





STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT
PART 3

- A. Draw a map or attach a USGS map indicating the new point(s) of diversion and/or the new place of use for rights described in part 1. Clearly depict the land by section, township and range number.



NOTICE OF PROPOSED
CHANGE OF WATER
RIGHT

NO. 47-2383

Notice is hereby given
that the Amalgamated
Sugar Company of Twin
Falls, ID has applied to the
Department of Water Re-
sources to change the fol-
lowing described water
right(s) pursuant to Sec-
tion 42-222 of the IDAHO
CODE.

Water right No. 47-2382

Basis of right: License

Source: groundwater

Priority Date: 12/6/1963

Amount of water: 1.34 cfs

Water use: Industrial

Point(s) of diversion:

NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S26,

T10S, R17E, Twin Falls

County.

Place of use: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26, T10S, R17E.

TO BE CHANGED AS

FOLLOWS:

Water right No.: 47-2382

Amount: 1.34 cfs

Total amount being trans-

ferred: 1.34 cfs

Source: groundwater

Point of diversion: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ N

E $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S26, T10S,

R17E Site SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26 T10S, R17E, (Site 2);

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S26,

T10S, R17E (Site 3); Twin

Falls County.

Place of use: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26, T10S, R17E.

Any protest against ap-

proval of the application

for transfer must be filed

with the Department of

Water Resources, 2148

4th Ave East, Twin Falls,

ID 83301 on or before

September 25, 1989.

R. Keith Higginson, Direc-

tor

PUBLISH: Thursday,

September 7 and 14,

1989.

Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF IDAHO

COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS } SS.

No 20317

I, Linda Copps McGuire being first duly sworn upon oath, depose
and say that I am Prins Clerk of the TIMES-NEWS, published daily, at
Twin Falls, Idaho, and do solemnly swear that a copy of the notice of advertisement, as per
clipping attached, was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not in
any supplement thereof, for Two consecutive Weeks,
commencing with the issue dated September 14, 1989,
and ending with the issue dated September 21, 1989.

And I do further certify that said newspaper is a consolidation, effective February 16, 1942, of the Idaho
Evening Times, published theretofore daily except Sunday, and the Twin Falls News, published theretofore daily ex-
cept Monday, both of which newspapers prior to consolidation had been published under said names in said city and
county continuously and uninterruptedly during a period of more than twelve consecutive months, and said TIMES-
NEWS, since such consolidation, has been published as a daily newspaper except Saturday, until July 31, 1978, at
which time said newspaper began daily publication under said name in said city and county continuously and
uninterruptedly.

And I further certify that pursuant to Section 60-108 Idaho Code, Thursday of each week has been designated
as the day on which legal notice by law or by order of any court of competent jurisdiction within the state of Idaho,
to be published weekly, will be published; and that at the head of the editorial column of said combined newspapers,
and in each issue thereof, Thursday is announced as the day on which said legal notices will be published.

STATE OF IDAHO
COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

On this 22 day of September in the year of 1989, before me,
a Notary Public, personally appeared Linda Copps McGuire,
known or identified to me to be the person whose name subscribed to the within instrument, and being by me first
duly sworn, declared that the statements therein are true, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

NOV 13 1989

Sheva R. Feltman

Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at Twin Falls County

My commission expires: 5/19/94

RECEIVED

DEC 31 1968

Department of Reclamation

NOTICE OF APPROVED APPLICATION FOR WATER

Notice is hereby given that the Amalgamated Sugar Company, Box 1520, Ogden, Utah, has received an approved permit No. G-31584 to divert 1.7 cubic feet per second of water from a subterranean source. The priority to be established under this permit is December 6, 1963. Water will be diverted by well within the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 26, T. 10 S., R. 17 E., B.M., Twin Falls County, and is to be used for industrial use in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 26, T. 10 S., T. 17 E., B.M.

Any protests against the intent of the permit must be filed with the Department of Reclamation within ten days from the last publication of this notice.

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
State Reclamation Engineer
Published in the Times-News,
Twin Falls, Idaho, on October 31 and
November 7, 1968.

Affidavit of Publication

No 13648

STATE OF IDAHO,
COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS } ss.I, Mable L. Clark, being first duly sworn upon

oath depose and say that I am Office Manager of the TIMES-NEWS, published daily, except Saturday, at Twin Falls, Idaho, and do solemnly swear that a copy of the notice of advertisement, as per clipping attached, was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not in any supplement thereof, for two consecutive Thursdays, commencing with the issue dated Dec 31, 1968, and ending with the issue dated Nov 7, 1968.

And I do further certify that said newspaper is a consolidation, effective February 16, 1942, of the Idaho Evening Times, published theretofore daily except Sunday, and the Twin Falls News, published theretofore daily except Monday, both of which newspapers prior to consolidation had been published under said names in said city and county continuously and uninterruptedly during a period of more than twelve consecutive months, and said TIMES-NEWS, since such consolidation, has been published as a daily newspaper except Saturday, under said name in said city and county continuously and uninterruptedly.

And I further certify that pursuant to Section 60-108 Idaho Code, Thursday of each week has been designated as the day on which legal notice by law or by order of any court of competent jurisdiction within the state of Idaho, to be published weekly, will be published; and that at the head of the editorial column of said combined newspapers, and in each issue thereof, Thursday is announced as the day on which said legal notices will be published.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day ofNovember, 1968.

Mable L. Clark
Attest
Notary Public in and for the County of Twin Falls,
State of Idaho, residing at Twin Falls, Idaho.

31584

SEE-2DD-18




NAME OF APPLICANT THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO.

Form No. 0

SECTION 22

SECTION 23



SECTION 27

SECTION 26

TOWNSHIP 10-S
RANGE 17-E

Permit Map No. 31584
As Approved DEC 11 1963
CARL E. TAPPAN
State Reclamation Engineer

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT 47-

To Appropriate the Subterranean Waters of The State of Idaho

Application No. G- 39692
Permit No. G- 31584
District No.

1. Name of Applicant: **The Amalgamated Sugar Company**
 Box 1520
 Postoffice address: **Ogden** County: **Weber** State: **Utah**
2. Quantity of water claimed: **85 Miners Inches (765 GPM) (1.7 Sec. Ft.) Well #2**
 - (a) Rate of withdrawal in cubic feet per second _____ or Idaho
 Miners' inches _____ or gallons per minute
 - (b) Annual withdrawal in acre feet per annum _____
3. Source of water supply: **Subterranean**
4. Location of point of diversion or well is in the **NW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of Section 26**
 Twp. 10 S , Rge. 17 E , B.M., County of **Twin Falls**
5. Water is to be used for: **Industrial Use**
6. If for municipal, industrial or recreational purposes, give:
 - (a) Point of use of water is in the ~~NW~~^{NE} 1/4 of the ~~NE~~^{NW} 1/4 of Section **26** , Twp. **10 S**
 Rge. **17 E.** , B.M.
 - (b) Waste water or sewage effluent is to be returned to **Rock Creek**
 at a point in the **SE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Section 26** , Twp. **10 S** , Rge. **17 E** , B.M.
7. Kind of works: **Beet Sugar Processing**
8. Estimated cost of works: **\$18,000.00**
9. (a) Is reservoir to be used?
 (b) Capacity of reservoir:
10. The time required for the completion of such work and complete application of the water to the proposed use is **5**
 years.
11. If applicant is a corporation, give:
 - I. (a) Date and place of incorporation: **January 15, 1915, Utah**
 - (b) Amount of capital stock: **\$ 15,319,715.00** (c) Amount paid in: **\$ 15,319,715.00**
 - (d) Names and addresses of directors: **A.E. Benning, Ogden, Utah; M.A. Browning, Ogden, Utah; R.H. Cottrell, Ogden, Utah; S. S. Eccles, Ogden, Utah; Charles Redd, LaSal, Utah; R.H. Burton, Salt Lake City, Utah; M. S. Eccles, Salt Lake City, Utah; Paul H. Ray, Salt Lake City, Utah; J.F. Scowcroft, Ogden, Utah**
 - II. The financial resources of the applicant are (a) Cash on hand: **\$ 1,960,816.00**
 (9-30-63)
 (b) Treasury stock: **None** (c) Bonds to be issued: **None**
 - (d) Other resources: **See financial statement attached**
12. The land to be irrigated is described in the following tabulation:
13. Existing water rights and/or valid permits appurtenant to the lands to be irrigated are:
 - (a) _____ (cubic feet per second, inches or gallons per minute) of the water herein applied for is to be supplemental to the existing water rights.
 - (b) _____ (cubic feet per second, inches or gallons per minute) is for new lands.

BE IT KNOWN THAT The undersigned hereby makes appli cation for permit to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho as herein set forth.

By **s/ R. H. Cottrell** *Agent.*
Vice-President

Corrected application received:

Twp.	Range	Sec.	NE ¹ / ₄	NW ¹ / ₄	SW ¹ / ₄	SE ¹ / ₄	Totals
			NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	

THESE I SUED FOR 1.34 SEC. FT.
 LICENSE PAGE NO. _____

WATER LICENSE

Water License No. 47-2383/G-31584 Priority December 6, 1963 Amount 1.34 c.f.s.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that The Amalgamated Sugar Company
of Ogden, Utah, made application for a permit to appropriate the public waters of
the State of Idaho, dated December 6, 1963; that Permit 47-2383/G-31584 was issued pursuant
to said application; that the proposed works were completed on or about October 15, 1968,
with a storage/or carrying capacity of 1.34 c.f.s., said works consisting of
well, pump, motor, and V-notch weir; and that on October 16, 1968,
proof was made to the satisfaction of the State Reclamation Engineer, of the beneficial use of 503
acre feet per season, with a maximum rate of diversion of 1.34 cubic feet per second of the waters
of ground water, tributary of _____,
for the purpose of Industrial Use from January 1 to December 31;
and for _____ from _____ to _____; and for
_____ from _____ to _____; and that the use
of said waters has been made in accordance with the laws of Idaho, and is hereby confirmed by the State Recla-
mation Engineer and entered in the records of the Idaho Department of Reclamation: the point of diversion is
located in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 26, T. 10 S, R. 17 E, B.M., and
other additional points _____, in the County of
Twin Falls; and that the amount of water to which such right is
entitled and which is hereby confirmed, for the purposes aforesaid, is ~~limited to an amount actually beneficially~~
used for said purposes and shall not exceed 503 acre feet per year.

Description and location of place of use:


TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTALS
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	
10 S	17 E	26					X												

Total number of acres irrigated _____

The right to the use of the water hereby confirmed is restricted to the lands or place of use herein described,
as provided by the laws of Idaho.

Witness the seal and signature of the State Reclamation Engineer, affixed at Boise, Idaho, this

13th day of July, 19 70.


Deputy State Reclamation Engineer

This license is subject to compliance with Department of Health criteria for treatment of effluent.

47 2383

47-2383
31584

001

AMALGAMATED SUGAR TWIN FALLS ID

10:11

208 734 2975

08/09/89

CONSOLIDATED TAX NOTICE AND RECEIPT

TWIN FALLS COUNTY TREASURER

1988

BONNIE B. KING, BOX 88

TWIN FALLS, ID 83303

SUB-RE AL

MARKET VALUE	TAX CODE AREA	PARCEL NUMBER	BILL NUMBER
9972347	9-00	SR10S17E260600A	6

SEC 26 T 10 R 17

W1/2 NE; NW1/4, EXC W 100' OF A

STRIP 20' X 30' IN NW NW

L 266254

I 284629

P 4421464

236.960

AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO

TOT ACRES

P O BOX 1520

DELINQUENT CERT.

