



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Boise, ID 83706 - P.O. Box 83720, Boise, ID 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 327-7900 Fax: (208) 327-7866 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

February 20, 2002

Re: Final Order Creating Thousand Springs Area Water District No. 130

Dear Water Right Holder:

The records of the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") show that you are the holder of one or more water rights with points of diversion within the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (ESPA) and IDWR administrative basins 36 and 43. This area was one of two proposed water district areas described in the Department's Notice of Order Authorizing Interim Administration and Notice of Hearings Regarding Creation of Water Districts dated January 14, 2002. A copy of this notice was sent to all water right holders within the proposed districts except owners of small domestic and stock water rights. The Director of IDWR held two separate hearings concerning creation of the two water districts on February 4, 2002 in Blackfoot and Jerome, Idaho. A ten-day written comment period was provided after the hearings as required by law.

Enclosed please find a copy of the Final Order Creating the Thousand Springs Area Water District No. 130. Also enclosed is an informational sheet that explains options for responding to final orders. Please note that any party subject to the order may file a petition for reconsideration within fourteen (14) days of the service date of the order, which is the date of this letter. The Department will act upon petitions within twenty-one (21) days of their receipt.

The earliest potential dates for scheduling the 2002 water district meeting will be from about April 22 to April 26. The water district meeting is necessary to elect a watermaster, select an advisory committee and adopt a budget for the operation of the district. The Department will send separate notice to announce the date, time and place for the water district meeting.

Please contact this office or the IDWR regional offices in Twin Falls if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Tim Luke

Manager, Water Distribution Section

Enclosures

**EXPLANATORY INFORMATION
TO ACCOMPANY A
FINAL ORDER**

(Required by Rule of Procedure 740.02)

The accompanying order is a "Final Order" issued by the department pursuant to section 67-5246 or 67-5247, Idaho Code.

Section 67-5246 provides as follows:

(1) If the presiding officer is the agency head, the presiding officer shall issue a final order.

(2) If the presiding officer issued a recommended order, the agency head shall issue a final order following review of that recommended order.

(3) If the presiding officer issued a preliminary order, that order becomes a final order unless it is reviewed as required in section 67-5245, Idaho Code. If the preliminary order is reviewed, the agency head shall issue a final order.

(4) Unless otherwise provided by statute or rule, any party may file a petition for reconsideration of any order issued by the agency head within fourteen (14) days of the issuance of that order. The agency head shall issue a written order disposing of the petition. The petition is deemed denied if the agency head does not dispose of it within twenty-one (21) days after the filing of the petition.

(5) Unless a different date is stated in a final order, the order is effective fourteen (14) days after its issuance if a party has not filed a petition for reconsideration. If a party has filed a petition for reconsideration with the agency head, the final order becomes effective when:

- (a) the petition for reconsideration is disposed of; or
- (b) the petition is deemed denied because the agency head did not dispose of the petition within twenty-one (21) days.

(6) A party may not be required to comply with a final order unless the party has been served with or has actual knowledge of the order. If the order is mailed to the last known address of a party, the service is deemed to be sufficient.

(7) A non-party shall not be required to comply with a final order unless the agency has made the order available for public inspection or the nonparty has actual knowledge of the order.

(8) The provisions of this section do not preclude an agency from taking immediate action to protect the public interest in accordance with the provisions of section 67-5247, Idaho Code.

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of a final order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The department will act on a petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See section 67-5243(4) Idaho Code.

APPEAL OF FINAL ORDER TO DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to sections 67-5270 and 67-5272, Idaho Code, any party aggrieved by a final order or orders previously issued in a matter before the department may appeal the final order and all previously issued orders in the matter to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

- i. A hearing was held,
- ii. The final agency action was taken,
- iii. The party seeking review of the order resides, or
- iv. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency action is located.

The appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days a) of the service date of the final order, b) of an order denying petition for reconsideration, or c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration, whichever is later. See section 67-5273, Idaho Code. The filing of an appeal to district court does not in itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.

junior priority ground water rights and holders of senior priority surface water rights that agreements in principle had been reached that would provide replacement surface water for the next two irrigation seasons equal in amount to what would have resulted from the intended curtailment of certain junior ground water diversions within the Thousand Springs GWMA.

3. Based upon the representations that agreements in principle had been reached, the Director announced on August 31, 2001, that no curtailment orders would be issued for the Thousand Springs GWMA, provided the agreements in principle were implemented through detailed written agreements.

4. After August 31, 2001, representatives of holders of most of the affected ground water rights entered into detailed written stipulated Agreements with representatives of most holders of senior priority surface water rights. The Agreements were submitted to the Director for approval. The Director approved the Agreements on January 18, 2002.

