

May 8, 2014

Idaho Department of Water Resources Attn: John Westra 2735 Airport Way Boise, ID 83705

Subject: Confirmation regarding Proposed Regulation Pond for Irrigation in Lake Fork, Idaho

Dear John,

This letter is a written request for confirmation regarding a phone conversation that we had in April, 2014 about a proposed regulation pond for irrigation in Lake Fork, Idaho that is scheduled to proceed in the upcoming weeks. Below I have outlined the proposed project and our understanding of the project. If you would respond to this letter with a small confirmation response of our understanding of the permitting requirements of the project either in e-mail or on paper, we and our client would be grateful.

The proposed regulation pond for irrigation is located at 65 Elk Haven Lane, Lake Fork, Idaho on parcel no. RP17N03E145855 and is owned by Shawn and Trina Moss. This parcel is approximately 39 acres and has a water right (no. 65-22967) of 0.79 cfs to be used for irrigation. The Mosses also own the adjacent parcel (RP17N03E157655) of approximately 74.5 acres that has a water right (no. 65-22969) of 1.12 cfs to be used for irrigation. The legal description for the project is the SW 1/4 of Section 14 and the SE 1/4 of Section 15, T.17N, R.3E., BM, Valley County, Idaho.

Attached with this letter is a print-out from the Idaho Department of Water Resources website showing the referenced water rights. The print out does not reflect the current ownership but it has been communicated to Crestline Engineers, Inc. that the Mosses have filed paperwork for the change in ownership of the water rights.

Historically, the properties have been flood irrigated from the West Side Lake Fork Ditch. The proposed project is to dig a regulation pond on the edge of an existing area of high ground water and irrigate the properties by a pumped system necessary but also have the option to irrigate using the current flood irrigation system. Drawings attached with this letter show the intent of the project and are provided for your records.

Based on conversations Crestline Engineers has had with you regarding this project, the proposed pond cannot have a footprint larger than 10,000 S.F. (equivalent to 100'x100'). It is our understanding that a pond larger than 10,000 S.F. would require a new water right, that would likely be denied, to account for evaporation lost by the pond.

As we understand it, approval/notification is not required to build the 10,000 S.F. regulation pond but we are hoping to receive confirmation from you about our understanding of the project.

IDWR Correspondence – Moss Proposed Irrigation Pond

Please reply to this letter with a short letter or e-mail acknowledging the project and that our understanding of the project is correct and that it is allowed and/or what additional steps must be taken to proceed.

If you have any questions regarding this project or would like additional information please contact Sam Larrondo of Crestline Engineers, Inc. by phone ((208) 634-4140) or e-mail (slarrondo@crestline-eng.com).

Respectfully submitted by,

Crestline Engineers, Inc.

Sam Larrondo, E.I.T. Associate Engineer

Enclosures:

- 1. Moss Irrigation Pond (4 total)
- 2. Moss Irrigation Pond, Current IDWR Water Rights Print Out (6 Pages)













5/15/2014

Water Right 65-22967

Owner Type	Name and Address
Current Owner	STEPHAN A WHITE
	PO BOX 1150
	BEND, OR 97709
	(541)389-9385

Priority Date: 12/12/1903 Basis: Decreed Status: Active

Source LAKE FORK Tributary NORTH FORK PAYETTE RIVER

Beneficial Use	From	<u>To</u>	Diversion Rate	<u>Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	05/01	09/15	0.790 CFS	
	<u>Total Di</u>	iversion	0.790 CFS	

Source and Point(s) of Diversion

LAKE FORK

SENE

Sec. 3, Twp 17N, Rge 03E, VALLEY County

<u>Place Of Use</u>

IRRIGATION within VALLEY County

				N	E		NW			S	W			S	E				
Twp	Rng	Sec	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	Totals
17N	03E	14										20.0	19.0						39.0

POU Total Acres: 39.0

Conditions of Approval:

- 1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
- 2. W13 Use of this right is combined with water from Lake Irrigation District.
- 3. C20 Basin 65 General Provisions No. 3, the Separate Streams General Provision, does not apply to appropriations of water after October 18, 1977.
 - This water right shall be administered as being from a separate source pursuant to Basin 65 General Provision No. 3. If the holder of this right seeks to change the right pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-222 or successor statute, nothing in this general provision shall preclude any other person or entity from demonstrating that such change in use would cause injury to its water right or from receiving any relief provided by law in the event of injury.
- 4. This right is a split of former right no. 65-3194.
- 5. Water is diverted through Westside Lake Fork Ditch.