OGDEN, UT 84402

TAXING DISTRICT	RATE	AMOUNT	RECEIPT
STATE			FULL PAYMENT
COUNTY	.005279	52,644.02	TAX 22,590.76
SCHOOL DIST 411	.005777	57,610.26	PEN.
TWIN FALLS-HGWY	.001234	12,305.88	SUB TOT
			INT
			TOT
			1ST INSTALLMENT
			TAX 1,295.38
			PEN
			SUB TOT
			INT
			TOT
			2ND INSTALLMENT
			TAX 1,295.38
			PEN
			SUB TOT
			INT
			TOT
TOTAL	.012290	122,590.76	

AM FALLS RES.

30.60

*** YOUR CHECK IS ***

*** YOUR RECEIPT ***

CONSOLIDATED TAX NOTICE AND RECEIPT

TWIN FALLS COUNTY TREASURER

1988

BONNIE B. KING, BOX 88

TWIN FALLS, ID 83303

REAL PROPERTY

MARKET VALUE	TAX CODE AREA	PARCEL NUMBER	BILL NUMBER
20880	1-00	RPT00110231220A	6210

TWIN FALLS ACRES INSIDE

TAX #301, EXC NW 1.04A MORE OR

LESS; TAX #1'S 302, 300 & 299,

2A RD IN NE SW

[23-10-17]

L 20880

AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO

P O BOX 1520

OGDEN, UT 84402

TAXING DISTRICT	RATE	AMOUNT	RECEIPT
STATE			FULL PAYMENT
COUNTY	.005279	110.24	TAX 428.40
TWIN FALLS-CITY	.008054	168.38	PEN.
SCHOOL DIST 411	.005777	120.62	SUB TOT
TWIN FALLS-HGWY	.001234	25.79	INT
			TOT
			1ST INSTALLMENT
			TAX 214.20
			PEN
			SUB TOT
			INT
			TOT
			2ND INSTALLMENT
			TAX 214.20
			PEN
			SUB TOT
			INT
			TOT
TOTAL	.020354	428.40	

AM FALLS RES.

3.38

*** YOUR CHECK IS ***

*** YOUR RECEIPT ***

NOV 13 1989

MICROFILMED

DELINQUENT CERT.

ADDRESS CHANGE

Page 1 of 6STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCESTransfer No. 3591APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT
PART 1

APPROVED

Name of applicant THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY Phone 733-4104
Post Office address P.O. Box 127 Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 or 438-2115

A. PURPOSE OF TRANSFER

1. ☒ Change point of diversion ☐ Add diversion point(s) ☐ Change place of use
☐ Change nature of use ☐ Change period of use ☐ Other _____
2. Describe the reason for the proposed changes Poor groundwater quality at existing
point of diversion

B. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT(S) OR PORTION THEREOF, AFTER THE REQUESTED CHANGE

1. Right Number Priority Amount (cfs/ac-ft) Nature of Use Period of Use
47-2383 Dec. 06, 1963 1.34 CFS Industrial use Jan. 1st. to Dec. 31st.

2. Total amount of water being transferred 1.34 CFS cubic feet per second and/or _____ acre-feet per annum.
3. Source of water groundwater tributary to _____
4. Point(s) of Diversion: Refer to item 6.d. Remarks _____

Lot	1/4	1/4	1/4	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	County	Local name for diversion
	NW	NE	SW	26	10S	17E	Twin Falls	Site 1
	SE	SE	SW	26	10S	17E	Twin Falls	Site 2
	SW	NW	SE	26	10S	17E	Twin Falls	Site 3

5. Lands irrigated or place of use:

Twp.	Rge.	Sec.	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	
10S	17E	26					I												

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1989

Total acres _____

PART 1

6. General Information:

a. Description of diversion system groundwater well with pumps and appurtenances to convey water to spring point of diversion permit # 47-8086

b. Are the lands from which you propose to transfer the water right subject to any liens, deeds of trust, mortgages, or contracts? NO Yes _____ No. If yes, provide a notarized statement from the holder of the lien, deed of trust, mortgage or contract agreeing to the proposed changes.

c. Describe the affect on the land now irrigated if the place of use is changed pursuant to this transfer:

NONE

d. Remarks:

Sites 2 and 3 will be developed only if site 1 does not provide 1.34 CFS

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

This is to certify that I have examined Application for Transfer of Water Rights No. 47-2383

And said application is hereby APPROVED, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Witness my hand this

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1989

day of

November

, 19 89

J. Glen Saxton
Chief, Water Alloc. Bureau

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT

PART 2

(Attach one copy for each right)

A. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT AS RECORDED

1. Right evidenced by:

- a. Decree No. _____ Decreed to _____
in case of _____ vs. _____
dated _____ in _____ county of _____
- b. License No. 47-2383 issued by the Idaho Department of Water Resources.
- c. Claim No. B15841 on file with the Idaho Department of Water Resources.
- d. Transfer No. _____ which produced this right.

2. Attach a copy of last year's tax notice for the property to which the water right is appurtenant or other documents which show ownership. Label document as attachment A. Check appropriate box below:

☒ Tax Notice

☐ Warranty Deed

☐ Other _____

3. Source of water groundwater tributary to _____

4. Date or priority Dec. 06, 1963

5. Water is used for the following purpose:

amount 1.34 CFS for Industrial purposes from Jan. 1st. to Dec. 31st.
(cfs/ac-ft)
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft)
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft)

6. Total amount of water under right 1.34 CFS cubic feet per second and/or _____ acre-feet per annum.

7. Point(s) of Diversion:

Lot	¼	¼	¼	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	County	Local name for diversion
	NW	NW	NE	26	10S	17E	Twin Falls	well near lime pond

8. Lands irrigated or place of use:

Twp.	Rge.	Sec.	NE ¼				NW ¼				SW ¼				SE ¼				Totals
			NE ¼	NW ¼	SW ¼	SE ¼	NE ¼	NW ¼	SW ¼	SE ¼	NE ¼	NW ¼	SW ¼	SE ¼	NE ¼	NW ¼	SW ¼	SE ¼	
10S	17E	26					I												

Total acres _____

9. Describe any other water rights used for the same purpose as described above _____

47-2116, 47-7093, 47-8086

PART 2

Transfer No. _____

10. To your knowledge, has any portion of this water right undergone a period of five or more consecutive years of non-use?

NO

If yes, describe _____

B. DESCRIPTION OF PORTION OF RIGHT BEING TRANSFERRED

(If the entire right is to be changed by the applicant, omit part B and C.)

1. amount Entire right is to be changed purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____

2. Point(s) of Diversion:

Lot	1/4	1/4	1/4	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	County	Local name for diversion

3. Lands irrigated or place of use:

Twp.	Rge.	Sec.	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	

Total acres _____

C. DESCRIPTION OF UNCHANGED PORTION OF RIGHT (omit if there is no change)

1. amount No change for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(cfs/ac-ft) _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____


2. Point(s) of Diversion:

Lot	1/4	1/4	1/4	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	County	Local name for diversion

3. Lands irrigated or place of use:


Twp.	Rge.	Sec.	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	

Total acres _____



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT
PART 3

A. Draw a map or attach a USGS map indicating the new point(s) of diversion and/or the new place of use for rights described in part 1. Clearly depict the land by section, township and range number.



SCALE: 2 inches equal 1 mile. Draw plan in ink.

PART 3**B. CHANGES IN NATURE OF USE**

1	New Nature of Use	Amount (cfs/ac-ft)	Hours/days/year	Period of Use
	No change in use			

2. Quantity and quality of return flows and location of discharge:

3. Describe effects on other water uses resulting from the proposed change:

Continue with land application of wastewater

I hereby assert that no one will be injured by such change and that the change does not constitute an enlargement in use of the original right. The information contained in this application is true to the best of my knowledge.

I understand that any willful misrepresentations made in this application may result in voiding its approval.

Basil Luy

(Signature of Applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10 day of August, 19 88.Yath E. Gatchek

(Notary Public)

My commission expires March 1992**FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY**Transfer contains 6 pages and 1 attachmentsReceived by J. F. Stanton Date 8-10-89

Protest filed by _____

Prelim. check by JS Fee \$50.Receipted by J. F. Stanton Date 8-10-89 5008822Published in Times News

Copies of protest forwarded by _____

Pub. dates 9/7 & 9/14/89

Hearing held by _____ Date _____

Watermaster recommendation requested on N/ARecommended for ☒ approval ☐ denialby John L. Baily

Copy of transfer sent to lien holder _____

RECEIVED

NOV 13 1989

NOTICE OF PROPOSED
CHANGE OF WATER
RIGHT

NO. 47-2383

Notice is hereby given
that the Amalgamated
Sugar Company of Twin
Falls, ID has applied to the
Department of Water Re-
sources to change the fol-
lowing described water
right(s) pursuant to Sec-
tion 42-222 of the IDAHO
CODE.

Water right No. 47-2382

Basis of right: License

Source: groundwater

Priority Date: 12/6/1963

Amount of water: 1.34 cfs

Water use: Industrial

Point(s) of diversion:

NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S26,

T10S, R17E, Twin Falls

County.

Place of use: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26, T10S, R17E.

TO BE CHANGED AS

FOLLOWS:

Water right No.: 47-2382

Amount: 1.34 cfs

Total amount being trans-

ferred: 1.34 cfs

Source: groundwater

Point of diversion: NW $\frac{1}{4}$

E $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S26, T10S,

R17E, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26, T10S, R17E, (also 2c

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S26,

T10S, R17E (also 2c 2c

Falls County.

Place of use: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,

S26, T10S, R17E.

Any protest against ap-

proval of the application

for transfer must be filed

with the Department of

Water Resources, 2148

4th Ave East, Twin Falls,

ID 83301 on or before

September 25, 1989.

R. Keith Higginson, Direc-

tor

PUBLISH: Thursday,

September 7 and 14,

1989.

Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF IDAHO

COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS } SS.

No 20317

I, Linda Copps McQuinn being first duly sworn upon oath, depose
and say that I am Prints Clerk of the TIMES-NEWS, published daily, at
Twin Falls, Idaho, and do solemnly swear that a copy of the notice of advertisement, as per
clipping attached, was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not in
any supplement thereof, for Two consecutive Weeks,

commencing with the issue dated September 14, 1989,
and ending with the issue dated September 21, 1989.

And I do further certify that said newspaper is a consolidation, effective February 16, 1942, of the Idaho
Evening Times, published theretofore daily except Sunday, and the Twin Falls News, published theretofore daily ex-
cept Monday, both of which newspapers prior to consolidation had been published under said names in said city and
county continuously and uninterruptedly during a period of more than twelve consecutive months, and said TIMES-
NEWS, since such consolidation, has been published as a daily newspaper except Saturday, until July 31, 1978, at
which time said newspaper began daily publication under said name in said city and county continuously and
uninterruptedly.

And I further certify that pursuant to Section 60-108 Idaho Code, Thursday of each week has been designated
as the day on which legal notice by law or by order of any court of competent jurisdiction within the state of Idaho,
to be published weekly, will be published; and that at the head of the editorial column of said combined newspapers,
and in each issue thereof, Thursday is announced as the day on which said legal notices will be published.