5. Under the Agreements, the represented holders of senior priority surface water rights agreed not to exercise their senior priorities against the represented holders of junior priority ground water rights in exchange for commitments by the ground water right holders to provide specific quantities of replacement water during the two-year term of the stipulated Agreements. In the event the replacement water cannot be provided, the Agreements require an appropriate reduction of diversion under ground water rights or require that other mitigation be provided.

6. The signatories to the Agreements agreed to work with the Director to expeditiously create water districts to implement the terms of the Agreements.

7. Under the Agreements, the parties requested that the Director notify holders of ground water rights subject to interim administration who are not party to the Agreements, or other similar agreements or approved mitigation plans, that they may be subject to curtailment under the prior appropriation doctrine as established by Idaho law.

8. On November 19, 2001, the State of Idaho filed with the SRBA District Court a motion requesting an order authorizing the interim administration of water rights by the Director in all, or parts, of Administrative Basins 36 and 43 overlying the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer ("ESPA") in the Thousand Springs area.

9. On November 19, 2001, the SRBA District Court issued an order setting the State's motion for order authorizing interim administration for hearing on January 8, 2002. The Court designated the matter as SRBA Subcase 92-00021 (Interim Administration). The State of Idaho served copies of the Court's November 19, 2001, order and the State's motion and supporting briefing and affidavits on all affected parties by regular U. S. Mail on November 26, 2001.

10. On January 8, 2002, the SRBA District Court issued an order authorizing the interim administration of water rights by the Director in all, or parts, of Administrative Basins 36 and 43 overlying the ESPA, pursuant to chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code, based upon a

determination that such interim administration is necessary to protect senior water rights.

11. On January 14, 2002, the Director mailed notice, by regular mail, of the proposed action creating a water district in the Thousand Springs area within the ESPA in Administrative Basins 36 and 43, pursuant to the provisions of Idaho Code § 42-604. Notice was mailed to each water user in the proposed district affected by the creation of the water district (herein established as Water District No. 130). The notice described the proposed action to be taken, the reasons therefore, the time and place of a hearing to be held on February 4, 2002, concerning the proposed action, and provided a time period within which written comment on the action would be accepted.

12. In addition, the Director published notice of the proposed action creating the water district once a week for two (2) weeks in the following newspapers having general circulation within the area covered by the proposed district: *The Times News* of Twin Falls on January 17 and 24, 2002; the *Burley South Idaho Press* on January 17 and 24, 2002; and the *Minidoka County News* of Rupert on January 16 and 23, 2002.

13. The Director conducted the hearing concerning the proposed creation of the water district at the Jerome High School Auditorium in Jerome, Idaho, at 7:00 pm on February 4, 2002. Approximately fifty-five people attended the hearing.

14. Prior to commencing the hearing, the Director made a presentation and answered questions for approximately ninety minutes addressing the reasons for creation of the proposed water district and how the district would operate.

15. Persons attending the hearing were provided an opportunity to make an oral statement for the record. In addition, the Director held the record open through February 14, 2002, for the submission of written comments.

16. Only one person presented an oral statement for the record at the Jerome hearing. No one testified in opposition to the creation of the proposed water district. Jeff Martin presented a statement on behalf of the North Snake River Ground Water District in support of the establishment of a water district to administer ground water in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine and State law.

17. Mr. Roger Ling, attorney for the A & B Irrigation District, stated that the questions he had were addressed by the Director during the presentation and discussion that occurred prior to going on the record.

18. The Director received no written comments from affected ground water users objecting to the creation of the proposed water district prior to the close of the February 14, 2002, comment period. Comments were received from Clear Springs Foods, Inc. of Buhl, Idaho, suggesting that water rights included within Water District 36-A (Billingsley and Riley Creeks and tributary springs) should be combined into the same water district or subdistrict with ground water rights so that the parties may continue to work together under the same watermaster. Water District 36-A submitted comments requesting that it remain autonomous

at this time while retaining the option of joining the newly formed water district in the future. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service submitted comments relating to future arrangements that may be made for the measuring and reporting of ground water usage at its affected facilities.

19. The water supply in the ESPA is hydraulically connected to the Snake River and tributary surface water sources at various places and to varying degrees. One of the locations at which a direct hydraulic connection exists between the ESPA and surface water sources tributary to the Snake River is in the Thousand Springs area located at the western edge of the ESPA in the vicinity of Hagerman, Idaho.

20. The available water supply in all or portions of Administrative Basins 36 and 43 is currently not adequate to satisfy some senior priority water rights and is projected in the future to be insufficient, at times, to satisfy these water rights.

21. The administration of ground water rights within the portion of Administrative Basins 36 and 43 overlying the ESPA is necessary for the protection of prior surface and ground water rights.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Statutory Authorities

1. Idaho law declares all ground waters in the State of Idaho to be the property of the state, whose duty it is to supervise the appropriation and allotment of the water to those diverting the same for beneficial use. Idaho Code § 42-226.

