


11. Map of proposed project: show clearly the proposed point of diversion, place of use, section number, township and range number.



Scale: 2 inches equal 1 mile

BE IT KNOWN that the undersigned hereby makes application for permit to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho as herein set forth.

Leddie Anderson
(Applicant) MAYOR

● Proof of Publication ●
The Post-Register

RECEIVED

NOV 30 1977

State of Idaho,
County of Bonneville

ss.

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

I, J. Robb Brady, first being duly sworn, depose and say: That I am the Principal Clerk of The Post Company, a corporation of Idaho Falls, Bonneville County, Idaho, publishers of The Post-Register, a newspaper of general circulation, published daily, except Saturday, at Idaho Falls, Idaho; said Post-Register being a consolidation of the Idaho Falls Times, established in the year 1890, The Idaho Register, established in the year 1880 and the Idaho Falls Post, established in 1903, such consolidation being made on the 1st day of November, 1931, and each of said newspapers having been published continuously and uninterruptedly, prior to consolidation, for more than seventy-eighty (78) weeks and said Post-Register having been published continuously and uninterruptedly from the date of such consolidation, up to and including the last publication of notice hereinafter referred to.

That the notice, of which a copy is hereto attached and made a part of this affidavit, was published in said Post-Register for two consecutive weeks, first publication having been made on the 17th day of November, 19 77, last publication having been made on the 24th day of November, 19 77, and that the said notice was published in the regular and entire issue of said paper on the respective dates of publication, and that such notice was published in the newspaper and not in a supplement.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 28th day of November, 19 77.


Karl Schae
Notary Public

My commission expires April 5, 19 79.


B10, 1M

**NOTICE OF APPLICATION
FOR WATER PERMIT**
Notice is hereby given that the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho Falls, Idaho has on 11-09-77 submitted Application No. 01-7015 for a permit to appropriate 2600 cubic feet per second of water from the Snake River tributary to Columbia River by means of a dam within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 13, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T. 2N, R. 37E, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 18, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 19, T. 2N, R. 38E, B.M., Bonneville County; to be used from Jan. 1 to Dec. 31 for power generation within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T. 2N, R. 37E, B.M.
If issued, this permit will be subject to all prior water rights. Protests against the granting of the permit must be filed with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, 1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho, 83401 on or before December 5, 1977.
C. STEPHEN ALLRED
Director
Pub.: Nov. 17 & 24, 1977.

MICROFILMED



MICROFILM
DEC 9 4 1977




ENCLOSURE
DEC 04 1993


J.LINGER

06:30

28-JUN-93



MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997



MICRO-ALLOY
100% A

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CORPS OF ENGINEERS

01-7015

IDAHO FALLS SOUTH QUADRANGLE
IDAHO

7.5 MINUTE SERIES (TOPOGRAPHIC)

3671 11' 10"

DUBOIS 48 MI.
ROBERTS 15 MI.
R 37 E

2'30" 416R 38 E

RIGBY 14 MI.
UCON 8.3 MI.

540000 FEET

112°00' 43°30'

3571 11 SE
(IDAHO FALLS NORTH)

413

Trailer Park

14

ANDERSON
CATERAL

13

Green Belt

DS Temple

18

DS Hospital

Green Belt

Court House

C City Hall

PO ST

1 ST

Central Park

17

16

4729

4725

4720

4715

4710

4705

4700

4695

4690

4685

4680

4675

4670

4665

4660

4655

4650

4645

4640

4635

4630

4625

4620

4615

4610

4605

4600

4595

4590

4585

4580

4575

4570

4565

4560

4555

4550

4545

4540

4535

4530

4525

4520

4515

4510

4505

4500

4495

4490

4485

4480

4475

4470

4465

4460

4455

4450

4445

4440

4435

4430

4425

4420

4415

4410

4405

4400

4395

4390

4385

4380

4375

4370

4365

4360

4355

4350

4345

4340

4335

4330

4325

4320

4315

4310

4305

4300

4295

4290

4285

4280

4275

4270

4265

4260

4255

4250

4245

4240

4235

4230

4225

4220

4215

4210

4205

4200

4195

4190

4185

4180

4175

4170

4165

4160

4155

4150

4145

4140

4135

4130

4125

4120

4115

4110

4105

4100

4095

4090

4085

4080

4075

4070

4065

4060

4055

4050

4045

4040

4035

4030

4025

4020

4015

4010

4005

4000

3995

3990

3985

3980

3975

3970

3965

3960

3955

3950

3945

3940

3935

3930

3925

3920

3915

3910

3905

3900

3895

3890

3885

3880

3875

3870

3865

3860

3855

3850

3845

3840

3835

3830

3825

3820

3815

3810

3805

3800

3795

3790

3785

3780

3775

3770

3765

3760

3755

3750

3745

3740

3735

3730

3725

3720

3715

3710

3705

3700

3695

3690

3685

3680

3675

3670

3665

3660

3655

3650

3645

3640

3635

3630

3625

3620

3615

3610

3605

3600

3595

3590

3585

3580

3575

3570

3565

3560

3555

3550

3545

3540

3535

3530

3525

3520

3515

3510

3505

3500

3495

3490

3485

3480

3475

3470

3465

3460

3455

3450

3445

3440

3435

3430

3425

3420

3415

3410

3405

3400

3395

3390

3385

3380

3375

3370

3365

3360

3355

3350

3345

3340

3335

3330

3325

3320

3315

3310

3305

3300


3295

3290

3285

3280

3275



Scale 0 100 200 300 400 500 Feet

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
CITY POWER PLANT
AND DIVERSION DAM
GENERAL LAYOUTCONSULTING ENGINEERS
INTERNATIONAL ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.
A MEMBER OF THE
100 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105DESIGNED: JED PROJECTED: JED
DRAWN: JED RECOMMENDED: JED
CHECKED: JED APPROVED: JED
DATE: Nov. 30, 1978
DRAWING NO. LCC-10-901 R-2







VOEST-ALPINE AG, Postfach

Meaningless
(folded map)

MB 9-908.4575


RUNNER DIAMETER (4.05 m.) 190 1/8 inch
 SYNCHRONOUS SPEED 94.73 rpm
 CENTERLINE RUNNER
 UPPER PLANT 4895.3 ft
 CITY PLANT 4657.2 ft
 LOWER PLANT 4636.7 ft

