facilitator, but Kirk should probably act as chairman. Scott Clawson seconded the motion and Kirk and Terry were elected by unanimous vote.

Members looked over the minutes from the last advisory meeting and from the WD110 steering committee meeting. Don Parker suggested changing the word “the” in paragraph 3, page 2, of the steering minutes to “an”, and Tim Luke suggested beginning a new paragraph after “its members” in the same paragraph (line5). Terry also said the word “country” in paragraph 1 of the second page needs to be changed to “county”. Carl Ball made a motion to accept the changes as stated and Don Parker seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

There was some discussion about the duties of the watermaster verses the duties of the person doing the measurement and reporting for the new water district. Tim Luke told advisors that IDWR was suggesting Ernest Carlsen as Watermaster of Water District 110, and Gene as the person to do measurement and reporting. There was also discussion of Watermaster duties being provided by IDWR for two years should the folks in 110 go with the department’s bid. Lynn Wood indicated they wanted to get the job done as cheaply as possible. Tim said he didn’t anticipate the Watermaster would have to put forth many hours in the WD110 area and said this is why Ernie was suggested to be Watermaster for more than one district. There was also some discussion about satellite imagery and how it is used. Advisors expressed that they didn’t like the idea of Ernest Carlsen as watermaster, stating they didn’t think he’d been favorable to water rights in their area. They asked Lyle Swank if he would be willing to be Watermaster. He said he would.

Discussion turned to the NWMD minutes. Tim Luke questioned the comment in the minutes that indicated he didn’t think the North users could use remaining funds to pay for the bonding and election of the new ground water district. He suggested deleting the word “Directly” in the last line of the last paragraph on pg. 1, and delete “said “no” to” in the same line. He also asked that the word “pay” be changed to “paying”, and said to

add the sentence, "Tim supported using district funds for bonding" at the end of the first paragraph on page 2. Carl Ball made a motion to accept the NWMD minutes as suggested. Don Parker seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous vote.

Tim Luke asked if there were any questions about the order creating WD 110. There was some discussion of when it was signed, and then discussion turned back to enforcement of illegal diversions by the Watermaster. Again there was discussion about the Watermaster, his duties, and how much time would be involved. Tim reassured advisors that he did not anticipate any calls on water this year and that the administrative time of the Watermaster might account for only 15% of time in WD110. There was also some discussion of whether the model would determine injury. Tim said, yes, but noted that the Director can adjust the findings if the situation warrants it. Lynn Wood said he believed there were bad discrepancies in the model. Dale Cope asked if the Watermaster is elected for only 1 year. Tim said the Watermaster is elected at each annual meeting. There was also some discussion about Bryce Contor being Watermaster. Tim Luke said the department thinks there might be some conflict of interest because he is also a consultant. Tim also said if folks in the North wanted Brian Higgs to do their work he didn't think it would be a problem, but reminded advisors that Brian is already involved with several other districts.

There was discussion about the proposed budget. Tim said the proposed 2006 budget was \$40,438, but also emphasized that part of the year was already gone and this would only cover the months remaining until the 2007 annual meeting. Tim said that this budget was a little lower than the last one because the department planned on using temporary employees. He also said he did not know if costs would go up next year. There was also discussion of what to do with left over funds in the North Measurement District account at the dissolution of the district. Gene said there was approximately \$19,000 in the account now, but there were still some expenses to consider. He said the balance didn't take into account expenses for January and February, and indicated he would get an updated number by the meetings on the 17th.

Members talked about Wendy leaving the department for a new job and then discussion turned to writing a resolution to transfer remaining NWMD funds to the new water district. Don Parker proposed a resolution that any balance remaining in the NWMD account upon dissolution of the measurement district be transferred to the WD 110 account to be used for measurement and reporting. This is in addition to the suggestion to use some funds to pay for the bond and election costs of the new ground water district as discussed at the last advisory meeting. Lynn Wood seconded the motion and it passed without dissent.

Advisors talked about the election of advisors for WD110. The consensus seemed to be that the people representing the NWMD should make up the advisory board for WD110 at least for the first year. Don Parker made a motion to have the current NWMD advisory board be the advisory board for WD110, at least until the 2007 annual meeting. Lynn Wood seconded the motion and it passed without dissent.

Discussion of resolutions to present at the WD110 annual meeting began, however, due to time limitations, and Tim Luke having to catch a flight back to Boise, advisors made a motion to adjourn the meeting and reconvene at 12:00 p.m. in Terreton, prior to the other meetings. Tim Luke said he would work on the resolutions and email copies to the advisors before Wednesday's meetings. Kirk Jacobs seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 3:10 p.m.

North Measurement District/110 Steering
Advisory Committee Meeting
05-17-06

Kirk Jacobs called the meeting to order at 12:20 p.m. Other advisors in attendance were Dale Cope, Carl Ball, Daren Bitter, and Don Parker. IDWR employees Lyle Swank, Gene Hansen, Wendy Murphy, and Lisa Snarr, and Terry Klipfel of Water District 1 were also present.

Don Parker made a motion to accept the minutes from the May 10, 2006, meeting as written. Dale (Tye) Cope seconded the motion and the minutes were accepted as written.

There was brief discussion on the resolutions. Carl Ball made a motion to accept the resolution #1 as presented by Tim Luke, and Don Parker seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Wendy Murphy gave advisors an update on North Measurement District finances, reminding members of the motion from a February meeting to postpone the annual meeting and allow the district to continue operation on the 2005 budget until the rescheduled 2006 meeting.

There was concern expressed by advisors that the annual meeting had been put off for so long due to the Director signing the order for the creation of Water District 110 so late. Tim Luke said the delay had been out of his control. He did take some responsibility for some of Gene's hours charged during the months of April and May, and three weeks in March, because the Director didn't sign the order when expected. Tim said the Director will have to "eat" some of the costs, and said funds would be transferred back to the NWMD account for the purpose of equity. Tim said he anticipated the amount to be \$7,000 to \$8,000. There was some discussion of final budget numbers. Wendy said she'd mail them to advisors when she had them.

There was more discussion of the proposed WD110 resolutions. Don Parker reminded advisors that at the last advisory meeting they had discussed keeping the advisory committee the same as the 2005 NWMD advisory committee, at least until the 2007 meeting. Kirk Jacobs wanted to know if Fish & Wildlife would have a representative on the committee. Tim Luke said advisors could design the make-up of the new advisory committee however they want. Don Parker made a motion to change resolution #10 in order to keep the make-up of the WD 110 advisory committee the same as the 2005 NWMD advisory committee until the 2007 annual meeting. Carl Ball seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

There was some discussion of resolution #8 and whether or not to keep the per diversion charge at \$50.00. Gene explained how billing works, and discussed the initial statute that called for a \$25.00 per diversion charge. Tim Luke said the statute had changed 3-5 years ago and explained the reason for the change.

Carl Ball made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 1:03 p.m. Daren Bitter seconded the motion and the meeting was adjourned.

Water District No. 110
1st Annual Meeting Minutes
May 17, 2006

Tim Luke of IDWR called the meeting to order at 2:26 p.m. Lyle Swank, Gene Hansen, Wendy Murphy, and Lisa Snarr of IDWR, and Terry Klipfel of Water District 1 were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees, including Don Parker, Daren Bitter, Carl Ball, Dale Cope, Kirk Jacobs, and Lynn Wood of the former North Water Measurement District Advisory Committee.

Tim Luke began by giving some background information for the formation of the water district, and briefly explained administration and enforcement responsibilities. He talked about water calls by the surface water coalition, and about mitigation and agreements in other areas, including water master participation. He emphasized that this year's water supply is good and that he doesn't anticipate any calls, or a lot of administrative time required on the part of the WD 110 Watermaster. Tim mentioned that the watermaster this year would do some analysis of satellite imagery with GIS water right overlays and watermaster follow-up of any issues that need resolving. He reminded attendees that measurement and reporting within a water district could be done by the ground water district.

Next, Bryce Contor told attendees that he had another set of resolutions and wanted the water users to consider options available. Don Parker proposed users look at all resolutions discussed at the advisory committee meeting, then Bryce's, then Brian Higgs proposal. Kirk Jacobs reminded water users that the water district could hire their own hydrographer and water master. Kirk Jacobs said that if the district goes with the IDWR bid, that Lyle Swank was the suggested Watermaster. Don Parker said that we should look at all options. However, if the IDWR bid is chosen, Watermaster services would be provided by IDWR until the next annual meeting (administratively for two years).

Wendy Murphy told those in attendance that advisors had reviewed resolutions at the advisory meeting and suggested looking at the 1st resolution, and then at the different bid proposals. Kirk Jacobs read the first resolution, and reminded members that they needed to vote on a Watermaster and hydrographer. Don Parker said he had a copy of the final order if anyone wanted to look at it. Tim Luke told members that all of the elements of the final order are in resolution #1.

Kirk Jacobs suggested that Bryce Contor and Brian Higgs have a little time to present their bids. Bryce explained why it might be beneficial to hire him, though more expensive to water users. Bryce said his bid for measurement and reporting was approximately \$67,000. He emphasized that the benefit of electing him Watermaster was the "separation of powers", stating that a Watermaster hired by IDWR was paid by IDWR and subject to the Director. He said if he were elected he would push for whatever was most beneficial to the water user, but also said he would be required to enforce the law as Watermaster. He said that he would work to eliminate the use of diversion rate when determining injury, and work toward only what will make a difference in injury; place of use. Bryce distributed copies of the resolutions he was proposing. One of the water users expressed concerns about the cost of mitigation. Bryce said that cost was not determined by the Watermaster, but he would enforce mitigation and anything else required under oath of office. There were some questions and discussion directed at Bryce in regard to the water model. Brian Higgs said he believes the model technology to be completely wrong. Bryce said he the model is useful if used appropriately.