STATE OF IDAHO

COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

On this 22 day of September in the year of 1989, before me,

Linda Copps McQuinn personally appeared Linda Copps McQuinn

known or identified to me to be the person whose name subscribed to the within instrument, and being by me first

duly sworn, declared that the statements therein are true, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

Sheva R. Feltman

Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at Twin Falls County

My commission expires: 5/19/94

NOV 13 1989

MICROFILMED

Identification No. _____

Permit No. G-31584

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF RECLAMATION

PROOF OF APPLICATION OF WATER TO BENEFICIAL USE

1. a) Name of Permit Holder THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY
b) Post Office Address P. O. Box 1520, Ogden, Utah 84401
2. Permit Number G-31584
3. Date of Priority that you are prepared to establish December 6, 1963
4. a) Source from which water was appropriated Subterranean
b) Location of the point of diversion: N. W. $\frac{1}{4}$ N. E. $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 26,
Township 10-S, Range 17-E Boise Meridian. Other points of
diversion: _____
5. a) The amount of water and use to which the water has been applied:
amount 1.7 for Industrial purposes from Jan. 1 to Dec. 31 incl.
(cfs and/or acre feet per annum) (Mo-Day) (Mo-Day)
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____ incl.
(cfs and/or acre feet per annum) (Mo-Day) (Mo-Day)
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____ incl.
(cfs and/or acre feet per annum) (Mo-Day) (Mo-Day)
b) Total amount appropriated 1.7 cfs and/or acre feet per annum
c) Describe how and by whom measurement of rate of flow or amount of storage was made
and the qualifications of the person making the measurement: Stanley Brown
(Factory Master Mechanic) with "V" notch Weir.
6. If the means of diversion and conveyance are owned by someone other than the Permit
Holder:
a) Give the name or description of the canal, ditch or other works by which the water is
conducted to the place of use: _____
b) Describe the agreement or understanding by which you take water from the works: _____
7. a) Briefly describe the works for diverting and conveying the water to the place of use,
(If from a subterranean source, give the pump size, motor size, size of well casing,
depth of well; if from surface water, give brief description of diversion structure and/or
canal or ditch) Pump: 750 G.P.M. @ 325 feet Motor: 75 H. P.
Casing Size: 24" O. D. Depth: 700 feet.

Denton Drilling Company

31584

b) Describe the type and size of measuring device which you have installed at your point of diversion: "V" notch Weir.

c) Give well drillers name and address and date the well was completed Denton Drilling Company, Murtaugh, Idaho. Completed: August 27, 1963

8. If for other than irrigation, describe the beneficial use to which you have applied the water and the place of use Industrial Use for processing Sugar Beets
N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$, N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 26, T. 10S., R. 17E., B.M.

9. Irrigation uses: Describe the lands irrigated by giving the acreages irrigated within each 40 acre subdivision.

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTAL
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	

Total number of acres irrigated _____

10. Remarks: _____

The above information is a true statement of the extent to which the above numbered permit has been developed.

October 15, 1968

(Date)

F. Stanley Nielsen
(Signature)

State of Utah)

ss.

County of Weber)

On this 14th day of October, 19 68, personally appeared before me the signer (s) of the above instrument, who duly acknowledged to me that he (she) (they) executed the same.

W. Roger Shuler
Notary Public residing at Ogden, Utah

My commission expires July 28, 1969

31584

RECEIVED

DEC 31 1948

Affidavit of Publication

No 13648

STATE OF IDAHO,
COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS } ss.

I, Marion H. [Signature], being first duly sworn upon oath depose and say that I am [Signature] of the TIMES-NEWS, published daily, except Saturday, at Twin Falls, Idaho, and do solemnly swear that a copy of the notice of advertisement, as per clipping attached, was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not in any supplement thereof, for [Signature] consecutive all the days, commencing with the issue dated Oct 31, 1948, and ending with the issue dated Nov 7, 1948.


And I do further certify that said newspaper is a consolidation, effective February 16, 1942, of the Idaho Evening Times, published theretofore daily except Sunday, and the Twin Falls News, published theretofore daily except Monday, both of which newspapers prior to consolidation had been published under said names in said city and county continuously and uninterruptedly during a period of more than twelve consecutive months, and said TIMES-NEWS, since such consolidation, has been published as a daily newspaper except Saturday, under said name in said city and county continuously and uninterruptedly.

And I further certify that pursuant to Section 60-108 Idaho Code, Thursday of each week has been designated as the day on which legal notice by law or by order of any court of competent jurisdiction within the state of Idaho, to be published weekly, will be published; and that at the head of the editorial column of said combined newspapers, and in each issue thereof, Thursday is announced as the day on which said legal notices will be published.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day of November, 1948.

Notary Public in and for the County of Twin Falls,
State of Idaho, residing at Twin Falls, Idaho.

31584





State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720 -(208) 327-7900

CECIL D. ANDRUS

GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON

DIRECTOR

November 3, 1989

RE: Transfer of Water Right No. 47-2383

The Amalgamated Sugar Co.
Box 127
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is a copy of your approved Application for Transfer of the above referenced water right (Transfer No. 3591).

Sincerely,

JIM JOHNSON
Water Rights Supervisor

JJ:sc

Enclosure

RECEIVED
NOV 13 1989

MEMORANDUM

TO: FILE 47-2383
FROM: JIM JOHNSON
RE: TRANSFER APPLICATION REVIEW
DATE: November 2, 1989

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

No special conditions of approval are required on this transfer application.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1989

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE OF WATER RIGHT

NO. 47-2383

Notice is hereby given that The Amalgamated Sugar Company of Twin Falls, ID has applied to the Department of Water Resources to change the following described water right(s) pursuant to Section 42-222 of the IDAHO CODE.

Water right No. 47-2383

Basis of right: License

Source: groundwater

Priority Date: 12/6/1963

Amount of water: 1.34 cfs

Water use: Industrial

Point(s) of diversion: NW1/4NW1/4NE1/4, S26, T10S, R17E; Twin Falls County.

Place of use: NE1/4NW1/4, S26, T10S, R17E.

TO BE CHANGED AS FOLLOWS

Water right No.: 47-2383

Amount: 1.34 cfs

Total amount being transferred: 1.34 cfs

Source: groundwater

Point of diversion: NW1/4NE1/4SW1/4, S26, T10S, R17E (Site 1); SW1/4SE1/4SW1/4, S26, T10S, R17E (Site 2); SW1/4NW1/4SE1/4, S26, T10S, R17E (Site 3); Twin Falls County.

Place of use: NE1/4NW1/4, S26, T10S, R17E.

Any protest against approval of the application for transfer must be filed with the Department of Water Resources, 2148 4th Ave East, Twin Falls, ID 83301 on or before September 25, 1989.

R. Keith Higginson, Director

Published in Times News on 9/7 & 9/14/89.

RECEIVED

NOV 13 1989

28-Aug-1989

Times News
P.O. Box 548
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Gentlemen:

Enclosed you will find a legal notice which we wish to have published once a week for two consecutive weekly issues of your newspaper. We have inserted the required publication dates. If time allows, please submit a galley proof for review before publication.

After sending us a galley proof, proceed with the publication on the dates we have inserted, assuming the notice is correct. If we have any corrections, we will notify you before the first publication. If you cannot publish the notice on the proposed dates, please contact us immediately.

In order to receive payment, an affidavit of publication must be submitted to the Department along with the publication bill.

Very truly yours,

Mary Baldwin
Secretary/Office Coordinator

Enclosure

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1989

28-Aug-1989

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
c/o Basil Tupy, P. E.
P.O. Box 700
Paul, ID 83347

Re: Application for Transfer No. 47-2383

Dear Mr. Tupy:

The Department of Water Resources acknowledges receipt of the Application for Transfer.

This office will be advertising the application in the Times News on 9/7 and 9/13/89. The advertisement will be published for two weeks, and a period of ten days following the second publication will be allowed for the submittal of protests.

If the application is protested, you will be sent a copy of the protest. The protest must be resolved before the application is approved or denied. If the protest is not resolved voluntarily, this Department will conduct a conference and/or hearing on the matter.

If the application is not protested, it will be forwarded to our State Office in about five weeks. State Office personnel will conduct a complete review prior to final processing of the application and will notify you of the outcome of this review.

Please feel free to contact this office if you have any questions regarding this procedure.

Very truly yours,

Gary Funderburg
Sr. Water Resource Agent

GF:MB

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1989



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

P.O. BOX 700
PAUL, IDAHO 83347

(208) 438-2115

9 August 1989

Idaho Department of Water Resources
2148 Fourth Ave. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Attached is an application to transfer water rights within Amalgamated Sugar Company.

Please process accordingly, as we hope to start drilling by 1 October 1989.

Sincerely,

Basil Tupy, P.E.
Corporate Environmental Engineer


MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1989

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: T-10-S R-17-E BOISE MERIDIAN.
ALL OF THE FOLLOWING $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{1}{4}$ SECTIONS IN SECTION 26:
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ OF NW $\frac{1}{4}$ — NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF NW $\frac{1}{4}$ — NW $\frac{1}{4}$ OF NE $\frac{1}{4}$ — SW $\frac{1}{4}$ OF
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ — SE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF NW $\frac{1}{4}$ AND SW $\frac{1}{4}$ OF NE $\frac{1}{4}$ — 240 ACRES.
PLUS THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PARCEL IN
SECTION 23: Point of beginning located 1298.2' South
along half Section line from N.E. Corner of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of
S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 23; Proceed thence West parallel with
South section line 400', thence N-31°31'E 685.0', thence
East 66.0', thence South Along $\frac{1}{2}$ Section Line to
Point of beginning — 3.1 ACRES MORE OR LESS.



31584

THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO.		ACCOUNT NO.	F-187
OGDEN UTAH			
FACTORY TWIN FALLS, IDAHO		PROPERTY PLAT 4	
SCALE 1"=200'		YARD LAYOUT	
DATE 5-13-63	DRAWN JG	WELL LOCATION	
REV.	REF		
		DRAWING NO. 5502	




NW $\frac{1}{4}$ - NW $\frac{1}{4}$

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ - NW $\frac{1}{4}$



NE $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$



ROCK CREEK

PUMP HOUSE

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ -NW $\frac{1}{4}$

26

COUNTY ROAD

110'

65'

WELL #2
SCALES

SEET FLUME

NW 1/4 - NE 1/4

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ - NE $\frac{1}{4}$

ROCK CREEK GORGE

Permit Map No. 9 31584

As Approved DEC 11 1963

CARL E. TAPPAN
State Reclamation Engineer

1963 annual report

The Amalgamated Sugar Company ■

Permit Map No. 31584
As Approved DEC 11 1963
CARL E. TAPPAN
State Reclamation Engineer

31584

New Weibull silo at Rupert, Idaho. This uniquely designed silo conditions the bulk sugar in a free-flowing state through automatic air and temperature control. Its storage capacity is 475,000 hundred-weight equivalent — sufficient to supply the state of Idaho with all its sugar needs for 15 months.

**a letter to
the shareholders**

4

The Company's sixty-fifth year resulted in record earnings, reflecting the largest production and sales of sugar and by-products in the Company's history. This production was the result of the 1962 crop of sugar beets which was the largest of record and also one of good quality. High sugar prices also contributed importantly to the record of earnings.

Net income for the year ended September 30, 1963, was \$5,660,805 or \$2.61 per share of common stock compared to net income of \$4,125,157 and \$1.87 per share for the preceding year. After dividend payments of 50 cents per share of preferred stock and 90 cents per share of common stock, totaling \$2,112,556, the Company added \$3,548,249 to its retained earnings. Net working capital increased \$2,715,671 to a total of \$20,492,204.

PRODUCTION

In 1962 farmers were permitted for the second consecutive year, to plant sugar beets without restrictions of government acreage controls. This, coupled with the continuing desire of farmers in the areas of Company operations to grow beets as their principal crop, resulted in the planting of slightly more than the record acreage of the previous year. Although a somewhat late spring delayed plantings, the growing season was generally favorable. Water supplies were adequate and temperatures throughout the season were favorable to crop development. As a result, a record total of 2,610,548 tons was harvested with an average yield of 21.3 tons per acre as compared to the yield of 20.9 tons the year before.

The sugar content of the 1962 crop represented a substantial improvement over the low content of the previous year in all districts except the Nyssa-Nampa area. In fact the Twin Falls and Rupert contents were the highest since curly top resistant beet seed varieties came into use in the early thirties. The content of the Nyssa-Nampa District was somewhat lower than the previous year, due in large part to heavy rains early in the harvest. Processing qualities of the crop at all factories improved and the difficulties of extraction experienced in the prior year did not recur. The high sugar content

and good processing quality, together with the large beet tonnage, resulted in total production for the Company of 7,086,503 cwt. of sugar. This is a new high for the Company and an eleven per cent increase over the previous record of a year ago. In attaining this output several factory performance records were established, including the highest daily average of both tons of beets sliced and volume of sugar produced.