TOLERANCE OF MEASUREMENT 10%

THE CAVITATION LIMITS ARE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING NORMAL RESERVOIR ELEVATIONS:


UPPER PLANT CITY PLANT LOWER PLANT
 4784.0 ft 4694.25 ft 4674.0 ft

FULL GATE OPENING = NOMINAL OPENING
 FOR NET HEAD 18.0 ft AND RATED TURBINE
 OUTPUT 8300 KW



VOEST-ALPINE AG
 Filialbereich Linz

TLM 2



VOEST-ALPINE AG
Friedenreich Linz


IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

S.O. 5644/10714

TLM 2



MODEL 344 - 4


ETA vs SIGMA



MODEL 344-4

ETA VS. SIGMA






VCEST-ALPINE AG
Finalbereich Linz

IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

S.O. 5644/10714

TLM 2

MODEL 344-4
ETA vs. SIGMA



State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources

WATER RIGHT LICENSE

WATER RIGHT NO. 01-07015

Priority: November 9, 1977 Maximum Diversion Rate: 2600.00 CFS

This is to certify, that CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

C/O M GENDRON

PO BOX 50220

IDAHO FALLS ID 83405

has complied with the terms and conditions of the permit, issued pursuant to Application for Permit dated November 9, 1977; and has submitted Proof of Beneficial Use on February 18, 1983. An examination indicates that the works have a diversion capacity of 6000.000 cfs of water from:

SNAKE RIVER

tributary to COLUMBIA RIVER

source, and a water right has been established as follows:

<u>BENEFICIAL USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>RATE OF DIVERSION</u>	<u>ANNUAL VOLUME</u>
POWER	01/01 to 12/31	2600.00 CFS	

LOCATION OF POINT(S) OF DIVERSION:

SENE , Sec. 24, Township 02N, Range 37E
BONNEVILLE County

PLACE OF USE: POWER

TWN RGE SEC

02N 37E 24

SENE

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND REMARKS

1. This water right is appurtenant to the described place of use.
2. This right is subject to all prior water rights and may be forfeited by five years of non-use.
3. Modifications to or variance from this license shall be made within the limits of Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or the applicable Idaho law.
4. The water right confirmed in this license for hydropower purposes shall be junior and subordinate to all rights to the use of water, other than hydropower, within the State of Idaho that are initiated later in time than the priority of this license and shall not give rise to any right or claim against any future rights to the use of water, other than hydropower, within the State of Idaho initiated later in time than the priority of this license.
5. Use of water under this water right will be regulated by the watermaster of State Water District No. 01.
6. Use of water under this right shall be non-consumptive.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997


State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources**WATER RIGHT LICENSE**


WATER RIGHT NO. 01-07015

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND REMARKS

7. Use of water under this license shall not cause unreasonable fluctuations in the flows of the Snake River which impair the ability of prior right holders to divert their water.
8. The diversion and use of water under this license is subject to review by the Director after the date of expiration of license 2842 issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Upon appropriate findings relative to the interest of the public, the Director may cancel all or any part of the use authorized herein and may revise, delete or add conditions under which the right may be exercised.
9. This power facility is known locally as the "Central" or "City" Plant.

This license is issued pursuant to the provisions of Section 42-219, Idaho Code. Witness the seal and signature of the Director, affixed at Boise, this 7th day of NOVEMBER, 1997.


Acting for KARL J. DREHER, Director



MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

4/86

RECEIVED
MAR 18 1987
Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EXAMINATION FEE INFORMATION

For Office Use Only
Fee Received _____
Date _____
Receipt # _____
Receipt by _____
Sent to \$0. _____

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1987

1. Permit No. 01-7015

ONE OF THE FOLLOWING BOXES SHOULD BE MARKED TO DESIGNATE YOUR CHOICE:

☐ I choose to have the department make the field examination of this permit; attached is the examination fee. (If this box is marked, complete items 1 thru 8.)

2. Permit Holder: City of Idaho Falls

3. Post Office Address: PO Box 220 Idaho Falls, Id 83402

Telephone No. 208 529 1430

4. Source of Water: Snake River

5. Extent of Use:

Domestic _____ (No. of households) Stockwater _____ (No. and type of stock)

Irrigation _____ (acres) Other/Remark Hydro generation

6. Total rate &/or volume for which proof is submitted 2600 cfs _____ acre-feet

7. Show the date water was first diverted and beneficially used under this permit:

7-19-82
4-30-83 "From DOE final report dated May, 1986"

8. Person to contact to accompany the department representative during the field examination:

(Name)

(Address)

(Telephone Number)

☒ I choose to have the field examination conducted by a certified water right examiner. Attached is a statement from the certified examiner I have selected verifying that he has been retained to conduct the examination. (If this box is marked, complete items 1 thru 7.)

Date March 16, 1987

[Signature] MGR. ELECTRICITY
Signature of Permit Holder (and title, if appropriate)

DEC 04 1987

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF THE MEASURING DEVICE)
REQUIREMENT ON PERMIT NOS. 01-7013, 01-7014,) ORDER
01-7015, 01-7023, 01-7024 AND 01-7025 IN THE)
NAME OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO)

WHEREAS, on March 5, 1979, the Department of Water Resources issued the above-captioned permits with the conditions: "that proof of construction of works and application of water to beneficial use be submitted on or before April 1, 1983" and that "a measuring device of a type approved by this Department shall be installed and maintained as part of the diverting works"; and,

WHEREAS, on February 18, 1983, the Department received proof of beneficial use and a request to waive the measuring device requirement on each of the permits; and,

WHEREAS, the Department has determined that an installed measuring device is not necessary to measure the flow of water if other suitable methods of measurement as determined by the Director are readily available;

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that the condition of approval requiring the installation of a measuring device of a type approved by the Department as part of the diverting works be changed to require that the permit holder shall provide information and/or flow data sufficient as determined by the Director to accurately reflect the rate of flow beneficially used under Permit Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014, 01-7015, 01-7023, 01-7024 and 01-7025.

Dated this 25th day of February, 1983.