Kirk Jacobs asked Lyle Swank to speak in regard to the benefits of IDWR carrying the contract. Lyle gave some background information about himself and his qualifications. Lyle said if he was elected

Watermaster, he would rely on the expertise of others within the office to help him perform the required duties. He said some of the benefits for hiring IDWR include the use of office space, department vehicles, software, etc. He also mentioned access to a variety of expertise within the office, as well as, the audit done each year for Water District 1. Dale Rockwood, reminded members of his services as treasurer as well. Lyle said he believed one of the main benefits, should mitigation from downstream occur, is access to purchase water from the rental pool.

One water user asked Lyle about flows at Blackfoot and how records are kept. Lyle explained that they obtain flow data every day from the USGS, and said that water lost in one river reach frequently shows up in another as a gain downstream. He also told the water user he was welcome to come in to the office and Lyle would go over data with him.

Discussion turned back to IDWR and Watermaster services. Kirk Jacobs asked if that was for one year, or two. Tim Luke said the proposal from IDWR was for two years, but the resolutions specified the watermaster term of service only until the next annual meeting. Tim Luke explained that the second bid from IDWR was less than the initial bid shown to advisors, because IDWR decided to reduce Gene Hansen's time and hire a temporary person for some of the summer field work.

Kirk Jacobs asked Brian Higgs if he wants to do measuring and reporting for Water District 110. He said his bid was only for measuring and reporting and that he was not interested in being water master. His bid was \$50,000 to measure 1/3 of the wells and do the calculations and reporting. He also said that the advisors asked if he could do the billing. He said if he did that it would be another \$4,000. His total bid was for \$54,000. Brian asked if there would be more than one ground water district within Water District 110. Kirk Jacobs said there would only be one.

One water user asked how it would work to have canal systems and the wildlife refuge do their own measuring. Bryce Contor said that was up to the water users. There was some discussion of the use of power records at this time. Brian Higgs said he believed it was important for water users to use as much of the water allowed by water right as possible. He cited one water user who combined his water rights and wasn't given his full allocation because he had not been using the full amount.

Tim Luke discussed the IDWR bid proposal, again explaining why the original bid had been higher. The original bid was based on Gene working full-time in the district over 9 ½ - 10 months, until the next annual meeting. Costs for his time include salary, benefits, and one-third overhead charge, which include the use of vehicles, office & computers. The new bid adjusts Gene's time for measuring and reporting in Water District 110 to one third of his total time and annual salary. The other two thirds of Gene's time is charged to other districts (Water District 120 and the East Measurement District). The new bid also includes a temporary employee to assist Gene in completing field measurements in the Water District 110 area this summer. Clerical and financial support costs are unchanged from the previous bid and is essentially the same as the prior year for the North Measurement District.

There was more discussion about canal companies and the wildlife refuge reporting. Tim said there is a need to oversee measurements to make sure the numbers are good. There was a water user who had been opposed to anyone other than the Watermaster doing the reporting, but he didn't know that the department or measurement district hydrographer provided calibrations and oversight.

A water user asked Bryce if he planned on hiring temps. Bryce told members that he would do the measuring, calculations, and reporting, but may hire a temp to help with clerical.

Don Parker told members that the Montevieu and Producer's Canals contract with Water District 31 do measurements. Jefferson did the same so it is legitimate.

There was some discussion about in line flow meter verses PCC. Tim said use of PCC was sort of a compromise between water users in the ESPA who wanted installed flow meters and very accurate measurement and those water users who wanted very little, or no measurement, or use of evapotranspiration (ET) methods. Tim mentioned the PCC method also keeps measurement costs down. Water users wanted to know whether or not there could be fewer measurements in the future. Tim said that IDWR needs to review data, but he has found recent evidence that some areas within the ESPA may not be keeping up with the required frequency of measurements.

Don Parker said that it appears the #1 issue at this meeting is funding. At this time there are no advisors for WD 110, and all options should be discussed. He emphasized the need for a starting point. Wendy reminded water users that resolutions had been considered by steering committee advisors at their meeting. She recommended members look at them and pass them, or suggest changes. She also said the water users need to lay down a purpose and start designing the type of organization they want to be. Brian Higgs said that it all comes back to money, and you should hire whom you want, but nothing is in place to access and collect except for through the department. Brian said that the IDWR offer is hard to turn down.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #1 as presented to meeting members. Daren Bitter seconded the motion and resolution #1 passed by unanimous vote.

Don Parker reminded members that they had looked at the resolutions as an advisory committee. Carl Ball wanted to know if Bryce's resolution #1 made more sense. Bryce said that it works toward what makes sense to enforce. That is the main difference between the two, number 1 resolutions.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #2 as written. Dale Cope seconded the motion. Lynn Burtenshaw was opposed, but otherwise the motion passed.

Rob Larranaga motion to adopt resolution #3 as written. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed without dissent.

Don Parker began discussing resolution #10 and the make-up of the Water District 110 advisory committee. There was some discussion as to the benefit of keeping the advisory committee the same as the 2005 North Water Measurement District advisory committee. Rob Larranaga made a motion to accept resolution #10 as changed. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed unanimously.

There was discussion on resolution #8, and whether or not to keep the charge per diversion at \$50.00. Tim explained why the measurement district statute had changed from a \$25.00 fee to a \$50.00 per diversion charge, stating that it was to cover costs of measuring commercial wells. Lynn Wood made a motion to accept it as written and it was seconded by Pat Hendron, but the motion did not pass. There were more questions about the diversion charge and Wendy explained the billing process. Carl Ball asked about assessing on volume verses CFS allowed by water right. Tim Luke said either option is okay. Usually, Tim said, the dollar amount is based on water use. Carl Ball wanted to know if billing on a pro rata share of CFS allowed by water right is allowed by law. Tim said that the water district statutes do require assessments be based on water use, but some districts have adopted other methods as long as it is

passed by resolution at the annual meeting and there is generally no opposition to such as alternate method. Tim mentioned the assessment method given in resolution #8 is similar, or the same as how it has been done in the measurement district, and that this approach is probably the easiest way and least disruptive to the users. There was more discussion about keeping assessments low. Carl Ball made a motion to change the per diversion charge in the resolution to \$25.00. Don Parker seconded the motion and resolution #8 passed with the suggested change.

Robert McCulloch made a motion to accept all remaining resolutions as written. Chuck Steward seconded the motion and they passed unanimously.

Kirk Jacobs asked if there were any other business items. Carl Ball asked how the formation of the ground water district was coming. Don Parker said there would be a vote in the November general election. Don said the main purpose was for mitigation, but other users said that, as a ground water district, they could contract for someone to do measuring and reporting, and hire their own Watermaster.

There was some discussion about Wendy leaving IDWR for a new job. There was much appreciation expressed for all of the hard work Wendy has done.

Tim Luke gave members a "heads up" about Idaho Code 42-620 which calls for the formation of an advisory committee with representatives from all water districts hydrologically connected to the ESPA. The purpose of the committee is to work with the Director, folks doing the modeling, and people on the hydrologic committee. They would have input in regard to assessing up to 1.2 million dollars to improve accounting, take ground water levels, work on the model, and for measuring return flows, to name just a few. Tim Luke said it doesn't mean 1.2 million will be assessed every year. Tim Luke suggested members may want to adopt a resolution that elects a representative to this committee.


Don Parker wanted verification that no funds could be collected before 2007. Tim said that was correct.

Don Parker made a motion to have Lynn Wood act as representative for the ESPA advisory board. It was seconded by Tye Cope. Before any vote was taken there was a motion to amend the first motion and to elect Don Parker and Lynn Wood as representatives to this advisory committee. Lynn Burtenshaw seconded the motion and the amendment to the resolution passed. Members of WD 110 voted unanimously to pass this amended resolution.

Wendy talked briefly to water users about signature cards for the Water District 110 account. She suggested that having a name on file other than Dale Rockwood's, such as the Watermaster; or perhaps an advisory member might be a good idea. She said this person's name should appear in the minutes due to changes in security with the banks since 9/11.

Don Parker made a motion to have Dale Rockwood be the primary signer on the account at the bank, and Kirk Jacob's the second signature. Tye Cope seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

There was no more business. Lynn Burtenshaw made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Lynn Wood seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 4:14 p.m.



LYLE SWANK
WATERMASTER

STATE OF IDAHO
WATER DISTRICT 110
900 N. SKYLINE DR., SUITE A
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402
(208) 525-7171 FAX (208) 525-7177

*Put in "Boise"
Janet's box
envelope box*

*7-10-06
tk*

IDWR DIRECTOR
KARL J. DREHER

TREASURER
DALE ROCKWOOD

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

KIRK JACOBS
CHAIRMAN

DALE COPE
MONTEVIEW

DAREN BITTER
TERRETON

CARL BALL
HAMER

DON PARKER
REXBURG

FRANK SULLIVAN
DUBOIS

LYNN WOOD
MONTEVIEW

NICK HILLMAN
HAMER

AARON SAVAGE
HAMER

HOWARD JOHNSON
TERRETON

July 10, 2006

Karl Dreyer
IDWR
322 E Front St
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-008

Dear Mr. Dreyer,

Please find the Water District 110, 2006 adopted resolutions attached. I have been asked to forward them to you.