Production of dried molasses beet pulp increased approximately 38 per cent. This not only reflects the increase in beet tonnage processed at factories previously having dryers, but also the increase due to the addition of a new dryer at Twin Falls in 1962. The total tonnage of dried molasses pulp produced in 1962 established the Company as the largest producer in the industry of this important and highly valuable livestock feed.

SALES

The volume of sugar sold by the Company during the year was approximately eleven per cent greater than the previous year reflecting, of course, the increased production from the 1962 crop. Inventory on hand at the end of the year was at a working minimum and compared closely with that of the previous year. The net realized from the sale of sugar was sixty-seven cents per hundred pounds higher than the year before. This improvement reflects the high sugar prices prevailing during the year which are more fully described in a separate part of this report. It should be observed at this point, however, that under the terms of the beet contract growers participate in the proceeds realized from the sale of sugar produced from the beet crop. Thus, much of the improvement in sugar prices during the year was passed on to growers as an increased beet payment. It should also be observed that this participating type of beet contract between processor and farmers has been employed throughout the U. S. beet sugar industry since the early twenties.

The entire volume of the increased dried molasses pulp production from the 1962 crop was sold during the year at prices which remained firm. This source of in-

31589

come contributed importantly to Company earnings. The large part of total dried molasses pulp production is sold and fed in the areas of Company operations. However, a significant portion is also distributed in the southwest where cattle feeding and dairying create a strong demand for this highly nutritious by-product.

With the construction of the Twin Falls pulp dryer, the Lewiston and Preston factories were the only remaining producers of wet pulp. Consequently, the small contribution to Company earnings from the sale of such pulp was even less important than in prior years. Prices for this relatively low value feedstuff remained at the same price levels of the previous year.

Molasses sales volume for the year declined by 8 per cent under that of the year before. This decline was largely the result of the increase in production of dried pulp with its accompanying increase in total usage of molasses. After deducting dryer requirements, all of the 1962 crop molasses production was marketed within the Company's operating areas. Prices during the year remained at levels comparable to the previous year, reflecting similar steady price patterns of competing feedstuffs. However, total income from this source was reduced somewhat because of the smaller sales volume.

IMPROVEMENTS

Capital expenditures totaling \$3,069,552 were made during the fiscal year. The Company claimed an investment tax credit of \$203,937 which reduced the net cost of additions to \$2,865,615. The large part of total expenditures went into two major projects, a bulk sugar silo and related equipment at Rupert, and a pulp dryer and warehouse at Lewiston. The silo installation represents the first such facility at Rupert and makes that factory the fourth of the Company's five operating factories to be so equipped. The dryer at Lewiston completes the program of providing such equipment at all factories, and also eliminates much of the waste material previously discharged into the Bear River.

A substantial portion of total expenditures was spent for expanded and additional beet receiving

facilities. Such expenditures were made to provide for additional beet production from the 1963 crop as well as to adjust to the conditions of beet handling created by the cessation of factory operations at Preston.

The 1963 improvement program also included the installation of certain types of automatic processing machinery at important factory stations which will reduce costs significantly at those stations as well as improve processing performance.

All expenditures are calculated to yield satisfactory returns. In addition such expenditures enable the Company to keep its properties and facilities abreast with current technological developments within the industry.

OUTLOOK

1963 marked another year in which farmers were again free to plant beets without limit and in which demand for such acreage continued to be high. Consequently the Company contracted for acreage totaling approximately eight per cent larger than the year before. Although the beet acreage increased in all districts, the greatest increase took place in the Twin Falls and Rupert districts. This was largely the result of continuing reclamation and development in those areas of new farm lands and the needs of those lands for such a crop as sugar beets.

In the winter months prior to the planting season it appeared that water supplies for 1963 crop needs might not be adequate to insure maximum yields. However, heavy rains in all districts and in the important watersheds serving Company districts commenced in early April and continued into the middle of June. This served to quiet previous fears and enable farmers to carry through with their intentions of giving emphasis and priority to beets as their principal crop. Although plantings were delayed somewhat by the spring rains, subsequent growing conditions were conducive to favorable crop development in all districts with the exception of Nampa. In the latter area a mid-April freeze necessitated considerable replanting. Nevertheless, as harvest time neared it appeared that a better than average crop was in prospect in all districts. In September, instead of night time

31584

temperatures dropping down into the thirties as is customary, minimum temperatures continued to stay high as did maximum daily temperatures. This condition continued to prevail well into October in all areas. The effect of the unusually warm period, which was common throughout the west, was to prevent the maturity of the beet crop and thereby to keep sugar content low. At the same time, the crop continued to grow and add weight. In consequence, it appears that the 1963 crop which the Company is processing will have the lowest sugar content of record, but will be much the highest tonnage ever processed. It also seems likely that total sugar production from the 1963 crop will be the largest of record for the Company. However, additional costs of processing resulting from the low sugar content of the current crop will somewhat offset the advantages of the large volume of production.

Factory operations of the present campaign have been satisfactory. The low sugar content of the crop has resulted in a lighter load on "sugar end" facilities of the factories and has enabled the "beet end" to operate at maximum capacity. In view of the lengthy processing period in prospect for all factories as a result of the high tonnage of beets produced, the increased slicing capacity in the beet end is highly desirable.

After processing the 1962 crop, it was deemed necessary to discontinue operations of the Preston factory. Increased costs of operation at that factory and the future necessity of spending large sums to treat waste materials in compliance with increasingly stringent regulations pertaining to the discharge of such materials into public streams compelled this decision. The fiscal year under review has absorbed the entire loss resulting from the abandonment and disposition of the undepreciated factory assets. The loss amounted to \$345,474 after tax.

The background of the current sugar market is discussed in another part of this report. It should be stated here, however, that competitive conditions in the principal markets of the beet industry during late summer resulted in forward commitments by virtually all sellers. These commitments, extending into


early 1964, although at strong price levels, do preclude advances to higher levels prevailing at this time in certain other markets. Beyond the limits of these commitments, it appears that the market should continue to be strong at least through the summer of 1964. Nevertheless it is conceivable that administrative action by the U. S. Department of Agriculture or changes in the law itself in early 1964 could influence the market materially.

The Sugar Act of 1962 extends through 1966 with the exception of the matter of marketing quotas for foreign producers. The latter portion of the law expires at the end of 1964. Therefore, sugar legislation will be the subject of congressional business next year. It is quite possible that, because of the abnormal conditions which have arisen since the enactment of the law in 1962 and which are described later in this report, some aspects of the law other than the matter of foreign quotas will come under scrutiny of the Congress. This may result in changes of parts of the law in addition to the matter of foreign quotas and in changes which will be of significant importance to the sugar industry.

We wish to express our appreciation to all employees for their important contribution to the Company's success as well as to our customers and shareholders for their continued support during the year.



Chairman of the Board



President and General Manager

At right are scenes depicting a new irrigation development in the Dry Lake region south of Nampa, Idaho. Water is pumped through 36-inch mains from the Snake River 400 feet below. Top, far right, shows a 6-foot wheel that moves one type of overhead sprinkler system between irrigation "sets." Other systems utilize irrigation canals. Below, a view of the powerful pumps situated on the bank of the Snake River. Similar developments promise to increase, within the next decade, total irrigated acreage by as much as 20% in the Nyssa-Nampa District.



31584

The year 1963 has witnessed a rise and fall and again another rise in sugar prices in the United States that is without parallel in any of the previous forty-three years. Similar price behavior has occurred frequently during that period in the "world market." But for such to take place in the U. S. market is to mark the end of a record which in terms of price stability has been unmatched by any other major food commodity.

At the beginning of the year the price of raw cane sugar at New York was \$6.62 per hundred-weight. During the next two and a half months the price gradually increased until it had reached \$6.90. However, in the following two months it picked up speed as well as strength and by May 23rd it had skyrocketed to \$13.20. At that point it leveled out and two days later began to descend. The decline, like the rise, was also precipitous, although somewhat less abrupt. Nevertheless by mid-August raws had declined to a price of \$6.60. Thus in a period of a little more than seven months raw sugar had doubled in price and subsequently lost the entire gain plus a few cents more. But by early September it started climbing again, and by mid-November had gone well above \$9.00

Raw cane sugar is largely imported from off-shore areas and serves as the raw material from which refined cane sugar is produced. Such refined sugar accounts for approximately seventy-five per cent of the nation's total sugar requirements, the balance being supplied by the domestic beet sugar industry. Refined cane prices also rose and fell dramatically during the past year reflecting, of course, the changing costs of raw sugar supplies to refiners. On the first of the year the wholesale price of refined cane sugar in New York was \$9.60 per hundred-weight. But by late May it had climbed to \$16.30. And subsequently, as raw prices declined so did the refined price, although not as sharply. By September 1st the New York price had retreated to \$10.80. However with the subsequent renewal of raw price advances, this price once again began climbing upward, reaching a level of \$13.45 by the middle of November.

Although this highly unusual price activity occurred in 1963, the factors which actually ignited the rises are to be found in developments of prior years. Chart II shows that for each of the five crop years from 1956-57 through 1960-61 world sugar production was in excess of world consumption. But beginning with the 1961-62 crop and carrying over into the 1962-63 crop the output of those crops fell significantly below consumption levels. The decline in production of each of these two crops is more than accounted for by substantial reductions in output in two important sugar producing areas of the world. In Europe where normally more than one-third of the world's sugar is grown, adverse weather conditions occurred in 1961 and 1962 and resulted in reduced yields. Consequently total sugar production was off by two million tons for each of these crops under that of the 1960-61 crop. In the other area, Cuba, Fidel Castro's rise to power appears to coincide with a drastically declining production record and is believed by many to be the cause of such decline. The break in relations between the United States and Cuba and the subsequent inability of that island to secure machinery, repair parts, fertilizer, and important managerial assistance previously supplied from the United States and internal problems with the native work force, all combined to reduce the 1961-62 crop output by two million tons of sugar and the 1962-63 crop by more than three million tons under that of the 1960-61 crop.

Coupled with the reduced world production in these years has been a rapidly increasing consumption which, however, actually began developing some years earlier. This rising consumption reflects not only the world population increase and its needs, but an increasing world per capita usage. As economic conditions have been improving in recent years in the so-called backward countries, the peoples of those countries have been increasing their sugar consumption. The annual per capita consumption in the world has risen from 27.6 pounds in 1952 to 36.1 pounds in 1962. When one considers that in many of the more prosperous countries such as the United States the average person

consumes 100 pounds of sugar every year, the underlying strength of the increasing consumption in those lower consuming countries is readily apparent.

Although the first short world crop developed with the 1961-62 production, the accumulated surpluses of previous years served to hold "world market" raw prices in check well into 1962. However, with the development of a second consecutive short crop in the fall of 1962 and with reserve supplies having been drawn down as a result of the short 1961-62 world crop, "world" raw prices began to push upward late in the year. At first the rate of advance was relatively moderate, but it gradually gained momentum and by April in 1963 it was leaping forward at a spectacular rate. The price behavior at this time was much the same as in 1950-51 when the Korean outbreak stampeded consumers into excessive buying out of fear of an impending world war and a resulting sugar shortage, and as in 1957 when the Hungarian and Suez incidents created a similar wave of sugar buying. On all three occasions the upward price movement seemed to feed on its own momentum and resulted in panic buying of hoarding proportions. But such has always been the pattern of sugar prices when supplies become tight or international tensions threaten war.

The term "world market" is a misnomer. It is an international market in which sugars are traded, to be sure, but it does not, as its name suggests, encompass a large part of the total world production. Most of the world's sugars, seldom less than ninety per cent, are either produced for marketing in those same countries of production or are produced and marketed under special trading agreements between countries short on production and producing countries long on supplies. It is the residual sugar production of the world, at the most 10% to 12% of all sugars, which is usually surplus production and which is without a regular market, that makes up the "world market" supplies. And because these sugars represent such a relatively small amount of the total world sugar production any significant change in total production from one year

to the next causes even greater and more violent reactions in the "world market."