L. GLEN SAXTON

Chief, Operations Bureau

RECEIVED
FEB 25 1983
WATER RESOURCES
DIVISION

01 7015

Identification No. _____

Application No. _____

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

APPROVED

To Appropriate the Public Waters of the State of Idaho
 (TYPE OR PRINT IN INK)

1. Name of applicant City of Idaho Falls % G.S. Harrison Phone: 522-5891
 post office address P.O. Box 220
2. Source of water supply Snake River which is a tributary of Columbia
3. a. Location of point of diversion is SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13 Township 2N
 Range 37E B.M. Bonneville County; additional points of diversion if any: _____
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 18 Twp 2N, Rge 38EBM; NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 19 Twp 2N Rge 38EBM;
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 24 & SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 24 Twp 2N, Rge 37EBM
- b. If water is not consumed, it will be discharged into Snake River at a point in SE $\frac{1}{4}$
 of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24 Township 2N Range 37E B.M. _____
4. Water will be used for the following purposes: @ CITY PLANT
 Amount 2600 CFS for Power Generation purpose from January 1 to December 31 (both dates inclusive)
(cfs or acre-feet per annum)
 Amount _____ for _____ purpose from _____ to _____ (both dates inclusive)
(cfs or acre-feet per annum)
 Amount _____ for _____ purpose from _____ to _____ (both dates inclusive)
(cfs or acre-feet per annum)
5. Total quantity to be appropriated:
 a. 2600 cubic feet per second and/or
 b. _____ acre feet per annum.
6. Proposed diverting works:
 a. Description of ditches, flumes, pumps, headgates, etc. Diversion is accomplished by means
of a concrete diversion structure of varying height which extends approximately
1800 feet from the right bank of the river to the point of use.
- b. Height of storage dam N.A. feet, active reservoir capacity N.A. acre feet; total reservoir
 capacity N.A. acre feet, materials used in storage dam: _____
 Period of year during which storage will occur N.A. to N.A. inclusive.
(Mo. Day) (Mo. Day)
- c. Proposed well diameter is N.A. inches; proposed depth of well is _____ feet.
7. a. Time required for the completion of the works and application of the water to the proposed beneficial use
 is 4 years.
 b. Estimated construction cost is \$ 18 million.
8. Description of proposed uses:
 a. If water is **not** for irrigation:
 (1) Give the place of use of water: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24 Township 2N
 Range 37E B.M.
 (2) Amount of power to be generated: 9651 hp under 19.5 feet of head.
 (3) List number of each kind of livestock to be watered N.A.

 (4) Name of municipality to be served N.A., or number of families to be
 supplied with domestic water N.A.
 (5) If water is to be used for other purposes describe: N.A.

MICROFILMED

b. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below:

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				TOTALS
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	

Total number of acres to be irrigated. _____

c. Describe any other water rights used for the same purposes as described above. An existing right of 600 CFS (L.3304) supplemented with a claim to 1900 CFS the total of which represents the previous historical use of water at this site.


9. a. Who owns the property at the point of diversion The City of Idaho Falls

b. Who owns the land to be irrigated or place of use N.A.

c. If the property is owned by a person other than the applicant, describe the arrangement enabling the applicant to make this filing N.A.

10. Remarks This power site has been in use since 1907. The plant is being redesigned to produce more electrical energy. The new design will require 5100 CFS to produce full capacity. The 2600 CFS applied for herein will supplement the additional rights claimed by the City at this site to provide the required flow.

11. Map of proposed project: show clearly the proposed point of diversion, place of use, section number, township and range number.



Scale: 2 inches equal 1 mile

BE IT KNOWN that the undersigned hereby makes application for permit to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho as herein set forth.

Leslie Peterson
(Applicant) MAYOR

Proposed Priority 11-9-77

Received by CW Date 11-09-77 Time 2:15 p.
Preliminary check by EMC Fee \$ 1225⁰⁰
Received by CW Date 11-09-77 # 9088
Publication prepared by CW Date 11-10-77
Published in Post Register
Publication dates Nov 17+24-1977
Publication approved me Date November 30, 1977
Priority reduced to _____ Reason _____

Protests filed by: Jemont-Madison
WITHDRAWN

Copies of protests forwarded by ed
Hearing held by _____ Date _____
Recommended for approval denial by EMC DER

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

This is to certify that I have examined Application for Permit to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho No. 01-7015, and said application is hereby APPROVED.

1. Approval of said application is subject to the following limitations and conditions:

a. SUBJECT TO ALL PRIOR WATER RIGHTS.

b. Proof of construction of works and application of water to beneficial use shall be submitted on or before April 1, 19 83.

c. Other: (1) A measuring device of a type approved by this Department shall be permanently installed and maintained as part of the diverting works.
(2) Use of water under this permit is subject to control by the water-master of State Water District No. 01, Snake River. (3) This permit is subject to the provisions of Sections 42-205 through 42-210, Idaho Code, restricting the sale, transfer, assignment, or mortgage of this permit. Failure to comply with these provisions is cause for immediate cancellation of this permit. (4) This project shall be operated in a manner that will not conflict or interfere with the future upstream diversion of water for irrigation or other beneficial consumptive uses.

Witness my hand this 5th day of March, 19 79.

J. Glen Saxton
Chief, Operations Bureau

WATER ADMINISTRATION

DEC 5 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF :
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, NOS. :
017013, 017014, and 017015 TO : PROTEST OF FREMONT-
ESTABLISH EXISTING USES OF WATER : MADISON IRRIGATION
AND ACQUIRE NEW WATER RIGHTS. : DISTRICT.
:

Comes now Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, a quasi-municipal corporation of the State of Idaho with its office and post office address at St. Anthony, Idaho, and in response to notice given that the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho, made applications Nos. 017013, 017014, and 017015 to establish existing uses of water and acquire new water rights from the Snake River and its tributaries, and makes the following protest against the approval of such application:

1. That the supply of water from the Henry's Fork of the Snake River and its tributaries is not sufficient to warrant such appropriation for power purposes.

2. That such appropriation would be in violation of the conditions of that certain contract between the United States of America, Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, Idaho of Idaho Falls, Idaho, and Utah Power and Light Company concerning power rights and release of damages, dated September 28, 1935.

3. That if such rights are granted, the City of Idaho Falls could force release of water from upstream dams to keep the water flowing up to the capacity of its rights.