Sincerely,

Terry Klipfel
Water District 110 Secretary

"File"

Signed by
secretary + chairman
Forwarded to
director
7-10-06

**Water District 110 Annual Meeting
2006 Adopted Resolutions**

The water users of Water District No. 110 meeting at the annual district meeting on May 17, 2006, at the West Jefferson High School Administration Learning Center, Terreton, Idaho, HEREBY ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS:

1. That the watermaster perform the duties as required by Chapter 6, Title 42, Idaho Code and in accordance with:
 - 1) The duties described in the Final Order Creating Water District 110, signed by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), April 17, 2006, including:
 - a) Measure, record and report diversions of ground water right included in Water District NO. 110;
 - b) Administer and enforce water rights in Water District No. 110 in priority;
 - c) Curtail unauthorized or excessive diversions in Water District No. 110 (i.e., any diversion without a water right or in excess of the elements or conditions of a water right);
 - d) Curtail out-of-priority diversions under ground water rights in Water District No. 110 determined by the Director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director;
 - e) Enforce the provisions of any stipulated agreements or mitigation plans approved by the Director and applicable in Water District No. 110.
 - 2) Direction and guidance provided by the Director of IDWR pursuant to Idaho Water Law.
 - 3) The annual water district resolutions as adopted herein.
2. Lyle Swank be elected the watermaster for Water District 110. That the Director of IDWR appoints Lyle Swank, an employee of IDWR, to provide watermaster services for the ensuing year.
3. As provided in Idaho Code § 42-608(4), the watermaster shall serve until the next annual meeting of the water district after election at this meeting and upon appointment by the Director.
4. The water users of Water District No. 110 hereby empower the elected advisory committee to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding between Water District No. 110, Water District No. 01, and the Director of IDWR that will provide for the following:

- a) IDWR will provide compensation of the watermaster for all administrative watermaster duties (all duties other than measurement and reporting) for the term of service as specified in item 3 of these resolutions.
 - b) The 2006 budget for Water District No. 110 is limited to expenses related to measurement and reporting, and will be set at this annual meeting in accordance with item 7 of these resolutions.
 - c) Water District No. 110 will provide compensation to Water District No. 01 for clerical and financial account support services, where such services are related to activities and expenses for measurement and reporting of diversions only.
 - d) The watermaster shall maintain an office for the ensuing year within the IDWR Eastern Regional Office, located in Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 - e) IDWR will provide office space, vehicles and the use of all necessary office and field equipment in exchange for compensation as set in the budget for the ensuing year. This arrangement shall not give Water District No. 110 any ownership interest in any IDWR office space and equipment.
5. Water District No. 110 includes one or more canal companies, government entities, or other water delivery entities. The following canal companies and government entities had cooperative agreements or other arrangements with the North Water Measurement District to annually measure and report diversions on their own and to the measurement district in order to promote efficiency in the administration of water rights and water management.
- 1) Montevue Canal Company
 - 2) Producers Canal Company
 - 3) Jefferson Irrigation Company
 - 4) United States Fish & Wildlife Service Camas National Wildlife Refuge
6. The entities designated above may have employees who measure and report diversions to the water master of Water District No. 110. The water master of Water District No. 110 may therefore appoint employees or agents of these entities as assistant watermasters for purposes of measuring and reporting water use to the watermaster of Water District No. 110. The water district watermaster and the Director of IDWR will provide direction and guidance to assistant watermasters for measuring and reporting of diversions in these entities, including but not limited to the following:
- a) Each entity responsible for data collection shall provide an annual report of diversion data to the water district watermaster in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, § 42-709, and the direction provided by the Director of IDWR.
 - b) Assistant watermasters shall cooperate and coordinate with the water district watermaster and IDWR in sharing water use information.

- c) The regulation of diversions, including curtailment of diversions and other water right enforcement actions, shall be the primary responsibility of the water district watermaster and IDWR.
- d) The designated districts will first be given the opportunity to resolve unauthorized diversions, or other enforcement matters before action is taken by the watermaster or IDWR.
- e) To the extent that assistant watermasters may otherwise be involved in the regulation or enforcement of diversions, such involvement will be under the instruction of the watermaster and the Director of IDWR.


7. The budget of Water District No. 110 for the fiscal year 2006 beginning May 18, 2006, and ending on February 28, 2007, be as follows:


Expenses	WD No. 110
IDWR Contract	\$31,555
WD01 Support Services	\$7,883
Operating Expense for Measurement/Reporting	\$1,000
Watermaster Service: Administrative Duties	\$ 0
Totals	\$40,438

8. Assessment basis for measurement & reporting expenses: Diversions in Water District 110 that are not already measured by one of the canal companies or government entities identified in item of these resolutions, and also have a diversion rate allowed by their water right in excess of 0.24 cfs and/or irrigation of more than five acres shall be measured directly by the water district in the ensuing year and assessed for measuring and reporting at the following rate;
- a) \$25 per diversion, and;
 - b) A pro-rata share of the total expense for measuring and reporting, minus the total amount charged for all the diversions in the district required to measure, determined by the fraction of the recorded diversion rate for each water right is to the total recorded diversion rate of all water rights being measured in the district.
9. Dale Rockwood be elected Water District No. 110 Treasurer. The duties of the treasurer will be to approve the expenses and disburse water district funds, and to prepare or oversee preparation of a written statement of the financial affairs of the district at the end of each fiscal year, in accordance with Idaho Code, Section 42-619.

10. An advisory committee may be elected at each regular annual session to represent the interests of the water users and to provide input to the watermaster and the Director of IDWR. The Water District No. 110 advisory committee shall remain the same as the 2005 North Water Measurement District advisory committee until the 2007 Water District No. 110 annual meeting.
11. WHEREAS Idaho Code 42-605 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of Water District No. 110 hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:
 - a) Must be a water user of the district as evidenced by a valid water right or an assessment for water measurement from the former North Water Measurement District the previous year.
 - b) Must have paid all assessments owed to the water district or the former North Water Measurement District.
12. The annual Water District No. 110 meeting shall hereafter be held on the second Wednesday of February, of each year unless the Director of IDWR or the Water District No. 110 advisory committee should find it necessary to change the meeting date or unless changed by resolution of the district at any annual meeting.
13. Copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-615, shall be filed with the director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-617.
14. The watermaster of Water District No. 110 shall be responsible for submitting to IDWR annual reports showing water use and such other information that may be deemed necessary by the Director of IDWR in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, and § 42-709.
15. The watermaster and assistant watermasters are authorized under Idaho Code § 42-1701(5) to make reasonable entry on lands within the district to carry out the duties of the watermaster as instructed by IDWR. These duties include but are not limited to:
 - a) Monitoring diversion and measuring rate and volume of water diverted from ground water and other public water sources.
 - b) Measuring the depth to water in wells.
 - c) Monitoring the place, period, and nature of use of water to identify unauthorized diversion and use and to determine compliance with mitigation provisions required by agreement or order of IDWR.

16. Lynn Wood and Don Parker will act as representatives for the ESPA Advisory Board.


Meeting Chairman


Meeting Secretary

Lyle's
suggested
changes
5-23-06

Water District No. 110
1st Annual Meeting Minutes (Draft)
May 17, 2006

Tim Luke of IDWR called the meeting to order at 2:26 p.m. Lyle Swank, Gene Hansen, Wendy Murphy, and Lisa Snarr of IDWR, and Terry Klipfel of Water District 1 were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees, including Don Parker, Daren Bitter, Carl Ball, Dale Cope, Kirk Jacobs, and Lynn Wood of the former North Water Measurement District Advisory Committee.

Tim Luke began by giving some background information for the formation of the water district, and briefly explained administration and enforcement responsibilities. He talked about water calls by the surface water coalition, and about mitigation and agreements in other areas, including water master participation. He emphasized that this year's water supply is good and that he doesn't anticipate any calls, or a lot of administrative time required on the part of the WD 110 Watermaster. Tim talked some of satellite analysis and Watermaster follow-up of any issues that need resolving. He reminded attendees that measurement and reporting within a water district could be done by the ground water district.

Next, Bryce Contor told attendees that he had another set of resolutions and wanted the water users to consider options available. Don Parker proposed users look at all resolutions discussed at the advisory committee meeting, then Bryce's, then Brian Higgs proposal. Kirk Jacobs reminded water users that the water district could hire their own hydrographer and water master. Kirk Jacobs said that if the district goes with the IDWR bid, that Lyle Swank was the suggested Watermaster. Don Parker said that we should look at all options. However, if the IDWR bid is chosen, Watermaster services would be provided by IDWR until the next annual meeting (administratively for two years).

Wendy Murphy told those in attendance that advisors had reviewed resolutions at the advisory meeting and suggested looking at the 1st resolution, and then at the different bid proposals. Kirk Jacobs read the first resolution, and reminded members that they needed to vote on a Watermaster and hydrographer. Don Parker said he had a copy of the final order if anyone wanted to look at it. Tim Luke told members that all of the elements of the final order are in resolution #1.

Kirk Jacobs suggested that Bryce Contor and Brian Higgs have a little time to present their bids. Bryce explained why it might be beneficial to hire him, though more expensive to water users. Bryce said his bid for measurement and reporting was approximately \$67,000. He emphasized that the benefit of electing him Watermaster was the "separation of powers", stating that a Watermaster hired by IDWR was paid by IDWR and subject to the Director. He said if he were elected he would push for whatever was most beneficial to the water user, but also said he would be required to enforce the law as Watermaster. He said that he would work to eliminate the use of diversion rate when determining injury, and work toward only what will make a difference in injury; place of use. Bryce distributed copies of the resolutions he was proposing. One of the water users expressed concerns about the cost of mitigation. Bryce said that cost was not determined by the Watermaster, but he would enforce mitigation and anything else required under oath of office. There were some questions and discussion directed at Bryce in regard to the water model. Brian Higgs said he believes the model technology to be completely wrong. Bryce said he the model is useful if used appropriately.