Comments:





5/15/2014

Dates and Other Information

Decreed Date: 10/26/2007 Civil Case Number: 39576 Judicial District: FIFTH Water District Number: 65K Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits

N/A

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

Element Revie	ewed/Verified Da Last Reviewed	<u>tes:</u> <u>Reviewer</u>	Last Verified Date	Verifier	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	<u>Date</u> 4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
POD	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
Source	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
POU	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
Use	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
Season	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
Quantity	4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
Summary	4/13/2006	dshaff	4/13/2006	dshaff	Closed
<u>Verification Lo</u> <u>Element</u> General	<u>Date</u> 4/13/2006	<u>User</u> dshaff	the water rights from this (Yes) 4. Is the basis of (Yes) 5. Does the decrea	s source? claim decree or e or license have ant claim the de of claim coded co	e a Priority Date? ecreed or licensed Priority Date ? orrectly?
POD	4/13/2006	dshaff	Updated shape		
POD	4/13/2006	dshaff	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of (Yes) 4. Does the Deci (Yes) 5. Can the claimed (No) 10. Is a POD rem	ree or License ha d POD be verifie	ave a POD? d using the water right file?
Source	4/13/2006	dshaff	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of (Yes) 4. Does the Dec (Yes) 5. Can the claimer (No) 11. Is a Source re	ree or License ha d source be verif	ave a source? fied using the water right file?
POU	4/13/2006	dshaff	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of (Yes) 2a. Is the POU re (Yes) 5. Does the Dec (Yes) 6. Can the claim (No) 14. Is a POU rema	quired? ree or License h ed POU be verif	ave a POU? ied using the water right file?
POU	4/13/2006	dshaff	Updated shape for Activ	ve POU	
Use	4/13/2006	dshaff		ree or License h d Purpose of Us	





IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

5/15/2014

Proof Report

Season	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

SubCase: N/A

Water Supply Bank: N/A





5/15/2014

Water Right 65-22969

Owner Type	Name and Address
Current Owner	STEPHAN A WHITE
	PO BOX 1150
	BEND, OR 97709
	(541)389-9385

Priority Date: 12/12/1903 Basis: Decreed Status: Active

Source LAKE FORK Tributary NORTH FORK PAYETTE RIVER

Beneficial Use	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	Diversion Rate	<u>Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	05/01	09/15	1.120 CFS	
	<u>Total Di</u>	iversion	1.120 CFS	

Source and Point(s) of Diversion

LAKE FORK

SENE

Sec. 3, Twp 17N, Rge 03E, VALLEY County

Place Of Use

IRRIGATION within VALLEY County

				NE			NW				S	W			S	E			
Twp	Rng	Sec	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	Totals
17N	03E	15													20.0	19.0	19.5	16.0	74.5

POU Total Acres: 74.5

Conditions of Approval:

- 1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
- 2. W13 Use of this right is combined with water from Lake Irrigation District.
- 3. C20 Basin 65 General Provisions No. 3, the Separate Streams General Provision, does not apply to appropriations of water after October 18, 1977.
 - This water right shall be administered as being from a separate source pursuant to Basin 65 General Provision No. 3. If the holder of this right seeks to change the right pursuant to Idaho Code § 42-222 or successor statute, nothing in this general provision shall preclude any other person or entity from demonstrating that such change in use would cause injury to its water right or from receiving any relief provided by law in the event of injury.
- 4. This right is a split of former right no. 65-3201.
- 5. Water is diverted through Westside Lake Fork Ditch.

Comments:





5/15/2014

Dates and Other Information Decreed Date: 10/26/2007

Decreed Date: 10/26/2007 Civil Case Number: 39576 Judicial District: FIFTH Water District Number: 65K Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits

N/A

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

Last Reviewed	<u>Reviewer</u>	Last Verified Date	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff			Closed
4/13/2006	dshaff	4/13/2006	dshaff	Closed
	Date 4/13/2006 4/13/2006 4/13/2006 4/13/2006 4/13/2006 4/13/2006 4/13/2006	Date 4/13/2006 dshaff 4/13/2006 dshaff	Date 4/13/2006 dshaff 4/13/2006 dshaff	Date 4/13/2006 dshaff 4/13/2006 dshaff

Verification Log

Element POD	<u>Date</u> 4/13/2006	<u>User</u> dshaff	<u>Log Text</u> Updated shape
POU	4/13/2006	dshaff	Updated shape for Active POU
General	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
Use	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?





IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

5/15/2014

Proof Report

Season	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	4/13/2006	dshaff	 (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

SubCase: N/A

\$

Water Supply Bank: N/A