Both in 1950-51 and in 1957 when the "world market" erupted, U. S. prices of raw and refined sugar remained relatively stable. Under the Sugar Act then in effect, Cuba was our principal supplier of foreign raw sugar, with a quota in our market of approximately one-third of our total requirements and with an ability, because of her tremendous production in those years, and also a willingness, to supply us with more than twice that quantity, had our needs so required. While she could have diverted sugar to the "world market" in either of these periods and obtained a higher price than from her sales in the U.S., she fully recognized that over the years the U. S. market was more attractive than the "world market." Thus, during these two world crises Cuba continued to sell to the United States all the sugar our country demanded and at the previous moderate price levels. This not only protected her quota in our market, but it served to stabilize the prices of all sugar in the United States at a time when "world" prices were considerably higher.

In 1962 and 1963, however, when "world" raw prices skyrocketed, diplomatic relations between the U. S. and Cuba had been broken and she was no longer permitted to ship sugar to this market. Instead under the new Sugar Act passed in mid-1962, half of Cuba's previous quota has been reserved for "world market" suppliers on a first come, first served basis as a "global quota" and the other half assigned to a multitude of selected foreign producing countries as "country quotas." The new law further stipulates, as a departure from previous sugar laws, that when the "world" raw price is lower than the U. S. price, a recapture fee in the amount of such difference shall be levied on all "global quota" imports, with the exception of those from the Philippines. Thus, such fees afford needed protection to domestic producers against low cost foreign sugars when "world prices" are dumping prices, just as in prior years Cuba's unique position in our market provided such protection. At any rate, when in late 1962

New pulp dryer and warehouse at Lewiston factory, marking completion of Company's program to equip all factories with facilities for drying of pulp.



31584

"world prices," advancing in response to tightening supplies, finally overtook the previous steady U. S. price, we were required, in our purchases of "global quota" sugar, to follow the upward movement of "world market" prices. This in turn served to set the pattern for prices of "country quota" sugars. Consequently, as Chart I indicates, by early spring of 1963, the U. S. raw price was no longer following a level and stable course but for the first time in over forty years was taking off with "world prices."

Although it was the forces of short supplies and increasing demand that basically and initially were responsible for the rising prices another factor, feeding on such forces, materially aided at least the first rise, and the subsequent fall of prices. That was the factor of speculation. In a report of the U. S. Department of Agriculture inquiring into the first of the 1963 sugar price spirals, it was pointed out that the volume of trading in sugar futures on the New York Coffee and Sugar Exchange during the 1963 March-May period had ranged from six to seven times higher than in the same period of the previous year. The report also stated that the trading was largely speculation and involved a great many people not in the sugar trade.


In late April and early May with prices in the U.S. market bounding upward daily and with no apparent limit in sight, the U. S. Department of Agriculture — belatedly in the opinion of many — stepped into the picture. It took several actions, all of which were for the purpose of driving sugar prices downward, but the most important of which was the action it took in recognition of the fact that it was buyers' fears of an impending sugar shortage that was causing excessive buying and consequently pushing prices beyond reasonable levels. To combat this the Department sought out and secured commitments of future sugar shipments from foreign suppliers for the balance of 1963. Such commitments were made only as to quantities and not to price. However, the quantities so committed when added to all other available supplies totaled about a half million tons more than would be required by U. S. consumers for the remainder of the year. It can be assumed

that those foreign suppliers making these assurances to the Department did so only because it was their judgment that either the run on sugar was about over or that in making such commitments to the U. S. such supplying areas were hopeful of creating a favored position in future U. S. sugar law. At any rate, with the assurance of adequate supplies for the balance of the year, buyers' previous fears of an actual shortage were allayed. This not only brought a halt to excessive buying but actually resulted in less than normal sugar purchases as consumption of earlier accumulated reserves began to take place. Speculators, sensing this turn of events, began to backtrack and started unloading their costly contracts at reduced prices or, when not burdened with such investments, to sell short on lowering prices. Thus, in total, these forces served to start U. S. prices tumbling as spectacularly as when they were climbing.

Unlike the situation earlier in the year when "world" raw prices led the advance with the U. S. raw market following, it was the U. S. market which spearheaded the decline. No doubt the U.S. withdrawal from the "world market," after securing commitments for the balance of the year's needs, contributed significantly to the softening "world" price. But it also appears that during the summer months of 1963 when "world" prices were declining, fears of an immediately impending shortage of sugar in the world were being fairly well dissipated and the prospects of an adequate production from the forthcoming 1963-64 crop were increasing. In recent weeks, however, such prospects have begun to fade. Reports from Europe now indicate that the 1963-64 crop will show little improvement over the two previous crops. And Cuba, suffering from the devastation of Hurricane Flora, is not expected to attain even last year's disappointing production total. Consequently prices once more have been showing new strength in both the "world" and U. S. markets. And once again, it is the "world market" which is leading the advance.

Thus it is apparent that, against the background of tightening world supplies and increasing demand,

Opposite page: One of 10 new automatic filters installed at the Twin Falls factory. One man per shift replaces the five men formerly required with the old-type filters.



there existed in the United States market in 1963 two conditions that were unlike conditions prevailing in prior years and which importantly influenced the 1963 U. S. market. This country no longer had as its principal supplier of foreign sugar a friendly Cuba with unlimited reserves of sugar available to the U. S. at reasonable prices in times of emergency. And secondly, the United States was now buying substantial quantities of sugar in the "world market" under the "global quota." These two conditions have served to make the U. S. market more directly responsive to the "world market" behavior when prices in that market exceed U. S. prices. This, in turn, has resulted in a degree of price instability that has long been absent from this country's sugar market. Up to this time it appears doubtful that the present sugar law, as administered, can cope adequately with the unreasonably soaring prices, which existing conditions have created. If conditions causing such prices are to continue or to recur, the avoidance of extreme fluctuations strongly suggest the need of new administrative actions or amendments to the law itself.

One fact is certain, however, and that is that such price behavior as took place in the U. S. market in 1963 is not in the best interest of either the consumer or the producer. Two-thirds of the sugar consumed in the United States is purchased by large industrial users such as the baking, the canning, the bottling and the candy making industries. Violent price changes of such an important commodity as sugar to these consumers cannot be conducive to their economic well being. And such price changes can only threaten the long run interests of the producers. Although the U. S. sugar system operated in 1963 to keep prices below world price levels for a large part of the year, the behavior of 1963 sugar prices tends to bring discredit to the U. S. sugar system without which the domestic industry cannot survive. And the domestic producing industry, consisting of the continental beet industry and the cane industries of the mainland cane areas, Hawaii and Puerto Rico, supplying sixty per cent of the country's needs, is particularly vital to the national interest.

That there is a rightful place for the domestic beet sugar industry is well attested by the record of the refined beet sugar prices in 1963. Reference to Chart I indicates very clearly that, in comparison to refined cane prices in New York, reflecting high, unadjustable costs of foreign raw sugars, the Chicago beet sugar prices advanced from the first of the year to the peak in late May only seventy per cent of the advance of the cane price.

However, more importantly, the value of the domestic producing industry to this country's well being is most apparent when one considers how high prices might have climbed in the U. S. market in 1963 had there been no domestic industry. The domestic industry, producing roughly six million tons of sugar, represents approximately twelve per cent of the world's total production, or sixty per cent of the nation's needs. This quantity of sugar is appreciably more than the world shortage of sugar in each of the years 1961 and 1962, which set "world" sugar prices soaring.


In a recent address by the Administrator of the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, the proper position of the domestic industry in our national economy was well stated:

"As recently as early 1962, the world sugar industry was suffering from a price level that threatened its very existence. Certainly during the period of disastrously low sugar prices, the domestic sugar producing industry could not have survived without the protection of sugar legislation. Survive it did, and if it had not, the sugar supply situation for the U. S. consumers would indeed be dangerously impaired in the face of tight world supplies.


"Consumers and their spokesmen who at times have fretted over domestic sugar price levels should be eternally grateful for the Sugar Act. This Act has kept the domestic sugar industry strong and stable over the years."

chart I


1963 prices



1962 prices



1961 prices



OF 1963
N. Y. REFINED CANE (Wholesale)

— N. Y. RAW*

OF 1963
CHICAGO REFINED BEET
(Basis Price - Wholesale)

.....
"WORLD" RAW

*This price does not include duty or freight on raws.


31584

chart II

world sugar production vs consumption

(Thousands of Short Tons
Raw Value)

■ PRODUCTION
▨ CONSUMPTION





13



Eight new automatic centrifugals at the Nyssa factory are operated by one man per shift, replacing 14 older type centrifugals that required four men per shift.

31584



315 84

auditor's statement

November 9, 1963

We have examined the balance sheet of the Amalgamated Sugar Company at September 30, 1963, and the related statement of income and retained earnings for the fiscal year then ended. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We previously made a similar examination for the year ended September 30, 1962.

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheet and statement of income and retained earnings present fairly the financial position of the company at September 30, 1963, and September 30, 1962, and the results of its operations for the fiscal years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

Yours very truly,

LYBRAND, ROSS BROS. & MONTGOMERY

10 year comparisons at a glance Ending Sept. 30

	1963	1962	1961	1960	1959	1958	1957	1956	1955	1954
GENERAL STATISTICS										
Refined sugar produced (cwt.).....	7,086,503	6,403,802	5,732,142	5,907,789	6,004,590	5,467,989	4,927,225	4,176,567	5,072,366	4,649,708
Actual daily slicing capacity of factories (tons).....	21,201	20,971	19,313	17,569	17,099	16,153	15,749	15,203	15,076	14,425
Number of stockholders.....	3,662	3,541	3,400	3,261	3,264	3,216	3,245	3,175	3,127	3,159
INCOME										
Sales and other income.....	\$80,826,990	\$67,806,542	\$61,716,142	\$61,969,585	\$60,849,175	\$57,067,100	\$52,681,966	\$45,926,606	\$46,847,558	\$46,948,914
Provisions for income taxes.....	6,512,900	4,527,000	4,059,000	4,620,000	4,917,000	3,892,900	3,835,700	2,971,000	3,485,000	3,025,000
Net income after income taxes.....	5,660,805	4,125,157	3,561,400	3,988,703	3,967,248	3,408,813	3,267,496	2,431,572	3,005,200	2,669,850
Dividends declared.....	2,112,556	2,008,974	2,078,029	2,250,666	1,801,847	1,631,400	1,480,067	1,483,461	1,483,461	1,483,461
Annual earnings retained in business.....	3,548,249	2,116,183	1,483,371	1,738,037	2,165,401	1,777,413	1,787,429	948,111	1,521,739	1,186,389
FINANCIAL CONDITION										
Current assets.....	\$33,042,104	\$27,723,113	\$25,674,188	\$25,659,376	\$27,226,840	\$24,624,873	\$24,971,233	\$23,998,481	\$23,757,212	\$21,911,357
Current liabilities.....	12,549,900	9,946,580	8,967,488	8,328,957	9,262,643	7,418,438	8,286,435	7,498,133	7,510,714	6,783,154
Net working capital.....	20,492,204	17,776,533	16,706,700	17,330,419	17,964,197	17,206,435	16,684,798	16,500,348	16,246,498	15,128,203
Additions and improvements.....	3,069,552	2,292,231	3,060,796	1,819,734	1,692,852	1,462,689	1,687,054	1,149,410	819,400	1,271,728
Depreciation charges.....	1,498,272	1,417,378	1,220,181	1,041,823	885,648	819,657	739,792	805,769	809,534	792,320
Net fixed assets.....	20,818,178	20,218,589	19,456,661	17,625,900	15,575,279	14,510,947	13,908,490	12,378,726	12,044,064	12,085,552
PER SHARE STATISTICS*										
Earnings per common share.....	\$ 2.61	\$ 1.87	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.16
Dividends per common share.....	.90	.85	.88	.97	.75	.67	.58	.58	.58	.58
Stockholders' equity per common share.....	17.47	15.76	14.74	14.02	13.18	12.14	11.28	10.20	9.74	9.01

*Adjusted to present basis of 2,071,647 shares after three-for-one stock split on February 10, 1961. Prior to split 690,549 shares were outstanding during the entire period under review.