Kirk Jacobs asked Lyle Swank to speak in regard to the benefits of IDWR carrying the contract. Lyle gave some background information about himself and his qualifications. Lyle said if he was elected Watermaster, he would rely on the expertise of others within the office to help him perform the required

duties. He said some of the benefits for hiring IDWR include the use of office space, department vehicles, software, etc. He also mentioned access to a variety of expertise within the office, as well as, the audit done each year for Water District 1. Dale Rockwood, reminded members of his services as treasurer as well. Lyle said he believed one of the main benefits, should mitigation from downstream occur, is access to purchase water from the rental pool.

One water user asked Lyle about flows at Blackfoot and how records are kept. Lyle explained that they obtain flow data every day from the USGS, and said that water lost in one place river reach frequently shows up in another as a gain downstream. He also told the water user he was welcome to come in to the office and Lyle would go over data with him.

Discussion turned back to IDWR and Watermaster services. Kirk Jacobs asked if that was for one year, or two. Tim Luke said the proposal from IDWR was for two years, but since the resolutions were only until the next annual meeting. Tim Luke explained that the second bid from IDWR was less than the initial bid shown to advisors, because IDWR decided to hire some temporary and part-time people.

Kirk Jacobs asked Brian Higgs if he wants to do measuring and reporting for Water District 110. He said his bid was only for measuring and reporting and that he was not interested in being water master. His bid was \$50,000 to measure 1/3 of the wells and do the calculations and reporting. He also said that the advisors asked if he could do the billing. He said if he did that it would be another \$4,000. His total bid was for \$54,000. Brian asked if there would be more than one ground water district within Water District 110. Kirk Jacobs said there would only be one.

One water user asked how it would work to have canal systems and the wildlife refuge do their own measuring. Bryce Contor said that was up to the water users. There was some discussion of the use of power records at this time. Brian Higgs said he believed it was important for water users to use as much of the water allowed by water right as possible. He cited one water user who combined his water rights and wasn't given his full allocation because he had not been using the full amount.

Tim Luke discussed the IDWR bid proposal, again explaining why the original bid had been higher. Originally IDWR was considering hiring another full-time person for WD 120, but had hired a part-time person instead. Gene is full-time, but the district will only pay for the 9 ½ - 10 months, until the next annual meeting. Costs for his time include benefits, vehicles, office & computer use, and 1/3 overhead charge. The other 2/3 of Gene's time is charged to other districts.

There was more discussion about canal companies and the wildlife refuge reporting. Tim said there is a need to oversee measurements to make sure the numbers are good. There was a water user who had been opposed to anyone other than the Watermaster doing the reporting, but he didn't know that the department did calibrations and over siteoversight.

A water user asked Bryce is he planned on hiring temps. Bryce told members that he would do the measuring, calculations, and reporting, but may hire a temp to help with clerical.

Don Parker told members that the Montevue and Producer's Canals contract with Water District 31 do measurements. Jefferson did the same so it is legitimate.

There was some discussion about in line flow meter verses PCC. Tim said PCC is a compromise because it keeps costs down. Water Users wanted to know whether or not there could be fewer measurements in the future. Tim said that IDWR still needs to go over the data.

Don Parker said that it appears the #1 issue at this meeting is funding. At this time there are no advisors for WD 110, and all options should be discussed. He emphasized the need for a starting point. Wendy reminded water users that resolutions had been considered by steering committee advisors at their meeting. She recommended members look at them and pass them, or suggest changes. She also said the water users need to lay down a purpose and start designing the type of organization they want to be. Brian Higgs said that it all comes back to money, and you should hire whom you want, but nothing is in place to access and collect accept for through the department. Brian said that the IDWR offer is hard to turn down.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #1 as presented to meeting members. Daren Bitter seconded the motion and resolution #1 passed by unanimous vote.

Don Parker reminded members that they had looked at the resolutions as an advisory committee. Carl Ball wanted to know if Bryce's resolution #1 made more sense. Bryce said that it works toward what makes sense to enforce. That is the main difference between the two, number 1 resolutions.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #2 as written. Dale Cope seconded the motion. Lynn Burtenshaw was opposed, but otherwise the motion passed.

Rob Larranaga motion to adopt resolution #3 as written. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed without dissent.

Don Parker began discussing resolution #10 and the make-up of the Water District 110 advisory committee. There was some discussion as to the benefit of keeping the advisory committee the same as the 2005 North Water Measurement District advisory committee. Rob Larranaga made a motion to accept resolution #10 as changed. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed unanimously.

There was discussion on resolution #8, and whether or not to keep the charge per diversion at \$50.00. Tim explained why the statute had changed from a \$25.00 fee to a \$50.00 per diversion charge, stating that it was to cover costs of measuring commercial wells. Lynn Wood made a motion to accept it as written and it was seconded by Pat Hendron, but the motion did not pass. There were more questions about the diversion charge and Wendy explained the billing process. Carl Ball asked about assessing on volume verses CFS allowed by water right. Tim Luke said either option is okay. Usually, Tim said, the dollar amount is based on water use. Carl Ball wanted to know if billing on a pro rata share of CFS allowed by water right is allowed by law. Tim said the law was based on water use, but that some of the smaller water districts bill according to CFS. There was more discussion about keeping assessments low. Carl Ball made a motion to change the per diversion charge in the resolution to \$25.00. Don Parker seconded the motion and resolution #8 passed with suggested change.

Robert McCulloch made a motion to accept all remaining resolutions as written. Chuck Steward seconded the motion and they passed unanimously.

Kirk Jacobs asked if there were any other business items. Carl Ball asked how the formation of the ground water district was coming. Don Parker said there would be a vote in the November general

election. Don said the main purpose was for mitigation, but other users said that, as a ground water district, they could contract for someone to do measuring and reporting, and hire their own Watermaster.

There was some discussion about Wendy leaving IDWR for a new job. There was much appreciation expressed for all of the hard work Wendy has done.

Tim Luke gave members a "heads up" about Idaho Code 42-620 which calls for the formation of an advisory committee with representatives from all water districts hydrologically connected to the ESPA. The purpose of the committee is to work with the Director, folks doing the modeling, and people on the hydrologic committee. They would have input in regard to assessing up to 1.2 million dollars to improve accounting, take ground water levels, work on the model, and for measuring return flows, to name just a few. Tim Luke said it doesn't mean 1.2 million will be assessed every year. Tim Luke suggested members may want to adopt a resolution that elects a representative to this committee.

Don Parker wanted verification that no funds could be collected before 2007. Tim said that was correct.

Don Parker made a motion to have Lynn Wood act as representative for the ESPA advisory board. It was seconded by Tye Cope. Before any vote was taken there was a motion to amend the first motion and to elect Don Parker and Lynn Wood as representatives to this advisory committee. Lynn Burtenshaw seconded the motion and the amendment to the resolution passed. Members of WD 110 voted unanimously to pass this amended resolution.

Wendy talked briefly to water users about signature cards for the Water District 110 account. She suggested that having a name on file other than Dale Rockwood's, such as the Watermaster; or perhaps an advisory member might be a good idea. She said this person's name should appear in the minutes due to changes in security with the banks since 9/11.

Don Parker made a motion to have Dale Rockwood be the primary signer on the account at the bank, and Kirk Jacob's the second signature. Tye Cope seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

There was no more business. Lynn Burtenshaw made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Lynn Wood seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 4:14 p.m.

Water District No. 110
1st Annual Meeting Minutes (Draft)
May 17, 2006

*Tim Luke's
proposal
changes to
minutes*

Tim Luke of IDWR called the meeting to order at 2:26 p.m. Lyle Swank, Gene Hansen, Wendy Murphy, and Lisa Snarr of IDWR, and Terry Klipfel of Water District 1 were also in attendance. There were approximately 18 other meeting attendees, including Don Parker, Daren Bitter, Carl Ball, Dale Cope, Kirk Jacobs, and Lynn Wood of the former North Water Measurement District Advisory Committee.

Tim Luke began by giving some background information for the formation of the water district, and briefly explained administration and enforcement responsibilities. He talked about water calls by the surface water coalition, and about mitigation and agreements in other areas, including water master participation. He emphasized that this year's water supply is good and that he doesn't anticipate any calls, or a lot of administrative time required on the part of the WD 110 Watermaster. Tim talked mentioned that the watermaster this year would do some analysis of satellite imagery with analysis GIS water right overlays and Watermaster follow-up of any issues that need resolving. He reminded attendees that measurement and reporting within a water district could be done by the ground water district.

Next, Bryce Contor told attendees that he had another set of resolutions and wanted the water users to consider options available. Don Parker proposed users look at all resolutions discussed at the advisory committee meeting, then Bryce's, then Brian Higgs proposal. Kirk Jacobs reminded water users that the water district could hire their own hydrographer and water master. Kirk Jacobs said that if the district goes with the IDWR bid, that Lyle Swank was the suggested Watermaster. Don Parker said that we should look at all options. However, if the IDWR bid is chosen, Watermaster services would be provided by IDWR until the next annual meeting (administratively for two years).

Wendy Murphy told those in attendance that advisors had reviewed resolutions at the advisory meeting and suggested looking at the 1st resolution, and then at the different bid proposals. Kirk Jacobs read the first resolution, and reminded members that they needed to vote on a Watermaster and hydrographer. Don Parker said he had a copy of the final order if anyone wanted to look at it. Tim Luke told members that all of the elements of the final order are in resolution #1.

