

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-33166 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 63-33166 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	SANDRA D DOERTY 2543 BOOMER LN BOISE, ID 83714
Current Owner	BRIAN H DOERTY 2543 BOOMER LN BOISE, ID 83714 (208)939-2303

Priority Date: 06/01/1916

Basis: Beneficial Use

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
DRY CREEK	BOISE RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/01 to 11/15	0.100 CFS	
<u>Total Diversion:</u>		0.100 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

DRY CREEK	SW1/4SE1/4	Sec. 34, Twp 05N, Rge 01E, B.M.
ADA County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
05N	01E	34															5.0		5.0

Total Acres: 5

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
2. P21 This right is a split from former right 63-4220.
3. Property also known as Lot 2, Block 1, McCaw Subdivision.

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-33166 V1

Comments:

1. mvanbussum 11/4/2010 Field exam

Comment: Field exam conducted September 1, 2010 with Ramp, Stoddard and Doerty, claimants for adjacent claims.

2. mvanbussum 11/4/2010 Priority date

Comment: These claims are for portions of 63-4220. The other portions of 63-4220 were recommended with a June 1, 1916, priority date, so I am recommended June 1, 1916, here.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
Defendant:
Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Notice of Error Flag:
State or Federal:
Owner Name Connector: OR
Water District Number:
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	11/4/2010 1:18:04 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Priority Date	11/4/2010 1:19:10 PM	mvanbussum			Active
POD	11/4/2010 1:19:29 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Source	11/4/2010 1:20:36 PM	mvanbussum			Active
POU	11/4/2010 1:21:19 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Use	11/4/2010 1:24:05 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Season	11/4/2010 1:24:21 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Quantity	11/4/2010 1:31:00 PM	mvanbussum			Active
Summary			11/04/2010	mvanbussum	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Contacts	11/4/2010 1:18:02 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: Desktop review.
POU	11/4/2010 1:21:44 PM	mvanbussum	Updated Shape

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-33166 V1

Use	11/4/2010 1:24:01 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use? (Yes) 11. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Water Use? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 10,11,12 - Field exam conducted September 1, 2010.
Season	11/4/2010 1:24:17 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Summary	11/4/2010 1:35:35 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
General	11/4/2010 1:19:07 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (No) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (No) 7. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (No) 9. Is the Priority Date of this water use after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? (Yes) 12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Description for Question 12/13 - See QuickLook comment.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-33166 V1

POD	11/4/2010 1:19:26 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	11/4/2010 1:20:33 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim an Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the source? (Yes) 10. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the source? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	11/4/2010 1:21:16 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2b. Is the POU required? (No) 3. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? (Yes) 13. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POU? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 13 - Field exam conducted September 1, 2010. Field exam results compared to 1987 imagery.
Quantity	11/4/2010 1:30:57 PM	mvanbussum	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (Yes) 7. Is a flow measurement required? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required? Description for Question 7 - Theoretical based on pump size and lift indicates ample water supply.
POD	11/4/2010 1:20:15 PM	mvanbussum	Updated Shape
POU	11/4/2010 1:23:32 PM	mvanbussum	Updated PLS

Water Supply Bank:

Claim No(s). 63-33166

Claim No(s). 63-33166

[illegible]

THEORETICAL HORSEPOWER EQUATION WORKSHEET (cjh 1/92)

Water Right No.: 63-33166, 63-33197 and 63-33198
 Examiner: Monica Van Bussum
 Date of Field Exam: 9/1/2010

P/D No.:	OPTION 1	OPTION 2	OPTION 3
PUMP HORSEPOWER	2	2	2
BOOSTER HORSEPOWER	0	0	0
PUMPING LEVEL	20	15	25
DISCHARGE PRESSURE	0	0	0 AVE
RATE OF FLOW (cfs)	0.62	0.82	0.49

SCANNED
 AUG 30 2011