315 84

balance sheetat September 30, 1963,
and September 30, 1962

16

assets

	Year Ended September 30,	
	1963	1962
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash.....	\$ 1,960,816	\$ 5,284,651
Commercial paper and other short-term investments — at cost, plus accrued interest.....	7,247,825	3,506,076
Accounts receivable.....	15,440,775	10,037,132
Inventories — at lower of cost or market:		
Refined sugar.....	1,280,336	1,263,129
Supplies and by-products inventories....	4,713,769	4,835,140
Advance processing and refining costs.....	2,184,247	2,534,653
Prepaid expenses and advances.....	214,336	262,332
Total current assets.....	<u>\$33,042,104</u>	<u>\$27,723,113</u>
INVESTMENTS AND OTHER ASSETS.....		
	<u>\$ 445,007</u>	<u>\$ 512,018</u>
PROPERTIES:		
Land, plants, machinery, and equipment — at cost.....	\$36,768,474	\$34,901,598
Less: Accumulated depreciation.....	15,950,296	14,683,009
	<u>\$20,818,178</u>	<u>\$20,218,589</u>
	<u>\$54,305,289</u>	<u>\$48,453,720</u>

31584

liabilities

	Year Ended September 30,	
	1963	1962
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accruals, including property and excise taxes.....	\$ 7,770,809	\$ 6,725,291
Current installment of long-term note.....	300,000	300,000
Federal and state income taxes.....	4,479,091	2,921,289
Total current liabilities.....	<u>\$12,549,900</u>	<u>\$ 9,946,580</u>
LONG-TERM NOTES PAYABLE:		
Three and five-eighths per cent notes, payable in annual installments of \$300,000.....	\$ 600,000	\$ 900,000
OWNERS' INVESTMENT:		
Preferred five per cent cumulative capital stock, par value \$10.00: Authorized 500,000 shares; Issued 496,148 shares.....	\$ 4,961,480	\$ 4,961,480
Common capital stock having no par value: Authorized 2,500,000 shares; Issued 2,071,647 shares.....	10,358,235	10,358,235
Retained earnings (distributions restricted under the provisions of the long-term note agreement which expires on or before May 1, 1966).....	<u>25,835,674</u>	<u>22,287,425</u>
	<u>\$41,155,389</u>	<u>\$37,607,140</u>
	<u>\$54,305,289</u>	<u>\$48,453,720</u>

**statement
of income
and
retained
earnings**

for the Fiscal Years
Ended September 30, 1963,
and September 30, 1962

	Year Ended September 30,	
	1963	1962
Sales.....	\$80,597,385	\$67,531,348
Income from miscellaneous sources.....	229,605	275,194
	\$80,826,990	\$67,806,542
Cost of sales and expenses (including depreciation provision of \$1,498,272 and \$1,417,378 respectively):		
Cost of sales and federal excise taxes.....	66,449,904	57,975,374
Administrative and general office expense.....	629,098	521,515
Miscellaneous expenses and losses.....	831,329	657,496
Extraordinary loss — abandonment and disposition of plant.....	742,954	—
	\$68,653,285	\$59,154,385
Income before provision for income taxes.....	\$12,173,705	\$ 8,652,157
Provision for federal and state income taxes.....	6,512,900	4,527,000
Net income carried to retained earnings.....	5,660,805	4,125,157
Retained earnings:		
Balance at beginning of year.....	22,287,425	20,171,242
	27,948,230	24,296,399
Less: Dividends.....	2,112,556	2,008,974
Balance at end of year.....	\$25,835,674	\$22,287,425

18

**The Amalgamated
Sugar Company**

First Security Bank Building
Ogden, Utah

Board of Directors

Marriner S. Eccles
A. E. Benning
M. A. Browning
R. H. Burton
R. H. Cottrell
Spencer S. Eccles
Paul H. Ray
Charles Redd
J. F. Scowcroft

Officers

Marriner S. Eccles
Chairman of the Board
A. E. Benning
President and General Manager
R. H. Cottrell
Vice President
S. Burnall Brown
*Vice President and
General Superintendent*
Harry A. Elcock
*Vice President and
General Agriculturist*

R. G. Whitaker

*Vice President and
General Sales Manager*
Keith M. Orme
Secretary and Treasurer

Transfer Agents:

Preferred Stock
*First Security Bank of Utah, N.A.
Ogden, Utah.*
Common Stock
*First Security Bank of Utah, N.A.
Ogden, Utah*
Irving Trust Company
One Wall Street, New York, N. Y.

Registrars:

Preferred Stock
*First Security Bank of Utah, N.A.
Ogden, Utah*
Common Stock
Commercial Security Bank
Ogden, Utah
Bankers Trust Company
16 Wall Street, New York, N. Y.

31589

STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER ADMINISTRATION

Statehouse - Annex 2

Boise, Idaho 83707

(208) 384-2215



R. Keith Higginson
Director

Water Rights Administration
Water Resource Investigations
Dam and Reservoir Safety
Water Well Drilling
Flood Plain Management
Irrigation and
Flood Control Districts

August 21, 1970

Re: Permit Nos. G-31584/47-2383 and 47-7093

Mr. Bob West
Amalgamated Sugar Co.
P.O. Box 1520
Ogden, Utah 84401

Dear Mr. West:

We have reviewed the problem discussed on August 4, 1970. You have stated that you are only using water diverted under permit no. G-31584/47-2383. As you may be aware, this permit is licensed, and we have confirmed evidence of the water right. Apparently, the confusion arose when the Department received two applications from your company on April 15, 1970. However, only one application fee was received. As each application requires its own \$25.00 filing fee, we processed one of the applications and held the other one, requesting an additional \$25.00. On the 20th of July, 1970, we returned the second application inasmuch as we had not received a filing fee.

In reviewing the applications we have, no. 47-7093, which has been approved, and your original permit no. G-31584/47-2383, it appears as though your project is adequately covered at the present. If you require the additional water that must be diverted out of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 26, which was licensed, you may do so under your new application. Therefore, you apparently do not need the third filing. We would assume that it would not be necessary for you to submit the additional \$25.00 and another application.

If we can assist you further, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

Dorian Clay,
Appropriation Examiner

DC/DG

47-2383
31584

July 13, 1970

Re: Permit No. 47-2383 (G-31584)

C

O

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520
Ogden, Utah 84401

Gentlemen:

P

Enclosed herewith is your Water License issued on the above numbered permit.

Very truly yours,

Y

C. Stephen Allred
Deputy Director

CSA:kh

Enclosure

47-2383
31584

June 30, 1970

Re: Permit No. 47-2383 (G-31584)

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520
Ogden, Utah 84401

Gentlemen:

A representative from this department has made an examination of your works covered by Permit 47-2383. The works were found capable of producing 1.34 c.f.s. of which 1.34 c.f.s. can be recognized as beneficially used and licensed under Idaho law. The water is used for industrial use within the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 26, Twp. 10 S., Rge. 17 E., B.M.

If you disagree with the findings, please advise us within 10 days; otherwise, a license will be issued showing the findings as set forth in this letter.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Supervisor

DWM:kh

47-2383
31584

11 E

□ ○

76

Priority - December 6, 1963

Q = ~~1.34~~ ~~1.34~~ 1.34 AKD 6-2-70

Industrial use

~~503~~

VE - 644 AC. 7/11

503 AF/yr AKD 6-2-70

Permit No. G-31584 **47** 47-2383

Name of Applicant The Amalgamated Sugar Company, Box 1520, Ogden, Utah 84401

Source of Water Sub. /Contact Henry Jenkins, Plant Manager; Jack Stewart,
tributary of Plant Supt.; or Stanley Brown, Master Mechanic, Twin Falls,
 Location of P.D. NW¹/₄NE¹/₄, Sec. 26, T. 10 S, R. 17 E, B.M., Idaho, 83301
Twin Falls County **733-4101**

Water is used for Industrial Use

Quantity of water claimed: Permit 1.7 c.f.s. Depositions 1.7 c.f.s.

Type of measuring device installed or method of measurement and general description
 of diversion works 700'x24" O.D., 75 hp. motor, pump 750 g.p.m. at 325'
"V" notch weir - measured by Stanley Brown, Plant Master Mechanic

600 gpm 24 Day Oct - 1968
DAVID J. JANTON, INTERMITTENT LESS THAN 1240.
Process Sugar

Land to be irrigated or place of use:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	Description
10S	17E	26	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄

Filed - December 6, 1963
 Due - December 11, 1968
 Made - October 15, 1968
 Priority - December 6, 1963

Total acreage

Other rights and acreage covered: (Indicate amounts, source, licensed or decreed, and
 acreage covered by subdivision; also show land covered on the map.)

Source of supply Subterranean
a tributary of _____

Location of P.D.(s) NW 1/4 NE 1/4 Sec 26 T10S R17E

Water is used for Processing of Sugar

Quantity of water found _____

How was the measurement made? Based on the plant's master mechanic

Measurement calculations Q = 600 gpm with use of V measurements
Notch weir.

Diversion works description and diagram

75 H.P. Hollow Shaft
Electric motor #3541968
Deep Well V.T.P
#203909 - 8" Discharge
1200' TD, Processing

Indicate area irrigated in each subdivision on the map.

Total acreage irrigated _____

If water is used for purposes other than irrigation, fully describe how the water is used and what is done with it after the use.

The water is used in the processing of
Sugar beets. The waste goes into Rock Creek

Who represented the permit holder at the examination?

~~Harry Whitman~~ & Stanley Brown, master mechanic

Recommended allowance for licensing _____

Description of required amendments. Comments. _____

130,469,000
33,000,000
163,469,000 mg/annum
 3.02×10^{-2}
503 AF

$\frac{600 \text{ gpm}}{448} = 1.34 \text{ cfs}$

This permit should be licensed only for this amount.
Company has been informed that they must file
a permit for additional use which was developed after
due date of permit. A.K. Dunn 2-13-70

Report checked? _____

Examination date _____

Signature Dec 1970

plot

47-2383
31584

SEF-203-18

SUGAR
FACTORY



34
262

A
3.7

F
3.0

F
1.2

D-271

D-272

H
5.7

I
12.4

D-273

E
25.6

E
8.9

D
9.1

C
5.2

B
10.4

A
5.0

B
5.1

C
7.1

D-274

F
8.4

9.0

13.8

G
4.3

D-275

D-336

D-334

2323
1584

DEPARTMENT OF RECLAMATION

STAFF ANALYSIS

Application No. 47-2383 Name Amalgamated Sugar Co.

Source Groundwater

Point of Diversion _____

Quantity _____ Use _____ Period _____

Quantity _____ Use _____ Period _____

Quantity _____ Use _____ Period _____

Well Size _____ Diameter _____ Proposed Depth _____ Feet

Use _____

Date Forwarded _____ Date Returned _____ Received by _____

RECOMMENDATIONS: License for Oct 1 thru March 1 use of 130,464,000 gallons
plus ³⁶ 23,000,000 gal for use ~~from~~ during
(A.F. total) remainder of year. Company has been informed
that they must apply for permit to use water
during six week summer periods. ~~Condition 1~~
License should be conditioned upon compliance with Dept. of
Health criteria for treatment of effluent.