Kirk Jacobs suggested that Bryce Contor and Brian Higgs have a little time to present their bids. Bryce explained why it might be beneficial to hire him, though more expensive to water users. Bryce said his bid for measurement and reporting was approximately \$67,000. He emphasized that the benefit of electing him Watermaster was the "separation of powers", stating that a Watermaster hired by IDWR was paid by IDWR and subject to the Director. He said if he were elected he would push for whatever was most beneficial to the water user, but also said he would be required to enforce the law as Watermaster. He said that he would work to eliminate the use of diversion rate when determining injury, and work toward only what will make a difference in injury; place of use. Bryce distributed copies of the resolutions he was proposing. One of the water users expressed concerns about the cost of mitigation. Bryce said that cost was not determined by the Watermaster, but he would enforce mitigation and anything else required under oath of office. There were some questions and discussion directed at Bryce in regard to the water model. Brian Higgs said he believes the model technology to be completely wrong. Bryce said he the model is useful if used appropriately.

Kirk Jacobs asked Lyle Swank to speak in regard to the benefits of IDWR carrying the contract. Lyle gave some background information about himself and his qualifications. Lyle said if he was elected

Watermaster, he would rely on the expertise of others within the office to help him perform the required duties. He said some of the benefits for hiring IDWR include the use of office space, department vehicles, etc. He also mentioned access to a variety of expertise within the office, as well as, the audit done each year for Water District 1. Dale Rockwood, reminded members of his services as treasurer as well. Lyle said he believed one of the main benefits, should mitigation from downstream occur, is access to purchase water from the rental pool.

One water user asked Lyle about flows at Blackfoot and how records are kept. Lyle explained that they obtain flow data every day from the USGS, and said that water lost in one place shows up in another. He also told the water user he was welcome to come in to the office and Lyle would go over data with him.

Discussion turned back to IDWR and Watermaster services. Kirk Jacobs asked if that was for one year, or two. Tim Luke said the proposal from IDWR was for two years, but since the resolutions specified the watermaster term of service were only until the next annual meeting. Tim Luke explained that the second bid from IDWR was less than the initial bid shown to advisors, because IDWR decided to reduce Gene Hansen's time and hire some a temporary and part time people person for some of the summer field work.

Kirk Jacobs asked Brian Higgs if he wants to do measuring and reporting for Water District 110. He said his bid was only for measuring and reporting and that he was not interested in being water master. His bid was \$50,000 to measure 1/3 of the wells and do the calculations and reporting. He also said that the advisors asked if he could do the billing. He said if he did that it would be another \$4,000. His total bid was for \$54,000. Brian asked if there would be more than one ground water district within Water District 110. Kirk Jacobs said there would only be one.

One water user asked how it would work to have canal systems and the wildlife refuge do their own measuring. Bryce Contor said that was up to the water users. There was some discussion of the use of power records at this time. Brian Higgs said he believed it was important for water users to use as much of the water allowed by water right as possible. He cited one water user who combined his water rights and wasn't given his full allocation because he had not been using the full amount.

Tim Luke discussed the IDWR bid proposal, again explaining why the original bid had been higher. Originally IDWR was considering hiring another full time person for WD 120, but had hired a part time person instead. The original bid was based on Gene working full-time in is full-time, but the district over will only pay for the 9 1/2 - 10 months, until the next annual meeting. Costs for his time include salary, benefits, vehicles, office & computer use, and 1/3 one-third overhead charge, which includes use of vehicles, office & computers. The new bid adjusts Gene's time for measuring and reporting in Water District 110 to 1/3 of his total time and annual salary. The other 2/3 two-thirds of Gene's time is charged to other districts (Water District 120 and the East Measurement District). The new bid also includes a temporary employee to assist Gene in completing field measurements in the Water District 110 area this summer. Clerical and financial support costs are unchanged from the previous bid and is essentially the same as the prior year for the North Measurement District.

There was more discussion about canal companies and the wildlife refuge reporting. Tim said there is a need to oversee measurements to make sure the numbers are good. There was a water user who had been opposed to anyone other than the Watermaster doing the reporting, but he didn't know that the department or measurement district hydrographer provided did calibrations and oversight site.

A water user asked Bryce if is he planned on hiring temps. Bryce told members that he would do the measuring, calculations, and reporting, but may hire a temp to help with clerical.

Don Parker told members that the Montevideo and Producer's Canals contract with Water District 31 do measurements. Jefferson did the same so it is legitimate.

There was some discussion about in line flow meter verses PCC. Tim said use of PCC was sort of is a compromise between water users in the ESPA who wanted installed flow meters and very accurate measurement and those water users who wanted very little or no measurement, or use of evapo-transpiration (ET) methods. Tim mentioned the PCC method also because it keeps measurement costs down. Water Users wanted to know whether or not there could be fewer measurements in the future. Tim said that IDWR still needs to review go over the data, but he has found recent evidence that some areas within the ESPA may not be keeping up with the required frequency of measurements.

Don Parker said that it appears the #1 issue at this meeting is funding. At this time there are no advisors for WD 110, and all options should be discussed. He emphasized the need for a starting point. Wendy reminded water users that resolutions had been considered by steering committee advisors at their meeting. She recommended members look at them and pass them, or suggest changes. She also said the water users need to lay down a purpose and start designing the type of organization they want to be. Brian Higgs said that it all comes back to money, and you should hire whom you want, but nothing is in place to access and collect except for through the department. Brian said that the IDWR offer is hard to turn down.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #1 as presented to meeting members. Daren Bitter seconded the motion and resolution #1 passed by unanimous vote.

Don Parker reminded members that they had looked at the resolutions as an advisory committee. Carl Ball wanted to know if Bryce's resolution #1 made more sense. Bryce said that it works toward what makes sense to enforce. That is the main difference between the two, number 1 resolutions.

Don Parker made a motion to adopt resolution #2 as written. Dale Cope seconded the motion. Lynn Burtenshaw was opposed, but otherwise the motion passed.

Rob Larranaga motion to adopt resolution #3 as written. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed without dissent.

Don Parker began discussing resolution #10 and the make-up of the Water District 110 advisory committee. There was some discussion as to the benefit of keeping the advisory committee the same as the 2005 North Water Measurement District advisory committee. Rob Larranaga made a motion to accept resolution #10 as changed. It was seconded by Lynn Wood and passed unanimously.

There was discussion on resolution #8, and whether or not to keep the charge per diversion at \$50.00. Tim explained why the measurement district statute had changed from a \$25.00 fee to a \$50.00 per diversion charge, stating that it was to cover costs of measuring commercial wells. Lynn Wood made a motion to accept it as written and it was seconded by Pat Hendron, but the motion did not pass. There were more questions about the diversion charge and Wendy explained the billing process. Carl Ball asked about assessing on volume verses CFS allowed by water right. Tim Luke said that the water district statutes do require assessments be based on water use but some districts have adopted other methods

either option is okay. Usually, Tim said, the dollar amount is based on water use. Carl Ball wanted to now if billing on a pro rata share of CFS allowed by water right CFS is allowed by law. Tim said the law was based on water use, but that some of the smaller water districts bill according to CFS as long as it is passed by resolution at the annual meeting and there is generally no opposition to such an alternate method. Tim mentioned the assessment method given in resolution 8 is similar or the same as how it has been done in the measurement district, and that this approach is probably the easiest and least disruptive to the users. There was more discussion about keeping assessments low. Carl Ball made a motion to change the per diversion charge in the resolution to \$25.00. Don Parker seconded the motion and resolution #8 passed with the suggested change.

Robert McCulloch made a motion to accept all remaining resolutions as written. Chuck Steward seconded the motion and they passed unanimously.

Kirk Jacobs asked if there were any other business items. Carl Ball asked how the formation of the ground water district was coming. Don Parker said there would be a vote in the November general election. Don said the main purpose was for mitigation, but other users said that, as a ground water district, they could contract for someone to do measuring and reporting, and hire their own Watermaster.

There was some discussion about Wendy leaving IDWR for a new job. There was much appreciation expressed for all of the hard work Wendy has done.

Tim Luke gave members a "heads up" about Idaho Code 42-620 which calls for the formation of an advisory committee with representatives from all water districts hydrologically connected to the ESPA. The purpose of the committee is to work with the Director, folks doing the modeling, and people on the hydrologic committee. They would have input in regard to assessing up to 1.2 million dollars to improve accounting, take ground water levels, work on the model, and for measuring return flows, to name just a few. Tim Luke said it doesn't mean 1.2 million will be assessed every year. Tim Luke suggested members may want to adopt a resolution that elects a representative to this committee.

Don Parker wanted verification that no funds could be collected before 2007. Tim said that was correct.

Don Parker made a motion to have Lynn Wood act as representative for the ESPA advisory board. It was seconded by Tye Cope. Before any vote was taken there was a motion to amend the first motion and to elect Don Parker and Lynn Wood as representatives to this advisory committee. Lynn Burtenshaw seconded the motion and the amendment to the resolution passed. Members of WD 110 voted unanimously to pass this amended resolution.

Wendy talked briefly to water users about signature cards for the Water District 110 account. She suggested that having a name on file other than Dale Rockwood's, such as the Watermaster; or perhaps an advisory member might be a good idea. She said this person's name should appear in the minutes due to changes in security with the banks since 9/11.

Don Parker made a motion to have Dale Rockwood be the primary signer on the account at the bank, and Kirk Jacob's the second signature. Tye Cope seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

There was no more business. Lynn Burtenshaw made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Lynn Wood seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 4:14 p.m.