4. The granting of any such rights would prevent the development of any additional irrigated lands upstream.

5. That the anticipation of the applicant to appropriate the water of Snake River and its tributaries is speculative because of the lack of water in said river and its tributaries and any such attempt will reduce the quantity of water to existing water rights.

R. Willis Walker
R. Willis Walker, Chairman of
Board of Directors, Fremont-Madison
Irrigation District.

MICROFILMED

STATE OF IDAHO,)
 (SS
County of Madison.)

R. WILLIS WALKER, being first duly sworn, says that he is Chairman of the Board of Directors of Fremont-Madison Irrigation District and he makes this verification for and on behalf of said District; that affiant has read the foregoing Protest and knows the contents thereof and as to the matters and things therein alleged, affiant believes the same to be true.

R. Willis Walker

Subscribed and sworn to before this 5th day of
December, 1977.

Mary Smith
Notary Public, residing at
Rexburg, Idaho. My commission
expires: Life.

(Seal)

● Proof of Publication ●
The Post-Register

RECEIVED

NOV 30 1977

State of Idaho,
County of Bonneville } ss.

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

I, J. Robb Brady, first being duly sworn, depose and say: That I am the
Principal Clerk of The Post Company, a corporation of Idaho Falls, Bonneville
County, Idaho, publishers of The Post-Register, a newspaper of general circulation, published daily,
except Saturday, at Idaho Falls, Idaho; said Post-Register being a consolidation of the Idaho Falls
Times, established in the year 1890, The Idaho Register, established in the year 1880 and the Idaho
Falls Post, established in 1903, such consolidation being made on the 1st day of November, 1931,
and each of said newspapers having been published continuously and uninterruptedly, prior to consoli-
dation, for more than seventy-eighty (78) weeks and said Post-Register having been published contin-
uously and uninterruptedly from the date of such consolidation, up to and including the last publica-
tion of notice hereinafter referred to.

That the notice, of which a copy is hereto attached and made a part of this affidavit, was pub-
lished in said Post-Register for two consecutive weeks, first publication having been made
on the 17th day of November, 1977, last publication
having been made on the 24th day of November, 1977, and that the
said notice was published in the regular and entire issue of said paper on the respective dates of
publication, and that such notice was published in the newspaper and not in a supplement.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 28th day of November, 1977.

Karl H. Hale
Notary Public

My commission expires April 5, 1979.

B10, 1M

**NOTICE OF APPLICATION
FOR WATER PERMIT**
Notice is hereby given that the City of
Idaho Falls, Idaho Falls, Idaho has on
11-09-77 submitted Application No. 01-7015
for a permit to appropriate 2600 cubic
feet per second of water from the Snake
River tributary to Columbia River by
means of a dam within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
Sec. 13, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24,
T. 2N, R. 37E, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 18,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 19, T. 2N, R. 38E, B.M.,
Bonneville County; to be used from Jan.
1 to Dec. 31 for power generation within
the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T. 2N, R. 37E,
B.M.
If issued, this permit will be subject to
all prior water rights. Protests against
the granting of the permit must be filed
with the Idaho Department of Water
Resources, 1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho
Falls, Idaho, 83401 on or before
December 5, 1977.
C. STEPHEN ALLRED
Director
Pub.: Nov. 17 & 24, 1977.

MICROFILMED



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, P.O. BOX 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

November 10, 1997

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
C/O M GENDRON
PO BOX 50220
IDAHO FALLS ID 83405-0220

RE: WATER RIGHTS 01-07013/01-07014/01-07015/01-07023/01-07024

Dear Water Right Holder(s):

The Department of Water Resources (the Department) has issued the enclosed licenses confirming that water rights have been established in accordance with the permits referenced above. The licenses are preliminary orders issued by the Department pursuant to section 67-5243, Idaho Code. They can and will become final orders without further action of the Department unless a party petitions for reconsideration or files an exception and/or brief as further described below.

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of a preliminary order with the Department within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The Department will act on a petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See section 67-5243(3) Idaho Code.

EXCEPTIONS AND BRIEFS

Within twenty-one (21) days after (a) the service date of a preliminary order, (b) the service date of a denial of a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration from this preliminary order, any party may in writing support or take exceptions to any part of a preliminary order and may file briefs in support of the party's position on any issue in the proceeding. Otherwise, this preliminary order will become a final order of the Department.

If any party appeals or takes exceptions to this preliminary order, opposing parties shall have twenty-one (21) days to respond to any party's appeal. Written briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the preliminary order shall be filed with the Department. The Department retains the right to review the preliminary order on its own motion.

ORAL ARGUMENT

If the Department grants a petition to review the preliminary order, the Department shall allow all parties an opportunity to file briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the preliminary order and may schedule oral argument in the matter before issuing a final order. If oral arguments are to be heard, the Department will within a reasonable time period notify each party of the place, date and hour for the argument of the case. Unless the Department orders otherwise, all oral arguments will be heard in Boise, Idaho.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

All exceptions, briefs, requests for oral argument and any other matters filed with the Department in connection with the preliminary order shall be served on all other parties to the proceedings in accordance with Rules of Procedure 302 and 303.

FINAL ORDER

The Department will issue a final order within fifty-six (56) days of receipt of the written briefs, oral argument or response to briefs, whichever is later, unless waived by the parties or for good cause shown. The Department may remand the matter for further evidentiary hearings if further factual development of the record is necessary before issuing a final order. The Department will serve a copy of the final order on all parties of record.

Section 67-5246(5), Idaho Code, provides as follows:

Unless a different date is stated in a final order, the order is effective fourteen (14) days after its issuance if a party has not filed a petition for reconsideration. If a party has filed a petition for reconsideration with the agency head, the final order becomes effective when:

- (a) the petition for reconsideration is disposed of; or
- (b) the petition is deemed denied because the agency head did not dispose of the petition within twenty-one (21) days.

APPEAL OF FINAL ORDER TO DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to sections 67-5270 and 67-5272, Idaho Code, if this preliminary order becomes final, any party aggrieved by the final order or orders previously issued in this case may appeal the final order and all previously issued orders in this case to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

- i. A hearing was held,
- ii. The final agency action was taken,
- iii. The party seeking review of the order resides, or
- iv. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the agency action is located.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1987

The appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days of this preliminary order becoming final. See section 67-5273, Idaho Code. The filing of an appeal to district court does not itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.