Qty. _____ Use _____ Period _____ AF _____ AFA _____

Qty. _____ Use _____ Period _____ AF _____ AFA _____

Qty. _____ Use _____ Period _____ AF _____ AFA _____

ACTION: Approved _____ Denied _____

Conditions of approval or reason for denial: _____

Engineering By Art Dunn Title Asst State Engr.

Date 2-13-70

47-2383
31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

February 12, 1970

Department of Reclamation

Mr. Dorian T. Clay
Field Examiner
Department of Reclamation
State of Idaho
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83702

Dear Mr. Clay:

Reference our recent telephone conversation and permit No. G-31584 for a well on our Twin Falls factory property.

At the time of my last letter, I was unaware that Mr. Jack Stewart, our Twin Falls factory superintendent, used this well on day shift during the course of the summer other than during the beet run and juice run. This usage further increases the flow on this well as shown below:

$$(600 \text{ GPM}) (60 \frac{\text{Min.}}{\text{Hr.}}) (40 \frac{\text{Hr.}}{\text{Week}}) (25 \text{ weeks}) = 36,000,000 \text{ gallons.}$$

Therefore, our total water usage for one year is summarized as follows:

Beet Run	=	130,464,000 gallons
Juice Run	=	36,288,000 gallons
Intercampaign		
Period	=	36,000,000 gallons
Total	=	202,752,000 gallons per year.

If you have any further questions, please contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Robert D. West
ROBERT D. WEST
Chemical Engineer

cc S. M. Heiner - General Office
Jack Stewart - Twin Falls Factory

47-2383
31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

January 29, 1970

Department of Reclamation

Mr. Dorian T. Clay
Field Examiner
Department of Reclamation
State of Idaho
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83702

Dear Mr. Clay:

Reference your letter dated January 26, 1970, and my letter of January 21, 1970, relative to our permit No. G-31584 for a well on our Twin Falls factory property.

Total flow for the well in question for a year is summarized below:

Beet Run

October 1 to March 1

(600 GPM) (60 $\frac{\text{Min.}}{\text{Hr.}}$) (24 $\frac{\text{Hr.}}{\text{Day}}$) (151 $\frac{\text{Days}}{\text{Year}}$) = 130,464,000 gallons

Juice Run

Six Weeks each Summer

(600 GPM) (60 $\frac{\text{Min.}}{\text{Hr.}}$) (24 $\frac{\text{Hr.}}{\text{Day}}$) (42 $\frac{\text{Days}}{\text{Year}}$) = 36,288,000 gallons

Total = 166,752,000 gallons
+ 33,000,000 per year.
199,752,000

Mr. Henry Jenkins is now superintendent of our Mini-Cassia factory at Rupert, Idaho. Mr. Jack Stewart is the factory superintendent at our Twin Falls factory. In the future please direct your correspondence concerning this permit to Mr. Stewart or myself here at the Ogden General Offices.

Very truly yours,

Robert D. West
ROBERT D. WEST
Chemical Engineer

RDW:mrs

cc S. M. Heiner - General Office
Jack Stewart - Twin Falls Factory

47-2383

31584

*will file in
add'l info*

January 26, 1970

Re: Permit No. G-31584

Mr. Henry Jenkins
Plant Manager
Amalgamated Sugar Company
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Dear Mr. Jenkins:

Thank you for your letter of January 21, 1970, concerning the above-referenced permit.

At the time the examination was made of your diverting works, our field examiner found your system capable of conveying 1.33 c.f.s., or 600 gallons per minute. However, before this department can issue your license, we need to determine the annual volume of water used. In your letter of January 21, you stated your pump has used that capacity during the months of October 1 - March 1, and for an undetermined time during the summer for juice runs.

If you could supply this department with the average number of hours per day and days per year that your system is in operation, then it would be possible for us to determine the annual volume. Please document any facts or figures concerning the time the system is in operation.

Very truly yours,

Dorian Clay
Field Examiner

DC:mjl

31584/47-2383-



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

January 21, 1970

Mr. Dorian T. Clay
Field Examiner
Department of Reclamation
State of Idaho
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83702

Dear Mr. Clay:

Reference your letter dated December 8, 1969, relative to our Permit No. G-31584 for a water license for a well on our Twin Falls factory property. This well was originally drilled and proved out at 600 gallons per minute. We have continued to pump this well at capacity since it was drilled and proved out.

During the past several years we have pumped this well at capacity during our beet processing runs which normally last from October 1 to approximately March 1. Last year we had a juice run during the summer which lasted six weeks. We pumped this well during that period.

Juice runs will be scheduled during the summer months in the future as sugar sales dictate. We will probably, at some time in the near future, pump this well ten (10) months out of each year.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "R. D. West".

R. D. WEST
Chemical Engineer

RDW:mrs

cc S. M. Heiner - General Office
Jack Stewart - Twin Falls factory

31584
47-2383

DON SAMUELSON
GOVERNOR



P. KEITH HIGGINSON
State Reclamation Engineer

STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF RECLAMATION
STATEHOUSE - ANNEX 2
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

January 15, 1969

Re: Permit No. G-31584/47-2383

Mr. Henry Jenkins
Plant Manager
Amalgamated Sugar Company
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Dear Mr. Jenkins:

Enclosed is a copy of our letter of December 8, 1969, concerning the above referenced permit. Please be advised that before we can continue with the issuance of a water license for this permit, we need the information which we requested in our letter. If for some reason, you are not able to supply us with this information, please advise us within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Dorian T. Clay
Field Examiner

DTC:tg

Enc: copy of letter of
12-8-69

47-2383
31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

October 25, 1968

RECEIVED

OCT 29 1968

Department of Reclamation

Mr. Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner
State of Idaho
Department of Reclamation
107 Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83701

Dear Mr. McEldowney:

Reference is made to your letter of October 23, 1968, concerning our application for well permit No. G-31584.

This well, located at our Twin Falls factory, is now in use for the present sugar beet campaign. Any of the following gentlemen will be glad to assist you in your examination and answer any questions that may arise concerning the well system; Henry Jenkins, Plant Manager; Jack Stewart, Plant Superintendent; Stanley Brown, Master Mechanic.

If I can be of any assistance, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

F. Stanley Nielsen

F. STANLEY NIELSEN, P. E.
Project Manager

cc Henry Jenkins - Twin Falls
 Jack Stewart - Twin Falls
 Stan Brown - Twin Falls
 S. M. Heiner, Chief Engineer, General Office

FSN:mrs

47-2383
31584

December 8, 1969

Re: Permit No. G-31584

Mr. Jack Stewart
Plant Manager
Amalgamated Sugar Company
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Dear Mr. Stewart:

In reviewing the field examination for the above numbered permit, I find that some additional information is required before a Water License can be issued. The Department needs to know the volume of water used annually by the Amalgamated Sugar Company from the well specified in the above referenced permit. If recorded data are not available, estimates of the volume of water used will suffice. If estimates are submitted, please state your method of arriving at these estimates. Also provide any facts and figures that would help substantiate these estimates. As soon as we receive this information, we will continue to process your permit.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call on us.

Very truly yours,

Dorian T. Clay
Field Examiner

DTC:kh

31584
47-2323

January 2, 1969

Re: Permit No. G-31584

F. Stanley Nielson, P. E.
Project Manager
The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520, Ogden, Utah

Dear Sir:

We acknowledge receipt of your affidavit submitted as proof of beneficial use for permit G-31584.

Before a license can be issued a field examination must be made by a representative from this department.

We cannot tell just when this examination will be made, but our examiner will try to contact you at the time he makes the examination so that you may show him the system. If someone other than yourself should be contacted, please advise.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner

DWM:tg

cc: Henry Jenkins
Plant Manager
Amalgamated Sugar Company
Twin Falls, Idaho

31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

RECEIVED

December 30, 1968

DEC 31 1968

Department of Reclamation

✓	Mr. Tolson
	Mr. DeLoach
	Mr. Mohr
	Mr. Bishop
	Mr. Casper
	Mr. Callahan
	Mr. Conrad
	Mr. Felt
	Mr. Gale
	Mr. Rosen
	Mr. Sullivan
	Mr. Tavel
	Mr. Trotter
	Tele. Room
	Mr. Holmes
	Miss Gandy

Mr. Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner
State of Idaho
Department of Reclamation
Statehouse - Annex 2
Boise, Idaho 83707

Subject: Well Permit No. G-31584

Dear Mr. McEldowney:

In reply to your letter of December 23, 1968, please find enclosed the "Affidavit of Publication" from the Twin Falls Times-News. We apologize for the delay in forwarding this document. It apparently had been misplaced in our accounting department.

According to our records, this should complete the proof of beneficial use. However, if there are any further questions or comments, please feel free to call on us.

Very truly yours,

F. Stanley Nielsen

F. STANLEY NIELSEN, P. E.
Project Manager

FSN:mrs

Encl.

cc S. M. Heiner, Chief Engineer
Henry Jenkins - Manager of Twin Falls Factory

31584

December 23, 1968

Re: Permit No. G-31584

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
c/o Henry Jenkins
Twin Falls, Idaho

Gentlemen:

On October 16, 1968, we received your affidavit of proof of beneficial use on the above referenced permit; however, we have not received the affidavit of publication for the legal notice published on October 31 and November 7, 1968, in the Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho. Please obtain this affidavit and submit it to the Department as soon as possible to keep the above referenced permit in good standing.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner

DWM:TG

C
O
P
Y

31584

October 23, 1968

Re: Permit No. G-31584

ATTENTION: Mr. F. Stanley Neilson

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520
Ogden, Utah 84401

Gentlemen:

We acknowledge receipt of your affidavit submitted as proof of beneficial use ~~application~~ for permit G-31584.

Before a license can be issued a field examination must be made by a representative from this department.

We cannot tell just when this examination will be made, but our examiner will try to contact you at the time he makes the examination so that you may show him the system. If someone other than you should be contacted by the examiner, please advise.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner

DWM:tg

31584

**NOTICE OF APPROVED
APPLICATION FOR WATER**

Notice is hereby given that the Amalgamated Sugar Company, Box 1520, Ogden, Utah, has received an approved permit No. G-31584 to divert 1.7 cubic feet per second of water from a subterranean source. The priority to be established under this permit is December 6, 1963. Water will be diverted by well within the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 29, T. 10-S., R. 17-E., B.M., Twin Falls County, and is to be used for industrial use in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 29, T. 10-S., R. 17-E., B.M.

Any protests against the intent of the permit must be filed with the Department of Reclamation within ten days from the last publication of this notice.

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
State Reclamation Engineer
Published in the Times-News,
Twin Falls, Idaho, on October 31 and
November 7, 1968.

RECEIVED

OCT 28 1968

Department of Reclamation
N-31584

October 23, 1968

Re: Permit No. G-31584
The Amalgamated Sugar Company

Times News
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is a legal notice which we wish to have published for two consecutive weekly issues of your newspaper. We have inserted the publication dates allowing ample time for you to submit us a galley proof for our review before publication.

After sending us a galley proof, proceed with the publication on the dates we have inserted assuming the notice is correct. If we have any corrections, we will notify you before the first publication date. If you can not publish the notice on the proposed dates, please contact the Department of Reclamation immediately.

The expense of the publication is to be paid by
Amalgamated Sugar Company, Box 1520, Ogden, Utah

to whom you should furnish an affidavit of publication.

Very truly yours,

Donald W. McEldowney
Appropriation Examiner

DWM:tg

Enc: Legal Notice

dd: The Amalgamated Sugar Company

NOTE: The permit holder is required to obtain an affidavit of publication from the above referenced newspaper and to submit it to the Department at once.

31584

NOTICE OF APPROVED APPLICATION FOR WATER

Department of Reclamation

Notice is hereby given that the Amalgamated Sugar Company, Box 1520, Ogden, Utah

has received an approved permit No. G-31584 to divert 66666
1.7 cubic feet per second 1111111111 acre feet of water from
NW1/4 Sec. 26, T. 10 S., R. 17 E., B. M., Twin Falls, Idaho
a subterranean source.