**Water District 110 Annual Meeting
2006 Adopted Resolutions**

The water users of Water District No. 110 meeting at the annual district meeting on May 17, 2006, at the West Jefferson High School Administration Learning Center, Terreton, Idaho, HEREBY ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS:

1. That the watermaster perform the duties as required by Chapter 6, Title 42, Idaho Code and in accordance with:
 - 1) The duties described in the Final Order Creating Water District 110, signed by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), April 17, 2006, including:
 - a) Measure, record and report diversions of ground water right included in Water District NO. 110;
 - b) Administer and enforce water rights in Water District No. 110 in priority;
 - c) Curtail unauthorized or excessive diversions in Water District No. 110 (i.e., any diversion without a water right or in excess of the elements or conditions of a water right);
 - d) Curtail out-of-priority diversions under ground water rights in Water District No. 110 determined by the Director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director;
 - e) Enforce the provisions of any stipulated agreements or mitigation plans approved by the Director and applicable in Water District No. 110.
 - 2) Direction and guidance provided by the Director of IDWR pursuant to Idaho Water Law.
 - 3) The annual water district resolutions as adopted herein.
2. Lyle Swank be elected the watermaster for Water District 110. That the Director of IDWR appoints Lyle Swank, an employee of IDWR, to provide watermaster services for the ensuing year.
3. As provided in Idaho Code § 42-608(4), the watermaster shall serve until the next annual meeting of the water district after election at this meeting and upon appointment by the Director.
4. The water users of Water District No. 110 hereby empower the elected advisory committee to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding between Water District No. 110, Water District No. 01, and the Director of IDWR that will provide for the following:

- a) IDWR will provide compensation of the watermaster for all administrative watermaster duties (all duties other than measurement and reporting) for the term of service as specified in item 3 of these resolutions.
 - b) The 2006 budget for Water District No. 110 is limited to expenses related to measurement and reporting, and will be set at this annual meeting in accordance with item 7 of these resolutions.
 - c) Water District No. 110 will provide compensation to Water District No. 01 for clerical and financial account support services, where such services are related to activities and expenses for measurement and reporting of diversions only.
 - d) The watermaster shall maintain an office for the ensuing year within the IDWR Eastern Regional Office, located in Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 - e) IDWR will provide office space, vehicles and the use of all necessary office and field equipment in exchange for compensation as set in the budget for the ensuing year. This arrangement shall not give Water District No. 110 any ownership interest in any IDWR office space and equipment.
5. Water District No. 110 includes one or more canal companies, government entities, or other water delivery entities. The following canal companies and government entities had cooperative agreements or other arrangements with the North Water Measurement District to annually measure and report diversions on their own and to the measurement district in order to promote efficiency in the administration of water rights and water management.
- 1) Montevue Canal Company
 - 2) Producers Canal Company
 - 3) Jefferson Irrigation Company
 - 4) United States Fish & Wildlife Service Camas National Wildlife Refuge
6. The entities designated above may have employees who measure and report diversions to the water master of Water District No. 110. The water master of Water District No. 110 may therefore appoint employees or agents of these entities as assistant watermasters for purposes of measuring and reporting water use to the watermaster of Water District No. 110. The water district watermaster and the Director of IDWR will provide direction and guidance to assistant watermasters for measuring and reporting of diversions in these entities, including but not limited to the following:
- a) Each entity responsible for data collection shall provide an annual report of diversion data to the water district watermaster in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, § 42-709, and the direction provided by the Director of IDWR.
 - b) Assistant watermasters shall cooperate and coordinate with the water district watermaster and IDWR in sharing water use information.

- c) The regulation of diversions, including curtailment of diversions and other water right enforcement actions, shall be the primary responsibility of the water district watermaster and IDWR.
- d) The designated districts will first be given the opportunity to resolve unauthorized diversions, or other enforcement matters before action is taken by the watermaster or IDWR.
- e) To the extent that assistant watermasters may otherwise be involved in the regulation or enforcement of diversions, such involvement will be under the instruction of the watermaster and the Director of IDWR.

7. The budget of Water District No. 110 for the fiscal year 2006 beginning May 18, 2006, and ending on February 28, 2007, be as follows:

Expenses	WD No. 110
IDWR Contract	\$31,555
WD01 Support Services	\$7,883
Operating Expense for Measurement/Reporting	\$1,000
Watermaster Service: Administrative Duties	\$ 0
Totals	\$40,438

8. Assessment basis for measurement & reporting expenses: Diversions in Water District 110 that are not already measured by one of the canal companies or government entities identified in item of these resolutions, and also have a diversion rate allowed by their water right in excess of 0.24 cfs and/or irrigation of more than five acres shall be measured directly by the water district in the ensuing year and assessed for measuring and reporting at the following rate;
- a) \$25 per diversion, and;
 - b) A pro-rata share of the total expense for measuring and reporting, minus the total amount charged for all the diversions in the district required to measure, determined by the fraction of the recorded diversion rate for each water right is to the total recorded diversion rate of all water rights being measured in the district.
9. Dale Rockwood be elected Water District No. 110 Treasurer. The duties of the treasurer will be to approve the expenses and disburse water district funds, and to prepare or oversee preparation of a written statement of the financial affairs of the district at the end of each fiscal year, in accordance with Idaho Code, Section 42-619.

10. An advisory committee may be elected at each regular annual session to represent the interests of the water users and to provide input to the watermaster and the Director of IDWR. The Water District No. 110 advisory committee shall remain the same as the 2005 North Water Measurement District advisory committee until the 2007 Water District No. 110 annual meeting.
11. WHEREAS Idaho Code 42-605 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of Water District No. 110 hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:
 - a) Must be a water user of the district as evidenced by a valid water right or an assessment for water measurement from the former North Water Measurement District the previous year.
 - b) Must have paid all assessments owed to the water district or the former North Water Measurement District.
12. The annual Water District No. 110 meeting shall hereafter be held on the second Wednesday of February, of each year unless the Director of IDWR or the Water District No. 110 advisory committee should find it necessary to change the meeting date or unless changed by resolution of the district at any annual meeting.
13. Copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-615, shall be filed with the director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-617.
14. The watermaster of Water District No. 110 shall be responsible for submitting to IDWR annual reports showing water use and such other information that may be deemed necessary by the Director of IDWR in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, and § 42-709.
15. The watermaster and assistant watermasters are authorized under Idaho Code § 42-1701(5) to make reasonable entry on lands within the district to carry out the duties of the watermaster as instructed by IDWR. These duties include but are not limited to:
 - a) Monitoring diversion and measuring rate and volume of water diverted from ground water and other public water sources.
 - b) Measuring the depth to water in wells.
 - c) Monitoring the place, period, and nature of use of water to identify unauthorized diversion and use and to determine compliance with mitigation provisions required by agreement or order of IDWR.

16. Lynn Wood and Don Parker will act as representatives for the ESPA Advisory Board.

Voted to be 110 Advisory board @ annual meeting.

Carl Ball
2612 E 2300 N
Hamer, ID 83425

Daren Bitter
1344 N 1900 E
Terreton, ID 83450

Dale Cope
2512 N 800 E
Monteview, ID 83425

Nick R. Hillman
PO Box 224
Hamer, ID 83425

Kirk M. Jacobs
2811 E 2300 N
Hamer, ID 83425

Howard Johnson
1486 E 1200 N
Terreton, ID 83450

Don Parker
2032 W 4200 S
Rexburg, ID 83440

Aaron Savage
1971 N 2500 E
Hamer, ID 83425

Frank Sullivan
PO Box 67
Monteview, ID 83435-0067

Lynn Wood
1014 E 1500 N
Terreton, ID 83450

WD110 1ST ANNUAL MEETING
SIGN IN - 2:00 P.M., MAY 17, 2006

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE/EMAIL
Scott Clawson	2754 W 3000 N Rexburg, ID	208-662-5751 gateway@dedi.net
Denny Kleppel		
Wendy Murphy	30 WR	
Lisa Snare	10 WR	
Daren Bitter	1344 N. 1900 E. Terretan	663-4355 dbitter@Ida.net
Bryce Connor	Idaho Iowa ID	681 9100 bryce-connor@hofmann.com
Steve Vandersee	Larson Farm	
ROB LARRAÑAGA	CAMAS NWIZ, USFWS	662-5423 rob.larranaga@fws.gov
Brian Higgs	6330 W 33 RD IF	522-0399 - Higgs@klnet.net
Chuck Stewart	996 E 2700 Montevideo	657-2277
JAT Hendren	724E 1800W Montevideo	663-4472
Robert McLullochy	2846W 900E "	657-2275
Kirk M Jacobs		
Carol M. Dall	2612E 2300N Ames ID 83425	662-5286
Don Parker	2032W 4700S Rexburg, Idaho	356-5791
LYNN Wood	1014 E 1500 N Terretan	Id. 83450 663-4448
Peter Fisher		
Lynn Buntingham	1758 N. 1000 E. Terretan ID	83450 663-4520
Joe Butz	1536 N 1900 E Terretan	83450 663-4521
Wayne Ferguson	2277 N 800 E Montevideo	(657-2266) (589-4595)
Russ Stockland	2450 N 700 E Montevideo	657-2564

WD110 Annual Meeting

2:00 p.m.
Wed. May 17th

Meeting called to order @ 2:26^{PM} by Tim Luke ~~K. Jacobs~~.
Tim Luke gave background info., including ^{discussing} W/D enforcement + administration.

Meeting chairman + secretary: Motion to elect K. Jacobs chair

Motion by: D. Parker

T. Kliefel Sec.

2nd Scott Clawson passed.

emphasis to form this W/D because of calls by surface water coalition. Talked a little bit about agreements + mitigation in other areas, + water master participation in the negotiations + calls. In this area need to continue meas./report. Administration take place by W/D. This year good water supply doesn't anticipate calls on a lot of time for W/D monitoring, mitigation and it's implementation, etc. Tim also discussed satellite analysis + water master follow-up, correction of any issues. Meas./report can be done by GWD or water districts.
? Creation of W/D? - No

Bryce Conton brought another set of resolutions want users to consider options available.