Sincerely,



Shelley W. Keen
Water Rights Supervisor

SK:klt

Enclosure

MICROFILMED


DEC 04 1997

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of **NOVEMBER, 1997**, I mailed a true and correct copy, postage prepaid, of the foregoing **PRELIMINARY ORDERS (Approved Licenses)** to the person(s) listed below:

Water Rights 01-07013/01-07014/01-07015/01-07023/01-07024

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
C/O M GENDRON
PO BOX 50220
IDAHO FALLS ID 83405-0220


Kari Lynn Townsend
Secretary

MICROFILMED

DEC 2 1997

Law Offices
HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, P.L.L.C.

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS, P.A.
DALE W. STORER
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
FREDERICK J. HAHN, III
SHAN B. PERRY

U.S. BANK OF IDAHO BUILDING
330 SHOUP AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR
P.O. BOX 50130
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
FACSIMILE (208) 523-9518
E-MAIL HOLDNLAW@SRV.NET

Arthur W. Holden
(1877-1967)
Robert B. Holden
(1911-1971)
Terry L. Crapo
(1939-1982)
William S. Holden
(1907-1988)

RECEIVED

NOV 03 1997

Department of Water Resources

October 30, 1997

Mr. Jim Johnson
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

Re: Permit Nos. 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

Dear Mr. Johnson:

We are enclosing with this letter the executed relinquishment of permit no. 01-07025 and the applications for amendments of permits nos. 01-07023 and 01-07013. As we understand it, this now completes the paperwork from our end. We have also enclosed our check in the amount of \$100.00 for the amendment filing fees.

We will look forward to the issuance of the five licenses and if there is any way we may be of further assistance please feel free to call upon us at your convenience.

Yours very truly,



Kent W. Foster

Enclosures

G:\WPDATA\KWF\9975.14\JIM1030.LTR:kmc

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, P.O. BOX 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

October 9, 1997

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

Kent Foster
Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo
PO Box 50130
Idaho Falls, ID 83405

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

Dear Mr. Foster:

In response to your phone conversation with Jim Johnson this morning, enclosed are modified Applications for Amendment on Permit Nos. 01-07013 and 01-07023. In addition, the other three permits which are attempting to license have had the same data entry changes as the two requiring amendments. Specifically, the address has been updated in care of Mr. Gendron at PO Box 50220, and the condition/remark concerning fluctuations in flows of the river has been changed to read "unreasonable fluctuations".

The other remaining permit, No. 01-07025 is the one we sent a relinquishment form out for the purpose of clearing our records. We look forward to receiving the signed relinquishment along with the two amendment applications and their filing fees so that we can finish the licensing process.

If you have any questions, just let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Sharla Curtis'.

SHARLA CURTIS
Water Resource Agent

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, P.O. BOX 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

September 18, 1997

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
PO BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS ID 83402-0220

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013, 01-07014, 01-07015
01-07023, 01-07024, and 01-07025


Gentlemen:

I previously wrote to you on August 11, 1997 regarding the above permits and stated that we are ready to finalize the licensing process.

Included with that letter were applications for amendment of Permit Nos. 01-07013 and 01-07023, a relinquishment form for Permit No. 01-07025 and draft copies of the licenses for the remaining rights, 01-07014, 01-07015 and 01-07024. I am enclosing copies of the applications for amendment, a relinquishment form and draft copies of the licenses as were sent with the August 11th letter.

If you have not already done so, please review the documents and if you concur, sign the amendments and relinquishment form and return them to our office along with the amendment filing fees (\$100 total - \$50 each permit). on receipt of the fees and forms, we will issue the licenses and clear our records for 01-07025.

Sincerely


JIM JOHNSON, Manager
Water Right Permits Section

JJ:klt

Enclosures

cc: Kent Foster

RECORDED

DEC 04 1997



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, P.O. BOX 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720-0098
Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

August 11, 1997

City of Idaho Falls
PO Box 220
Idaho Falls, ID 83402

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013, 01-07014, 01-07015,
01-07023, 01-07024, and 01-07025


Gentlemen:

After a site visit and extensive review of the above referenced permits, we are ready to finalize the licensing process. I understand that Ralph Mellin of this department has forwarded a copy of his recommendations to Mark Gendron and that you are now in agreement with the proposals identified on the enclosed documents.

The enclosures are 1) applications for amendment of Permit Nos. 01-07013 and 01-07023, 2) a relinquishment form for Permit No. 01-07025, and 3) draft copies of the licenses for the remaining rights, 01-07014, 01-07015 and 01-07024.

Please review the documents and if you concur, sign the amendments and relinquishment form and return them to our office along with the amendment filing fees (\$100 total - \$50 each permit). Upon receipt of the fees and forms, we will issue the licenses and clear our records for 01-07025.

Sincerely,



JIM JOHNSON, Manager
Water Right Permits Section

JJ:sc

Enclosures

cc: Kent Foster

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

MEMORANDUM

June 16, 1997

TO: Jim Johnson, Section Manager, State Office
Harold Jones, Water Resource Supervisor, Idaho Falls Regional Office

FROM: Ralph Mellin, Special Projects Coordinator *RPM*

SUBJECT: Idaho Falls Hydroelectric Plants Proposed License Flow

This memorandum culminates the request earlier this year for a review of past work in the analysis of water right field examinations and related work to license two water right permits at each of three hydroelectric plants; the City Plant, Upper Plant, and Lower Plant built for and operated by the City of Idaho Falls on the Snake River near Idaho Falls.

HISTORY:

The field examinations for these water rights were completed by a licensed consulting engineer just prior to the deadline date for submitting the Beneficial Use Field Report (field examination) on the applicable water permits. These field examinations are stamped as received on March 17, 1988. The river flow on January 12, 1988, the date of the field examination, was approximately one-half the amount needed to use the capacity of the turbines. In the field examination for water right file 01-07013, under Flow Measurements, it is stated that

"Flow on this date was determined by power generation using the formula flow x head / 14 = kilowatts. On this date power output was 3,800 kW. Head = 18. Therefore flow = 2,955 cfs. At time of measurement this was total flow."

Additional information on previous higher amounts of water use by the newly constructed power plants does not appear to have been submitted.

