

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
IN-OFFICE REVIEW/BENEFICIAL USE FIELD REPORT

A. GENERAL INFORMATIONExam Date: 12/6/20231. Does this qualify for an in-office field exam (IDAPA 37.03.02.035.01.r)? X Y N

- a. X Irrigation of 5 acres or less
b. Storage of up to 14.6 AF for stockwater purposes only
c. Any use other than irrigation or storage, if the combined diversion rate is 0.24 cfs or less
d. Other < >

2. Current Owner: Scot and Deb WebbOwner of Record Correct? NAddress of Record Correct? N

If No Explain: N/A

3. Beneficial Use Fees have been paid: X Y N Receipt No: C1171424. Source: Tributary:SpringSinksMethod of Determination: GIS and Tax Parcel Data.Change in Source: Y X N**B. OVERLAP REVIEW**1. Other water rights with the same place of use: X No Overlap

Water Right No.	Source	Purpose of Use	Basis
N/A			

Comments: N/A

2. Other water rights with the same point of diversion: X No Overlap

Water Right No.	Source	Purpose of Use	Basis
N/A			

Comments: N/A

C. DIVERSION AND DELIVERY SYSTEM

1. Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

Source	Govt. Lot	¼	¼	¼	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.		County
Spring		NW	NW	SW	18	62N	03E	B.M.	Boundary
								B.M.	
								B.M.	

Method of Determination: GIS and Tax Parcel Data.Change in POD? Y X N Amendment Required? Y X N

H. NARRATIVE/REMARKS/COMMENTS

This permit qualifies for an in-office examination. According to Tax Parcel Data the property was developed in 2000. The permit authorizes the irrigation of 5.1 acres and stockwater for 10 mixed livestock. After viewing 2019 Google Earth Imagery it was determined only 0.1 acres of irrigation likely occurred. Other acres within the permitted place of use also seemed to be irrigated. However, after a phone conversation with the permit holder on December 5th, 2023, it was confirmed that only a garden and orchard were irrigated during the development period. The garden and orchard area was confirmed to be 0.1 acres.

Since the permit did not provide pump horsepower a diversion rate calculation was not possible. The standards set in Administrative Processing Memo #17 and 3 were used to make a diversion rate and volume recommendation. According to the IDAPA Beneficial Use Examination Rules 37.03.02.35. 01; viii a diversion volume is not required if the point of diversion is from a natural flowing stream/river or spring. All of the conditions have been met and it has been confirmed a beneficial use was developed within authorization on the permit. Therefore, I am recommending a 0.03 cfs diversion rate total and 0.2 af diversion volume from stockwater.

Conditions R66 should remain on the license. Condition 004 should be removed because it was confirmed there are no legal access concerns to the place of use or point of diversion. Condition X02 and 26A should be removed as standard with licensing. All other conditions should be removed as standard with licensing.

Have conditions of permit approval been met? ☒ Yes ☐ No

I. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Recommended Amounts:

<u>BENEFICIAL USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>DIVERSION RATE</u>	<u>ANNUAL VOLUME</u>
Irrigation	04/01-10/31	0.01 CFS	
Stockwater	01/01-12/31	0.02 CFS	0.2 AF
	<u>Totals:</u>	0.03 CFS	

2. Recommended Amendments:

☐ Change P.D. as reflected above ☐ Add P.D. as reflected above ☒ None

☐ Change P.U. as reflected above ☐ Add P.U. as reflected above ☒ None

Other: < >

J. AUTHENTICATION

Field Examiner: Skyler Cheever – Water Resource Agent

Field Examiner's  Date 12/16/2023

Reviewer  Date 2/1/24

Field Exam Map

Map showing the authorized point of diversion (yellow point), irrigated place of use (orange outline), and stockwater place of use (green outline).