The priority to be established under this permit is December 6, 1963.

Water will be diverted by well within the NW1/4 Sec. 26, T. 10 S., R. 17 E., B. M., Twin Falls, Idaho

and is to be used for industrial use in the NW1/4 Sec. 26, T. 10 S., R. 17 E., B. M.

Any protests against the intent of the permit must be filed with the Department of Reclamation within ten days from the last date of publication of this notice.

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
 State Reclamation Engineer

Published in the Times News, Twin Falls, Idaho on October 31 and November 7, 1968.

State Reclamation Engineer: Permit No. G-31584

You are hereby authorized to have the attached notice published at my expense in the Times News of Twin Falls, Idaho; published in the county in which the works are situated.

John A. Nielsen
 (signature)
THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO.

P.O. Box 1520 OGDEN, UTAH 84401
 (address)

OCT. 15, 1968
 (date)

31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING • BOX 1520

OGDEN, UTAH 84402

October 14, 1968

Mr. R. Keith Higgison
State Reclamation Engineer
State of Idaho
Department of Reclamation
107 Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83701

Dear Mr. Higgison:

Enclosed please find, "Proof of Application of Water to Beneficial Use", "Notice of Approved Application for Water Use", and a copy of well log covering permit No. G-31584. Please advise us if additional information is required.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

F. Stanley Nielsen
F. STANLEY NIELSEN, P. E.
Project Manager

FSN:mrs

Encl.

cc S. M. Heiner - Chief Engineer

31584

INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE

☐ Show to whom, date, and
address where delivered

☐ Deliver ONLY
to addressee

(Additional charges required for these services)

RECEIPT

Received the numbered article described below.

REGISTERED NO.

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE *(Must always be filled in)*

CERTIFIED NO.

20401

1

Annadgumated Sugar

SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY

INSURED NO.

2

Penny Helbert

DATE DELIVERED

SHOW WHERE DELIVERED *(only if requested)*

3

OCT 7 1968

c55-16-71548-10


APD

20-31384

POD Form 3811 Apr. 1967 655-16-71648-10

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID
PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300



INSTRUCTIONS: Show name and address below and complete instructions on other side, where applicable. Moisten gummed ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.

NAME OF SENDER

Department of Reclamation

STREET AND NO. OR P.O. BOX

Annex # 2 Statehouse

Boise, Idaho 83707

POST OFFICE, STATE, AND ZIP CODE

1-1-31584

October 1, 1968

Re: Permit No. G-31584

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520
Ogden, Utah

Gentlemen:

One of the conditions of the approval of your permit G-31584 was that proof of application of the water to beneficial use be submitted to this office on or before December 11, 19 68.

Enclosed is an affidavit form which must be used to submit this proof. You may also request an extension of time provided the delay is for reasonable cause as indicated in Section 42-204, ICA.

Either the proof or a request for extension must be received by this department on or before the above mentioned date, otherwise the permit will lapse and be of no further force or effect.



State Reclamation Engineer

Enclosure: Proof form

31584

BEFORE THE STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER

OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PERMIT NO. G-31584)

in the name of The Amalgamated Sugar Company)

Box 1520, Ogden, Utah)

ORDER MODIFYING REQUIREMENTS
FOR COMPLETION OF PERMIT TO
APPROPRIATE WATER

WHEREAS, the 39th Idaho Legislature made certain amendments to the Idaho Water Law; and,

WHEREAS, these changes raised questions as to the procedures to be followed by holders of permits issued prior to the effective date of the amendments, May 31, 1967; and,

WHEREAS, on August 1, 1968, the Department of Reclamation adopted Rules of Practice and Procedures for the processing of such permits issued prior to May 31, 1967; and,

WHEREAS, Permit No. G-31584 was issued previous to that date;

NOW THEREFORE, the requirements for completion of the above-numbered permit are hereby modified to conform to the adopted Rules of Practice and Procedures as follows:

1. The above-numbered permit will be completed under the terms of Title 42, Chapter 2, Idaho Code, as amended by the 39th Idaho Legislature.
2. The conditions of the above-numbered permit are modified as follows:
 - a. Notice of the issuance of the permit will be published at the permit holders expense for two weekly issues in the official newspaper of the county in which the point of diversion lies.
 - B. The permittee will not be required to submit proof of completion of works as originally specified, but will be required to submit proof to the Department of Reclamation of the application of the water to beneficial use on or before December 11, 1968. The permit holder should be aware that failure to complete one-fifth (1/5) of the works within one-half (1/2) of the time originally set for Completion of Works may be grounds for cancellation at the instance of the Department.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 19____.

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
State Reclamation Engineer

31584

October 1, 1968

Re: Permit No. G-31584

The Amalgamated Sugar Company
Box 1520
Ogden, Utah

Gentlemen:

During the 1967 session of the Idaho Legislature, certain amendments were made in the law pertaining to the procedures for making proof of beneficial use of water. In order for the Department to comply with these changes, Rules of Practice and Procedure were adopted on August 1, 1968. Generally, these procedures and the amended law make it simpler for a person to make proof and relieve the permit holder from making separate proofs of completion of works and beneficial use of water.

The enclosed order modifies your permit to comply with those rules and regulations. As a part of the new procedure, you will be required to publish a notice at your expense for two consecutive weeks in a paper as prescribed by the Department, stating that you have been issued a permit and are now submitting proof of beneficial use. Previously, a permit holder had to publish a notice for four weeks when he submitted proof under his permit.

If you will complete the enclosed forms, we will cause the notice to be published. Should you require additional forms or information, we will be glad to supply it upon request at any time.

Very truly yours,

C. Stephen Allred, Assistant
State Reclamation Engineer

CSA:tg
Enc: Order
Proof due notice
Proof package
Advertising package

315 84

August 19, 1965

RE: Permit No. G-31584

Stan Nielsen, Engineer
Amalgamated Sugar Co.
First Security Bank Bldg.
Ogden, Utah 84402

Dear Mr. Nielsen:

Returned herewith is the application to
amend the above noted permit with attached check for
\$1.00 recording fee.

Our record of the permit shows Twp. 10 S.
in all cases. The point of use of the water has been
changed on our record to the NE ^{1/4} Sec. 26, Twp. 10 S.
Rge. 17 E., to agree with the map submitted.

Very truly yours,

RALPH W. THOMAS
Deputy State Reclamation Engineer

RWT:ct
Enc. amend. application
check No. 20546, \$1.00

31584




THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING

OGDEN, UTAH - 84402

August 16, 1965



Deposited by mail

State of Idaho
Dept. of Reclamation
107 State House
Boise, Idaho

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is the "Application for Amendment" to Application for Permit to appropriate the Subterranean waters of the State of Idaho Permit No. G-31584. Also enclosed is the one dollar filing fee. The items we found in error and to be corrected are circled in red on the "Application for Amendment" sheet.

Thank you for your co-operation.

Very truly yours,

Stan Nielsen

STAN NIELSEN
Civil Engineer

SN'sh
Encl.
cc: S. M. Heiner, Chief Engr.

31584

January 6, 1964

Re: Permit No. G-31584

John H. Grantham
Assistant Chief Engineer
Amalgamated Sugar Co.
First Security Bank Bldg.
Ogden, Utah

Dear Mr. Grantham:

Enclosed are Forms 36 and 11, with instructions. The forms can be completed according to instructions and returned to this office after the water has been used beneficially. The forms can be returned at any time prior to the date for completion of works and beneficial use of the water as shown on the back of the permit.

Very truly yours,

RALPH W. THOMAS, Deputy
State Reclamation Engineer

RT:ew

31584



THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR COMPANY

FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING

OGDEN, UTAH

January 3, 1964

State of Idaho
Department of Reclamation
107 State House
Boise, Idaho

Attention: Carl E. Tappan

Dear Mr. Tappan:

We have received an executed Permit No. G-31584 allowing us to use water from a well we have developed. We are requesting information on how we must now proceed to prove the beneficial use of these waters. We have some recollection that it is necessary to publish in some circulated media our intent to use this water. Also it is recalled that in previous instances it was required that we have the water flow checked by your department.

We would appreciate your comments and recommendations on this matter.

Very truly yours,

John H. Grantham
JOHN H. GRANTHAM
Assistant Chief Engineer

JHG/ca

31584

December 21, 1963

Re: Permit No. G-31584

The Amalgamated Sugar Co.,
Box 1520,
Ogden, Utah.

Gentlemen:

We enclose Permit No. G-31584 in which we direct your attention to the limitations and conditions as given on the fourth page under approval of the State Reclamation Engineer.

We also enclose Departmental Receipt No. G-8429 which was issued covering payment in the amount of \$ 5.25 for the filing fee of the above mentioned permit.

Very truly yours,

CARL E. TAPPAN
State Reclamation Engineer
By

(MRS.) EDNA P. RULE
Chief Clerk

Encl.
EPR:pmk

31584

October 4, 1963

31584
Amalgamated Sugar Company
Twin Falls, Idaho

Dear Sir:

We have received a log of a well, drilled by Denton Drilling Company, located in the NW 1/4, Section 26, T. 10-S., R. 17-E., B.M. which shows you as the owner of the well.

I find no record of a permit to drill a well in that location.

State Statutes provide that the right to use subterranean water can only be obtained through a permit issued by this office.

Very truly yours,

RALPH W. THOMAS
Deputy State Reclamation Engineer

REFT:mr

31584

WELL LOG AND REPORT OF THE STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHO

Department of Reclamation

Permit No. _____ Well No. _____ County _____

Owner FEDERAL RESOURCES CORP.

Address BELLEVUE, IDAHO

Driller SMITH DRILLING & PUMP CO., INC.

Address 365 WEST MAIN ST. -- JEROME, IDAHO

Well location 1/4 1/4 Sec. , T. N/S, R. E/W

Size of drilled hole 10 INCH

60 FEET Total depth of well

Give depth to standing water from the ground 12'9" Water temp. °Fahr.

On "Pumping Test" delivery was 450 g.p.m. or c.f.s. Drawdown was 4 feet.

Size of pump and motor used to make test 6" TURBINE - BUICK ENGINE

Length of time of test 3 hours minutes.

If flowing well, give flow c.f.s. or g.p.m. and of shut off pressure

If flowing well, described control works

(TYPE AND SIZE OF VALVE, ETC.)

Water will be used for INDUSTRY Weight of casing per lineal foot

Thickness of casing .250 Casing material STEEL

(STEEL, CONCRETE, WOOD, ETC.)

Diameter, length and location of casing 10" - 60 FEET - WITH 10" DRIVE SHOE - PERFORATION START

(CASING 12" IN DIAMETER OR LESS, GIVE INSIDE DIAMETER;
CASING OVER 12" IN DIAMETER, GIVE OUTSIDE DIAMETER)

25 FT AND RUN THRU 47 FEET. PERFORATED 10 HOLES TO ROUND, 6 INCHES APART (ROWS ARE 6" APART)

CASING RECORD

Diam. Casing	From Feet	To Feet	Length	Remarks—seals, grouting, etc.
10"	0		24'5"	LENGTH NO. 1 (WITH DRIVE SHOE)
			12'	LENGTH NO. 2
			12'	LENGTH NO. 3
			12'	LENGTH NO. 4

Number and size of perforations (SEE ABOVE) located 25 feet to 47 feet from ground

Date of commencement of well NOV. 5, 1963 Date of completion of well NOV. 21, 1963

31583

WELL LOG

[illegible]

WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT

This well was drilled under my supervision and the above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed SMITH DRILLING & PUMP CO., INC.

By


Dated NOVEMBER 21, 1963

License No. 11

31583

NAME OF APPLICANT THE AMALGAMATED SUGAR CO.

Form No. 0



SCALE: 2 Inches Equal 1 Mile. Draw Plan In Ink.

31584