D. Parker made ~~another~~ ^{the} to propose all resolutions discussed @ advisory meeting, then Bryce's, + then Briant.

K. Jacobs Water district can hire own hydrographer: Bria Higgins ^{water will} ^{consult}
+ W/D
Bryce Conton
K. Jacobs said if go with IDWR Lyle Swank would be W/D.
IDWR for Hydrog -
W/D - clerical/financial
support services
W/D - IDWR also.

D. Parker, in all fairness need to look @ all options.
IDWR would provide W/D services for 2 yrs.

Wendy Murphy - was advisory member meeting + 110 steering com. meeting. Wendy suggest looking @ at least res. 1, look @ bid proposals.

K. Jacobs read resolution 1 as provided per advisory com. (Name 110 steering)
Need to vote on a W/D, hydrographer + report + it.

Don Parker said he has final order (a - e in res. 1 are elements of order per Tim Luke)

K. Jacobs suggesting Brian Higgs & Bryce ~~give~~ have a little time. Brian Higgs not interested in being w.m., only do meas.

Bryce Contor ~~wants to be a w.m.~~ tells joke about hiring 10w or w.m. Had only $\frac{1}{3}$ wells meas. you should have, ~~but~~ but costs were less. He said 10w wants to be w.m., per Bryce. Bryce said if he is w.m. he would be more expensive.

80,000 - 105,000 ^{high} spending authority over the yrs. around 20,000 most of the time spent.

67,000 was Bryce's bid meas + reporting he would do w.m. Bryce talked about doing some work with universities with Bryce closer to 90,000 - 100,000.

2 reasons should elect Bryce: Separation of powers. Paid + elected by w users, but Subject to Director.

Bryce said he'd push for water users. ~~State~~ Statute says Director supposed to use best science.

Hydrologically diversion rate doesn't matter per Bryce.

Bryce push to regulate only what make a difference in injury - place of use.

If elected Bryce will be under Direction of Director, but promised to fulfill oath of office, but will push to help w users.

Bid 60,000 sent to

37,000 fee hydrographer - power data to volume ^{tedious}
250 meas @ 120.00 each

treasurer - 3,000. He'd do book work, but he withdraws offer.

7000 - for field meas and 120 per well. Statist work 1st of June.

Will charge 40,000 more than 1bwa charging. Any questions?

Bryce passed out copies of his resolutions.

One user's questioning cost of mitigation. Bryce says that's not to be determined by WM, but he would enforce mitigation + anything else required under oath of office.

Bryce if WM is LDWR, the WM pushes for LDWR's interest. Bryce would push for what make sense for you.

Someone asked if Bryce author of gw model. He said yes + no. He did ~~have~~ ~~some~~ do some work.

Lin Wood →

Model - ~~could have~~ is good tool, not perfect + could be misused per Bryce.

Do you feel GW model is law rather than tool? Bryce said relied on very heavily.

Brian Higgs says he thinks model technology is ~~not~~ ^{completely} wrong.

Bryce believes model useful if used appropriately.

No other questions for Bryce.

Lynn Burtenshaw

One user I run in with LDWR + ~~said there was law on~~ ~~to~~ ~~talk~~ talked with someone who knew law + helped him out of predicament. If have someone familiar with law, but not LDWR might be to our benefit. Frustrating to deal w bureaucracy.

Ki Jacobs asked Lyke to talk. No prepared speech. Lyke gave his background, education, etc. ~~etc.~~

Also Dale Rockwood
Treasurer for
almost nothing.

Lyke said if he elected WM have to rely on others in dept. because of load, but benefits for office as peer, orchids, etc. Lyke talked about expertise within office + audit by WOI each year. ~~many things can~~ main advantage with dept. / WOI - when mitigation from downstream call good to have access to ventral pool water (1 main advantage with proposal given LDWR by advisory committee. Said Bryce expert in model dept. has Brian ~~Be?~~ . Some problems w model said Lyke.

Whoever elected WM will take oath to uphold law.

? by user - IP Lyle WM, when looks @ flows

blackfoot flows always seem low or lacking of CFS, he wants to know if we have records on this. Lyle said have final USGS data for flow every day of year.

River channels have gains or underflow. Water lost in some places shows up in other places. Lyle said

user welcome to come to office + he would show how done.

K. Jacobs asked how long WM duties provided. By resolution Tim said would be 1 year, but for administrative purposes 2 years.

~~WM Master election could be done~~ For more of lower total cost + bid we went with some temp/part-time people.

K. Jacobs asked Brian Higgs who wants to do meas + reporting for 110.

He bid only meas/reporting. Ground water advocate ~~He doesn't~~ Doesn't want to have anything to do with WM position. Maybe next year after GWD formed be better to have hydrographer separate.

bid - \$50,000 for 753 approx. wells

1/3 wells each yr + to do calcs. + reporting.

If collect assessments - would do \$4,000.

Total bid around \$54,000. ~~Admission fee~~

Brian asked if more than 1 GWD. ~~There should be~~ Only 1 in WD110 per K. Jacobs.

If canal systems + wildlife refuge do own meas. ~~how~~ how do we work that. Determined

by users per Bryce

Water User

How do you, Brian feel about power records?

prefers in-line flow meter, but expensive + difficult to maintain so usually go with p records

Brian thinks important for w. user to use as much of WR as possible. ~~It was a WR~~ wanted to combine rights from originally but dupt. gave lesser amount because hadn't been using full amount of WR. ~~Because~~

Tim Luke 1) bid process idwr 1st bid higher
Also looking at another full time person for 120. But had turn over + hired part-time.

Gene full time 9 1/2 - 10 months, benefits, vehicles, office + computer.
1/3 overhead charge.

Decided to hire couple of temps have Gene spend 1/3 time for 110, other 2/3 in other districts.
Other support for no additional costs.

T. Luke discussed canal co. + wildlife refuge reporting. Need to over sea measurements to make sure it's good.

? - Opposed anyone other than Wm doing reporting. didn't know calibrating and over sight done.

? - When hiring temps would they start with 10WR, or would go with WD if ~~let~~ contracted with someone else. T. Luke said it would be up to individuals if these folks would do meas apart from 10WR.

~~Someone asked if Bryce would hire temps for~~

~~the meas. Bryce said he wouldn't do~~

Someone asked if Bryce would hire temps. Bryce said maybe for clerical, but he would do meas.

D. Parker said for Mont + Producer's Canal they contracted with WD 31 to do measurements, Jefferson was the same so was legitimate.

Tim Luke - in line flow meter most accurate, but expensive.
PCC is ~~the~~ compromise because keeps cost down.

LDWR needs to go over data. ~~Time~~ ~~some~~
~~was out there have not~~

K. Jacobs asked Bryce to verify bid.

Bryce said \$7,000, but doesn't include treasurer.
~~D. Parker recommended sitting~~

D. Parker said appears there's 1 issue + is funding.
Are no directors/advisors, all should be discussed
~~from~~ looked @ figures. we have to have
starting point.

Wendy, resolutions went over by advisors, not
necessarily LDWR. Water Users put together resolutions.

Need to look @ pass ~~or~~ or make changes.

Wendy said needs to lay down purpose + start discussing
type of org we are.
Discussion res. #1

D. Parker moves (adapt resolution 1 } ~~discussion~~
2nd D. Bitter } passed)

D. Parker cheapest way makes sense. Don
~~place~~ said we looked @ ~~as~~ as
advisory committee. ~~Don said~~

Carl wants to know why his resolution 1 better.
Bryce 1 says work towards what makes
sense to enforce main diff.

(?) Resolutions discussed gone over by WU/LOT
NWMD advisories. 120 resolutions
Used as model for these

~~Tom Lammage suggested~~

★ #2 - resolution D. Parker moves to adopt ^{motion} as written
2nd Dale Cooper & Lynn Burton Shaw
passed opposed

Brian H.
all comes
back to him
money. Hire
who you want
but nothing
place to
access +
collect
accept for
dept. H.
Brian H.
says idem
offer hand
to turn
down
other than
LDWR ~~not~~

motion to adopt res. 3 ^{mention} Bob Larranaga
as written
2. Lynn Wood
no discussion. passed

~~#11~~ #10 - Discussion ~~by~~ by Don Parker
to keep advisory Com. Some discussion
about ~~advisory~~ ^{benefit} to have advisors remain same.
~~Some people thinking same~~

Rob Larranaga - motion to accept as
changed on Terry's list
2nd - Linn Wood - passed

#8 - Discussion about \$50.00 well charge.
K. Jacobs said
Tim Luke said statute changed from \$25 to
\$50 to ~~make~~ ^{make} equitable because many commercial
wells.

★ (8) ^{Motion} Lynn Wood accept it as written.
2nd - Pat Henderson. (Did not pass.)

R. Larranaga asked question about diversion
charged before. Wendy explained process
with well verses pro-rata over district.

C. Ball ~~asked~~ asked about assessing on volume
versus / CFS amount. Tim Luke said is
another option. Usually dollar amount based
on water use. This method used before so
this was consensus. C. Ball wants to know
if this okay by law. Tim said law based on
use but can go on CFS. ~~But~~

Discussion on keeping assessments low.

by motion by C. Ball to change per diversion
\$ to 25.00
2nd D. Parker / Passed

~~Robert McCull~~

all
other

7-

Motion

Robert McCulloch to accept
remaining resolutions as written.

2nd Chuck Stewart passed

K. Jacobs - other item of business

C. Ball how good would play into situation
D. Parker vote for it in Nov. general
election. Purpose is for mitigation, and
could hire + contract for someone to
do meas/reporting + could hire watermaster.