On May 18, 1993, Kent Foster, private attorney for the City of Idaho Falls, requested issuance of the applicable water licenses. On May 26, 1993, IDWR's staff review of the water permit files showed there was documentation for licensing the water permits for the amount of water shown on the field examinations. An internal memorandum to the files dated February 3, 1994, indicates the licenses were prepared for the Department's Administrator's signature in June 1993; however, questions were raised about the low amount of water use relative to the amount allowed in the water permits.

On June 30, 1993, Mr. Foster wrote a follow-up to a previous telephone conversation with the Department and indicated "that each of the plants is a replacement for a former smaller plant at each site." No clarifying information was provided that the two older operating turbines were left at the Lower Plant. Also, a 1986 draft copy of an extensive post-project report prepared by CH2M Hill was submitted to the IDWR apparently near this time. (The

MICROFILMED
DEC 06 1997

August 1989 final report was recently obtained by this author. No change was found from the draft report.) This CH2M Hill report indicates in its Hill Diagram (Figure 4) of turbine flows related to turbine efficiency and rated head that the "full gate opening" allowed 5,700 cfs for the City Plant and 5,800 cfs for the Upper Plant and the Lower Plants. This "full gate opening" is when all water possible is entering the turbine without water flowing over the spillway.

The above mentioned February 3, 1994, memorandum to the files, recommended licensing the water permits based on these full gate opening flows; although, the graph for the Upper Plant apparently was misread and 5,700 cfs was indicated as the proposed license amount instead of 5,800 cfs. (The proposed new license flow would be for that portion of water when added to the current water right flows at each plant would total the above noted amounts.) On March 3, 1994, the Department sent to Mr. Foster draft copies of the proposed licenses based on the "full gate opening" flows.

On June 21, 1994, Mr. Foster wrote that the city's engineers were reviewing the water use amounts; however, "at the lower plant, the (Department's) exams and reports didn't even take into consideration the existence of the old machines which are still used as well as the newer bulb turbine." On October 10, 1994, Mr. Foster transmitted a City of Idaho Falls letter to him with calculations that showed a maximum water use of 6,700 cfs at the City Plant, 6,200 cfs at the Upper Plant, and 8,700 cfs at the Lower Plant (which included 2,831 cfs for the old plant that has 2,740 cfs of water rights). (It appears the turbine efficiencies used are lower than the efficiencies shown in the Hill Diagram of the CH2M Hill report. This would give higher water flows.)

On November 15, 1994, our letter to Mr. Foster indicated that review of the material received suggested the correct total water use of the Upper Plant and the Lower Plant (apparently including the old plant) remained as previously listed, 5,700 cfs and 5,800 cfs respectively and the maximum use for the city plant could be increased to the permitted amount of 6,000 cfs.

THIS CURRENT ANALYSIS:

On March 4, 1997, we (Jim Johnson, Harold Jones, and Ralph Mellin) went to the office of the Hydroelectric Division, City of Idaho Falls to tour their Lower Plant, City Plant, and Upper Plant all operated by the city.

Before the tour, Mark Gendron, Director, Hydroelectric Division; Scott McBride, Engineer; and Steve Elliot, Hydro Plant Mechanical Foreman, discussed the general operation of the plants and answered our general questions. Then Mr. McBride lead us first through the Lower Plant, then the City Plant, and lastly the Upper Plant. (Harold Jones returned to our Eastern Region office after the tour of the City Plant.) Upon returning to the Hydroelectric Division office, Steve Elliot provided us with a computer disk of hourly generation and 15-minute flow data for the previous 5 months of October 1996 through February 1997. These

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

plants were operating near capacity during our visit; however, subsequent review of the generation data showed slightly higher generation levels existed prior to our tour.

The Upper Plant, the City Plant, and the new construction for the Lower Plant have water rights and approved water permits for a total of 6,000 cfs. The new construction at each plant is a single 7,200 kW generator powered by a horizontal bulb turbine. At the City Plant and the Upper Plant, the new construction replaced and expanded the existing power plants. At the Lower Plant, the two older generators with a maximum generation of 3,100 kW recorded in the November 1996 to February 1997 period were retained in their separate, adjacent powerhouse. The previous water rights at the Lower Plant consist of water right no. 01-00281 for 1,500 cfs and no. 01-04001 for 1,240 cfs which gives a total of 2,740 cfs for the older turbines. These water rights and the quantity of water use by the turbines located in the old powerhouse of the Lower Plant was not reviewed in this analysis.

Solutions:

A simple solution to determine the additional amount of water to license would be to:

1. Leave unchanged the 2,740 cfs of the non-reviewed water rights at the old Lower Plant.
2. License total water rights, including present water rights, for the single new turbine at each new plant based on the "full gate opening" shown in the Hill Diagram in the CH2M Hill post-project-construction report. According to the graph the "full gate opening" is 5,700 cfs for the City Plant and 5,800 cfs each for the Upper Plant and the addition to the Lower Plant.

It is noted, based on the Hill Diagram in the CH2M Hill report, the turbines can take more water than the "full gate opening" flow. There is shown on the graph a "maximum gate opening" flow; however, as this flow is approached a "guaranteed cavitation limit" is reached and subsequently an "absolute cavitation limit." There are flows above the "full gate opening" flow that are below the "guaranteed cavitation limit"; see subsequent detailed discussion for the City Plant.

A detailed solution was used and is recommended because of the availability of individual-plant operational data that reflects actual operating conditions; that is:

1. Also, leave unchanged the 2,740 cfs of the non-reviewed water rights at the old Lower Plant.
2. License total water rights for the single new turbine at each plant based on calculations from the more specific separate formulas for each plant given in the CH2M Hill report and use the maximum generation level obtained in the last 5 months (October 1, 1996 through February 28, 1997) which includes a high-water flow.

MICROFILMED

DEC 11 1997

A total of 58 additional dates from 1988 to 1996 were reviewed in which the river flow was only slightly above the design capacity of all turbines in the Lower Plant to see if a higher total generation existed for the combination of the new turbine and the old turbines. No higher total hourly generation existed than the amount in the spring of 1997 with its exceedingly high runoff.

The new license amounts, calculated on the attached sheets, would be total 6,000 cfs for the City Plant, 6,000 cfs for the Upper Plant, and 4,800 cfs for the addition to Lower Plant.

