

Passing concerns of many

- 1-^{Pro} A man can drill in the valley and take the water out to the ERA Flat ^(on ARID PASS etc) without hurt to others. ("its legal")

v s

Con this practice hurts others - especially those downstream from the well

Not Fair

- 2-^{Pro} Surface water can be transported downstream from original designated land cause "its legal"

v s

Con this water used on its original land went back on the ground and thus had less effect on downstream rights - which many near ARID were really "Rising water rights". Now the water taken out to flats does NOT Replenishment whatsoever

Not Fair

- 3- Wells drilled to be supplemental now being used on land not originally to be irrigated → Have they been permitted and legally transferred to this new point?
(3) How many Acres have done this?

Some say

- 4- The place of use should be kept on the land it was drilled for - or - reasonably close there to. (Not miles)

- 5- Croswaito and others claim 950 cfs or whatever leaves the valley — "Just because a pond is deep doesn't mean it travels at that same depth"

- 6- Many well drillers and hydrologists claim there are no blanket confining layers in the valley - while some drilling and casing regulations address it differently.