

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

1997 DEC 29 AM 10:34

In Re SRBA)
Case No. 39576)
_____)

PARTIAL DECREE PURSUANT TO
I.R.C.P. 54(b) FOR
Water Right 36-07585

DISTRICT COURT - SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO

FILED _____

NAME & ADDRESS: CLARA CNOSSEN
FRANK W CNOSSEN
3451 S 1800 E
WENDELL ID 83355

SOURCE: GROUNDWATER

QUANTITY: 0.52 CFS

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-02495B IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 1.64 CFS AND TO A TOTAL
COMBINED ANNUAL DIVERSION VOLUME OF 388 AF.

PRIORITY DATE: 12/09/1975

POINT OF DIVERSION: T08S R15E S30 NENWNE Within GOODING County


PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	IRRIGATION	Irrigation Season	0.52 CFS

PLACE OF USE:	IRRIGATION	Within GOODING County
	T08S R15E S30	NENE 38 NWNE 39 SENE 20
	97 ACRES TOTAL	

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-02495B IS LIMITED TO THE
IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 97 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.



DANIEL C. HURLBUTT, JR.
PRESIDING JUDGE
Snake River Basin Adjudication

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
)
Case No. 39576)
)

Water Right 36-07585

ORDER AMENDING IRRIGATION PERIOD OF USE ELEMENT
IN PARTIAL DECREE AND INCORPORATING INTO PARTIAL
DECREE AN EXPRESS STATEMENT REGARDING GENERAL
PROVISIONS, *NUNC PRO TUNC*

A *Partial Decree* was entered for the above-captioned irrigation water right on December 29, 1997. The period of use element was decreed as "irrigation season." In *A&B Irrigation Dist. v. Idaho Conservation League*, 131 Idaho 411, 423, 958 P.2d 568, 580 (1998), the Idaho Supreme Court remanded with the directive to include specific dates for the period of use element. Following remand, IDWR filed a *Supplemental Director's Report, Reporting Area 3, IDWR Basin 36, Regarding Revision of Period of use (For Irrigation Water Uses) and Conjunctive Management General Provisions*, which included an irrigation period of use recommendation for this water right. No objections were filed to this recommendation and the time period for filing objections has now expired.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the period of use for the irrigation element of the above-captioned water right is hereby amended and decreed as:

PERIOD OF USE: 03-15 11-15

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the *Partial Decree* for the above-captioned water right is hereby amended and decreed to contain the following:


This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. I.C. section 42-1412(6).

This order is being entered *nunc pro tunc* as of the date the *Partial Decree* was issued and is not intended to modify any subsequent administrative changes for the water right, if any, which occurred following entry of the *Partial Decree*.

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.

Dated August 27, 2001



ROGER BURDICK
Presiding Judge
Snake River Basin Adjudication

Important Information About Your Water Right

August 27, 2001

FRANK W CNOSSEN
CLARA CNOSSEN
3451 S 1800 E
WENDELL, ID 83355

Dear Water Right Claimant(s):

The reverse side of this letter contains a copy of an *Order Amending Irrigation Period of Use Element in Partial Decree and Incorporating into Partial Decree An Express Statment Regarding General Provisions, Nunc Pro Tunc* (hereinafter "*Order*") for water right number 36-07585. According to Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) records, you are the current owner of this water right. If you are not the current owner, please contact IDWR immediately at:

Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard
Boise, ID 83706
800-451-4129

The Purpose of the *Order* is to set forth beginning and ending dates for the irrigation period of use for this water right. As stated in the face of the *Order*, this action was necessary following the decision of the Idaho Supreme Court in *A&B Irrigation Dist. v. Idaho Conservation League*, 131 Idaho 411, 423, 958 P.2d 568, 580 (1998). This *Order* also incorporates into the *Partial Decree* an express statement that the *Partial Decree* is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition or for the efficient administration of the water right. This express statement is necessary to comply with the requirements of Idaho Code section 42-1412(6). This *Order* in combination with the *Partial Decree* that was issued for this water right on December 29, 1997, sets forth all of the elements of your water right. The Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA) Court suggests that you keep this document in a safe location together with a copy of the *Partial Decree* to show evidence of the water right. The original of this *Order* and the original of the *Partial Decree* for this water right are on file with SRBA Court. If you do not have a copy of the original *Partial Decree*, one can be obtained by contacting the SRBA Court at (208) 736-3011. Please note that the *Order* is entered *Nunc Pro Tunc*, meaning that the *Order* will be treated as if it were issued the same date that the *Partial Decree* was originally issued.

Sincerely,



Diana Delaney
Case Administrator