2. The Director has a statutory responsibility to administer the use of ground water in the state so as to protect prior surface and ground water rights. See Idaho Code §§ 42-226 and 42-237a.g.

3. The Director has responsibility for direction and control over the distribution of water in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine as established by Idaho law within water districts to be accomplished through watermasters supervised by the Director, and subject to removal by the Director, as provided in chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code.

4. The Director is authorized to establish water districts as necessary to properly administer uses of water from public streams, or other independent sources of water supply, for which a court having jurisdiction thereof has adjudicated the priorities of appropriation. See Idaho Code § 42-604.

5. In addition, the district court having jurisdiction over a general water rights adjudication may permit the interim administration of water rights pursuant to chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code, prior to the entry of a final decree, in accordance with director's reports filed

with the court, with or without modification by the court, or in accordance with partial decrees that have superseded the director's reports. See Idaho Code § 42-1417.

District Creation

6. Based upon the above statutory authorities, the order of the SRBA District Court authorizing the interim administration of water rights pursuant to chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code, and the record in this proceeding, the Director should create a water district to administer water rights within those portions of Administrative Basins 36 and 43 overlying the ESPA, as shown on the map appended hereto as Attachment A, to protect senior priority water rights.

7. The Director concludes that the water district should be formed on a permanent basis and be used to administer the affected water rights in accordance with the prior appropriation doctrine as established by Idaho law.

Administration of Affected Water Rights

8. The Director concludes that immediate administration of water rights, other than domestic and stockwater rights as defined under Idaho Code §§ 42-111 and 42-1401A(11), pursuant to chapter 6, title 42, Idaho Code, is necessary for the protection of prior surface and ground water rights.

9. The Director concludes that compliance with the provisions of the interim stipulated Agreements will provide adequate replacement water to satisfy the need for any mitigation or curtailment of the rights to the use of ground water held by persons who are party to the Agreements or are represented by a party to the Agreements during the term of the stipulated Agreements.

10. The Director concludes that the watermaster of the water district created by this order shall perform the following duties in accordance with guidelines, direction, and supervision provided by the Director:

- a. Curtail illegal diversions (i.e., any diversion without a water right or in excess of the elements or conditions of a water right);
- b. Measure and report the diversions under water rights;
- c. Enforce the provisions of stipulated agreements approved by the Director; and
- d. Curtail out-of-priority diversions determined by the Director to be causing injury to senior priority water rights if not covered by a stipulated agreement or a mitigation plan approved by the Director.

11. Additional instructions to the watermaster for the administration of water rights from hydraulically connected sources will be based upon available data, models, and

the Director's best professional judgment.

12. The Director concludes that the water district created by this order shall include the following organizational features:

- a. Election and appointment of a single watermaster for the water district. The water users may elect to have the district contract with IDWR to provide watermaster services. Under a district contract with IDWR, the watermaster will be a direct employee of IDWR.
- b. Creation of subdistricts that match boundaries of existing ground water districts and irrigation districts, or as otherwise determined by the Director.
- c. Selection of Water District Advisory Committee that includes, but need not be limited to, representation from boards of directors of ground water districts and irrigation districts.
- d. Appointment of deputy watermasters by the watermaster, with approval from the Director. Deputy watermasters shall work pursuant to instructions of the watermaster. Deputy watermasters may be employees of existing ground water districts or irrigation districts that are located within the water district. Duties of appointed deputy watermasters that are also employees of an existing ground water district or irrigation district shall be limited primarily to measuring and reporting of diversions.
- e. Water rights not included in an existing ground water district or irrigation district shall be assessed costs directly by the water district watermaster.
- f. Ground water districts and irrigation districts that are organized as subdistricts may collect and pay the pro-rata expenses on behalf of the diversions and users within their respective district (this will avoid billing of individual water rights or diversions by both the subdistrict and the water district).


ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Thousand Springs Area Water District, designated as Water District No. 130, is hereby created to include all ground water rights and all rights to divert from springs discharging from the ESPA that are not already included in Water District No. 36-A, other than small domestic and stockwater rights as defined under Idaho Code §§ 42-111 and 42-1401A(11), within the area depicted on the map appended hereto as Attachment A and incorporated herein by reference.

2. For 2002, the water right holders within the Thousand Springs Area Water District No. 130 shall meet at a time and place to be announced by the Director to elect a watermaster, select an advisory committee, and set a budget to be collected to operate the district. In future years, the annual meeting shall be held as provided in Idaho Code § 42-605.

DATED this 19th day of February 2002.



KARL J. DREHER
Director

THOUSAND SPRINGS AREA WATER DISTRICT NO. 130

- Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer
- IDWR Administrative Basins
- Townships
- Water District No. 130 - Basins 36 and 43
- Water District No. 36-A (Existing District)