Details:

Specific calculations are appended. The highest hourly generation level found was used. Turbine flow calculations also were made at river flows only slightly above the turbine capacity; however, the turbine flows were found to be slightly less than at the high river flows.

To calculate the turbine flow for a particular kW generation level, an estimated turbine flow first had to be used in order to determine the remaining flow over the spillway which would determine the head-water level. Estimated turbine flow also needed to be used to determine the head loss through the turbines.

Since the estimated turbine flow was used to determine the actual turbine flow, up to four iterations were needed before the two numbers would be closely matched. I have shown the first and last iteration for the each plant. It also is noted, the calculations for the City Plant and for the Upper Plant had to be done by linearly extending the graph provided by CH2M Hill past its termination at the "full gate opening."

For the City Plant, this above noted extension appeared to move into an area where the formula gave unstable results. It is repeated from earlier in this memorandum that the "full gate opening" is 5,700 cfs. The 6,800 cfs of calculated flow with the river at 11,052 cfs is past the absolute cavitation limit of 6,500 cfs. (Calculated flow of 6,400 cfs, not attached, was determined at a river flow of 7,500 cfs on Jan 11, 1997.) The guaranteed cavitation limit is at 6,150 cfs.

All numbers are suggested to be rounded to the nearest 100 units because of accuracy able to be obtained in the calculations. Dissenters could correctly state that three significant figures is the least number used in the input data so the answer should be carried to three significant figures or to the nearest ten units. This rule is expanded with the clarification that the last significant figure in the answer is not necessarily correct but represents merely the most probable value.

For the City Plant, this would allow for 6,100 cfs since a water right likely would not be given for an operation level that would cavitate the turbine impellers and at which the

RECORDED

DEC 04 1997

turbine owners would hesitate to operate within. In addition, since 6,000 cfs is the total allowed in the available water permits, this 6,000 cfs would be the maximum that currently could be licensed at the City Plant.

It is noted the calculation flow for the Upper Plant was 6,000 cfs or slightly past the "full gate opening" of 5,800 cfs. This flow takes place when there is extra flow to build up a head above the spillway lip.

For the new part of the Lower Plant, a flow rate of 5,200 cfs was calculated when the old turbines were not operating. The new water rights, however, should be limited to the 4,800 cfs of additional water used only through the new turbine when the turbines in both powerhouses are operating at capacity. It is noted for the Lower Plant, the maximum generation in the new powerhouse found when all turbines in both powerhouses were operating at capacity within the 5-months reviewed was 6,310 kW. That is somewhat below the 7,200 kW installed in the new powerplant. (Evidently the operation of the old turbines lowers the headwater for the new turbine with, of course, no corresponding lowering of the tailwater.) That 6,310 kW maximum increases to 7,100 kW when only the new turbine is in operation. (7,390 kW is the maximum generation recorded in both the City Plant and the Upper Plant.)

SUMMARY:

The total amount of flow recommended to be licensed follows.

Upper Plant:	6,000 cfs (3,840 cfs of new water rights)
City Plant:	6,000 cfs (4,060 cfs of new water rights)
Lower Plant:	7,540 cfs (4,800 cfs of new water rights)

Plant capacities would be shown as 6,100 cfs for the City Plant, 6,000 cfs for the Upper Plant, and 7,540 cfs for the Lower Plant. The plant capacity for the Lower Plant consists of 2,740 cfs non-reviewed capacity, under existing water rights, for the two older turbines left in-place plus 4,800 cfs for the new bulb turbine when both turbines in the older power house are operating but only 5,200 cfs for the new bulb turbine when neither turbine in the older power house is operating.

A revised summary of city of Idaho Falls hydroelectric water rights is enclosed.

A draft of this memorandum was sent to Mark Gendren, on April 11, 1997 and reviewed by telephone with Scott McBride and Jim Lingo, Generation Superintendent on May 20, 1997. A tentative agreement of flow amounts was provided in the telephone review with the stipulation that when the new turbine in the lower plant is operating alone, it can first use the earlier priority water rights.

cc: Mark Gendron

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

UPPER PLANT

Generating 7,390 kW on 2/11/1997 at 0300

$Q_{\text{river (r)}} = 11,164$ cfs at Idaho Falls gage (Graph in Figure 1 does not fit.)

$$Q_{\text{turbine (t)}} = \frac{6,050}{5,800} \text{ cfs estimate}$$

$$Q_{\text{spillway (s)}} = Q_r - Q_t = 11,164 - \frac{6,050}{5,800} = \frac{5,114}{5,364} \text{ cfs}$$

The following formulas, specific to each power plant, are from the CH2M Hill report

Turbine efficiency (TE) = see Hill chart using flow & net head (Figure 4, CH2M Hill report)

Generator efficiency (GE) = 0.966 from CH2M Hill

$$\text{Headwater Level (HW)} = 4,733.7 + (Q_s/1,900)^{0.667} = 4,733.7 + \frac{5,114}{(5,364/1,900)^{0.667}}$$

$$= 4,733.7 + 1.94 = 4,735.64 \text{ ft}$$

vs 4,735.00
IF d=1.2

$$\text{Tailwater Level (TW)} = 4,712 + Q_t/1,500 + (Q_s/1,700)^{0.667}$$

$$= 4,712 + \frac{6,050}{5,800/1,500} + \frac{5,114}{(5,364/1,700)^{0.667}} = 4,712 + \frac{4.03}{3.87} + \frac{2.08}{2.15} = 4,718.11 \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Head Loss (HL)} = (Q_t/6,325)^2 = \frac{6,050}{(5,800/6,325)^2} = 0.91 \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Net Head (NH)} = \text{HW} - \text{TW} - \text{HL} = \frac{4,735.64}{4,735.70} - \frac{4,718.11}{4,718.02} - 0.91 = 16.62 \text{ ft}$$

$$Q_t = 11.8 \times \text{kW} / \text{NH} \times \text{TE} \times \text{GE} = 11.8 \times 7,390 / 16.62 \times 0.969 \times 0.966 = \frac{6,041}{5,897} \text{ cfs}$$

Use 6,000 cfs

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

CITY PLANT

Generating 7,390 kW on 1/30/1997 at 1900

$Q_{\text{river (r)}} = 11,124$ cfs at Idaho Falls gage (Graph in Figure 1 does not fit.)