* talk about Wendy moving. Appreciation
for all Wendy's done

* Tim Luke - heads up. last legislative
session.

Statutes influence by those involved in
delivery calls, etc.

Sec 42-620 allows for formation
of ad. committee all WD hydrologic
connection to Sn. Plain aquifer.

Purpose - ^{work} w/ Director, folks do modeling
~~hydrologic~~ hydrologic committee.

Law allows for assessments of
up to 1.2 million dollars yr.
improve accounting
gw level monitoring, work on model
meas. return flows.

Doesn't mean 1.2 million every year. This
committee used to provide input.

May ^{want} ~~one~~ to adopt res. to have member to
represent WD, or advisors can consider later
per T. Luke.

D. Parker wanted verification that no funds
could be collected in 2007 - Tim Luke agreed

#16

D. Parker asked if T. Luke

New
proposed
res. #16

D. Parker moves that Lynn Wood
act as ESPA advisory member.
2nd - Tye Lope

C. Ball make ~~Amend~~ motion to amend to put
D. Parker + Lynn Wood
2nd - Lynn Burtenshaw
amended → passed

Vote on Amended motion - passed.

~~Action~~ Wendy talked to users about
signature cards @ bank. Who do
you want for signers on
your bank account. Good idea to
have treasurer and Lynn as advisory
member. We need copy of minutes
stating who signers ~~are~~ are
listed in minutes. Necessary since 9/11

D. Parker move to make signature @ bank
Dale Rockwood Primary signer
+ K. Jacobs second signature.
2nd - Tye Lope
Passed

Adjourn
motion to

Lynn Burtenshaw
2nd Lynn Wood
passed

4:14 p.m.

**AGENDA
WATER DISTRICT 110
2006 ANNUAL MEETING
Wednesday, May 17. 2:30 pm
West Jefferson High School
Administration Learning Center
Terreton, ID**

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| ✓ Call Meeting to Order | Tim Luke, IDWR |
| - Present background information | |
| ✓ Selection of Meeting Chairman and Secretary | |
| Present Proposed Resolutions and Budget | Chairman |
| Revision/Adoption of Resolutions and Budget
and Election of Watermaster | Chairman |
| Caucus Selection of Advisory Committee Members | Chairman |
| Other Items of Business | Chairman |
| Adjournment of Meeting | |

**Water District 110 Annual Meeting
2006 Proposed Resolutions**

The water users of Water District No. 110 meeting at the annual district meeting on May 17, 2006, at the West Jefferson High School Administration Learning Center, Terreton, Idaho, HEREBY ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS:

1. That the watermaster perform the duties as required by Chapter 6, Title 42, Idaho Code and in accordance with:
 - 1) The duties described in the Final Order Creating Water District 110, signed by the Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), April 17, 2006, including:
 - a) Measure, record and report diversions of ground water right included in Water District NO. 110;
 - b) Administer and enforce water rights in Water District No. 110 in priority;
 - c) Curtail unauthorized or excessive diversions in Water District No. 110 (i.e., any diversion without a water right or in excess of the elements or conditions of a water right);
 - d) Curtail out-of-priority diversions under ground water rights in Water District No. 110 determined by the Director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director;
 - e) Enforce the provisions of any stipulated agreements or mitigation plans approved by the Director and applicable in Water District No. 110.
 - 2) Direction and guidance provided by the Director of IDWR pursuant to Idaho Water Law.
 - 3) The annual water district resolutions as adopted herein.
2. Lyle Swank be elected the watermaster for Water District 110. That the Director of IDWR appoints Lyle Swank, an employee of IDWR, to provide watermaster services for the ensuing year.
3. As provided in Idaho Code § 42-608(4), the watermaster shall serve until the next annual meeting of the water district after election at this meeting and upon appointment by the Director.
4. The water users of Water District No. 110 hereby empower the elected advisory committee to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding between Water District No. 110, Water District No. 01, and the Director of IDWR that will provide for the following:

- a) IDWR will provide compensation of the watermaster for all administrative watermaster duties (all duties other than measurement and reporting) for the term of service as specified in item 3 of these resolutions.
 - b) The 2006 budget for Water District No. 110 is limited to expenses related to measurement and reporting, and will be set at this annual meeting in accordance with item 7 of these resolutions.
 - c) Water District No. 110 will provide compensation to Water District No. 01 for clerical and financial account support services, where such services are related to activities and expenses for measurement and reporting of diversions only.
 - d) The watermaster shall maintain an office for the ensuing year within the IDWR Eastern Regional Office, located in Idaho Falls, Idaho.
 - e) IDWR will provide office space, vehicles and the use of all necessary office and field equipment in exchange for compensation as set in the budget for the ensuing year. This arrangement shall not give Water District No. 110 any ownership interest in any IDWR office space and equipment.
5. Water District No. 110 includes one or more canal companies, government entities, or other water delivery entities. The following canal companies and government entities had cooperative agreements or other arrangements with the North Water Measurement District to annually measure and report diversions on their own and to the measurement district in order to promote efficiency in the administration of water rights and water management.
- 1) Montevue Canal Company
 - 2) Producers Canal Company
 - 3) Jefferson Irrigation Company
 - 4) United States Fish & Wildlife Service Camas National Wildlife Refuge
6. The entities designated above may have employees who measure and report diversions to the water master of Water District No. 110. The water master of Water District No. 110 may therefore appoint employees or agents of these entities as assistant watermasters for purposes of measuring and reporting water use to the watermaster of Water District No. 110. The water district watermaster and the Director of IDWR will provide direction and guidance to assistant watermasters for measuring and reporting of diversions in these entities, including but not limited to the following:
- a) Each entity responsible for data collection shall provide an annual report of diversion data to the water district watermaster in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, § 42-709, and the direction provided by the Director of IDWR.
 - b) Assistant watermasters shall cooperate and coordinate with the water district watermaster and IDWR in sharing water use information.

- c) The regulation of diversions, including curtailment of diversions and other water right enforcement actions, shall be the primary responsibility of the water district watermaster and IDWR.
- d) The designated districts will first be given the opportunity to resolve unauthorized diversions, or other enforcement matters before action is taken by the watermaster or IDWR.
- e) To the extent that assistant watermasters may otherwise be involved in the regulation or enforcement of diversions, such involvement will be under the instruction of the watermaster and the Director of IDWR.

7. The budget of Water District No. 110 for the fiscal year 2006 beginning May 18, 2006, and ending on February 28, 2007, be as follows:

Expenses	WD No. 110
IDWR Contract	\$31,555
WD01 Support Services	\$7,883
Operating Expense for Measurement/Reporting	\$1,000
Watermaster Service: Administrative Duties	\$ 0
Totals	\$40,438

8. Assessment basis for measurement & reporting expenses: Diversions in Water District 110 that are not already measured by one of the canal companies or government entities identified in item of these resolutions, and also have a diversion rate allowed by their water right in excess of 0.24 cfs and/or irrigation of more than five acres shall be measured directly by the water district in the ensuing year and assessed for measuring and reporting at the following rate;

- a) ~~\$50~~ per diversion, and;
- b) A pro-rata share of the total expense for measuring and reporting, minus the total amount charged for all the diversions in the district required to measure, determined by the fraction of the recorded diversion rate for each water right is to the total recorded diversion rate of all water rights being measured in the district.

9. Dale Rockwood be elected Water District No. 110 Treasurer. The duties of the treasurer will be to approve the expenses and disburse water district funds, and to prepare or oversee preparation of a written statement of the financial affairs of the district at the end of each fiscal year, in accordance with Idaho Code, Section 42-619.

10. An advisory committee may be elected at each regular annual session to represent the interests of the water users and to provide input to the watermaster and the

WD110 advisory
The advisory committee shall remain the

Director of IDWR. The number and composition of the advisory shall total ten
(10) seats as follows:

Same as
2005
NMD
advisory
committee.
2007
annual.

~~One (1) representative from each of the canal companies or entities listed in item 5 of these resolutions, and 6 individual ground water right holders within Water District No. 110.~~

11. WHEREAS Idaho Code 42-605 provides for voting by water users and election of an advisory committee, the water users of Water District No. 110 hereby resolve that the credentials for voting in any district meeting and for serving on the district advisory committee will be as follows:
 - a) Must be a water user of the district as evidenced by a valid water right or an assessment for water measurement from the former North Water Measurement District the previous year.
 - b) Must have paid all assessments owed to the water district or the former North Water Measurement District.
12. The annual Water District No. 110 meeting shall hereafter be held on the second Wednesday of February, of each year unless the Director of IDWR or the Water District No. 110 advisory committee should find it necessary to change the meeting date or unless changed by resolution of the district at any annual meeting.
13. Copies of the minutes of the annual meeting, the budget as approved, all resolutions approved, and the report prepared in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-615, shall be filed with the director of the Department of Water Resources in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-617.
14. The watermaster of Water District No. 110 shall be responsible for submitting to IDWR annual reports showing water use and such other information that may be deemed necessary by the Director of IDWR in accordance with Idaho Code § 42-606, § 42-708, and § 42-709.
15. The watermaster and assistant watermasters are authorized under Idaho Code § 42-1701(5) to make reasonable entry on lands within the district to carry out the duties of the watermaster as instructed by IDWR. These duties include but are not limited to:
 - a) Monitoring diversion and measuring rate and volume of water diverted from ground water and other public water sources.
 - b) Measuring the depth to water in wells.
 - c) Monitoring the place, period, and nature of use of water to identify unauthorized diversion and use and to determine compliance with mitigation provisions required by agreement or order of IDWR.

The following list represents the current WD No. 110 advisory committee and alternates.