$$Q_{\text{turbine (t)}} = \overset{6,750}{\cancel{6,500}} \text{ cfs estimate}$$

$$Q_{\text{spillway (s)}} = Q_r - Q_t = 11,164 - \overset{6,750}{\cancel{6,500}} = \overset{4,414}{\cancel{4,624}} \text{ cfs}$$

The following formulas, specific to each power plant, are from the CH2M Hill report

Turbine efficiency (TE) = see Hill chart using flow & net head (Figure 4, CH2M Hill report)

Generator efficiency (GE) = 0.966 from CH2M Hill report

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Headwater Level (HW)} &= 4,694.5 + (Q_s/7,020)^{0.667} = 4,694.5 + \overset{4,414}{\cancel{(4,624/7,020)^{0.667}}} \\ &= 4,694.5 + \overset{0.73}{\cancel{0.76}} = \overset{4,695.23}{\cancel{4,695.26}} \text{ ft} \end{aligned}$$

*vs HL 695.15
at 11,124*

$$\text{Tailwater Level (TW)} = 4,675.5 + Q_r/3,500 = 4,675.5 + 11,124/3,500 = 4,675.5 + 3.18 = 4,678.68 \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Head Loss (HL)} = (Q_t/6,508)^2 = \overset{6,750}{\cancel{(6,500/6,508)^2}} = \overset{1.08}{\cancel{1.00}} \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Net Head (NH)} = \text{HW} - \text{TW} - \text{HL} = \overset{4,695.23}{\cancel{4,695.26}} - 4,678.68 - \overset{1.08}{\cancel{1.00}} = \overset{15.50}{\cancel{15.58}} \text{ ft}$$

$$Q_t = 11.8 \times \text{kW} / \text{NH} \times \text{TE} \times \text{GE} = 11.8 \times 7,390 / \overset{15.5}{\cancel{15.58}} \times \overset{0.855}{\cancel{0.867}} \times 0.966 = \overset{6,811}{\cancel{6,683}} \text{ cfs}$$

use 6800 cfs

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

LOWER (NEW) PLANT

Generating 6,310 kW on 2/12/1997 at 0900
With both old turbines operating near capacity--3,100 kW

$$Q_{\text{river (r)}} = 11,052 \text{ cfs at Idaho Falls gage (Graph in Figure 1 does not fit.)}$$

$$Q_{\text{turbine new plant (t)}} = \overset{4,750}{\cancel{5,200}} \text{ cfs (estimate)}$$

$$Q_{\text{turbine old plant (top)}} = 2,740 \text{ cfs (used previous water rights)}$$

$$Q_{\text{t + top}} = \overset{4,750}{\cancel{5,200}} + \overset{2,490}{2,740} = \overset{7,490}{\cancel{7,940}} \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{\text{spillway (s)}} = Q_{\text{r}} - Q_{\text{t + top}} = 11,052 - \overset{7,490}{\cancel{7,940}} = \overset{3,562}{\cancel{3,112}} \text{ cfs}$$

The following formulas, specific to each power plant, are from the CH2M Hill report

Turbine efficiency (TE) = see Hill chart using flow & net head (Figure 4, CH2M Hill report)

Generator efficiency (GE) = 0.966 from CH2M Hill report

$$\text{Headwater Level (HW)} = 4,674.5 + (Q_{\text{s}}/2,424)^{0.667} = 4,674.5 + \overset{3.562}{\cancel{(3,112/2,424)}}^{0.667}$$

$$= 4,674.5 + \overset{1.29}{\cancel{1.18}} \overset{4,675.79}{\cancel{4,675.68}} \text{ ft}$$

$\begin{matrix} 4,675.79 \\ 4,675.79 \\ 4,675.79 \\ 4,675.79 \\ 4,675.79 \end{matrix}$

$$\text{Tail Water Level (TW)} = 4,654.6 + Q_{\text{r}}/3,226 = 4,654.6 + 11,052/3,226 = 4,654.6 + 3.43 = 4,658.03 \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Head Loss (HL)} = (Q_{\text{t}}/6,535)^2 = \overset{4,750}{\cancel{(5,200/6,535)}}^2 = \overset{0.53}{\cancel{0.63}} \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Net Head (NH)} = \text{HW} - \text{TW} - \text{HL} = \overset{4,675.79}{\cancel{4,675.68}} - 4,658.03 - \overset{0.53}{\cancel{0.63}} = \overset{17.23}{\cancel{17.02}} \text{ ft}$$

$$Q_{\text{t}} = 11.83 \text{ kW} / \text{NH} \times \text{TE} \times \text{GE} = 11.83 \times 6,310 / \overset{17.23}{\cancel{17.02}} \times \overset{0.9335}{\cancel{0.927}} \times 0.966 = \overset{4,804}{\cancel{4,899}} \text{ cfs}$$

Use 4,800 cfs

MICROFILMED

DEC 8 4 1997

LOWER (NEW) PLANT

Generating 7,100 kW on 1/11/1997 at 1300
Without old turbines operating

$$Q_{\text{river (r)}} = 7,495 \text{ cfs at Idaho Falls gage (Graph in Figure 1 fits!)}$$

$$Q_{\text{turbine new plant (t)}} = \overset{5,200}{\cancel{5,400}} \text{ cfs (estimate)}$$

$$Q_{\text{turbine old plant (top)}} = \text{zero}$$

$$Q_{\text{t + top}} = \overset{5,200}{\cancel{5,400}} + 0 = \overset{5,200}{\cancel{5,400}} \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{\text{spillway (s)}} = Q_{\text{r}} - Q_{\text{t + top}} = 7,495 - \overset{5,200}{\cancel{5,400}} = \overset{2,295}{\cancel{2,095}} \text{ cfs}$$

The following formulas, specific to each power plant, are from the CH2M Hill report

Turbine efficiency (TE) = see Hill chart using flow & net head (Figure 4, CH2M Hill report)

Generator efficiency (GE) = 0.966 from CH2M Hill report

$$\text{Headwater Level (HW)} = 4,674.5 + (Q_{\text{s}}/2,424)^{0.667} = 4,674.5 + (\overset{2,295}{\cancel{2,095}}/2,424)^{0.667}$$

$$= 4,674.5 + \overset{0.96}{\cancel{0.91}} = \overset{4,675.46}{\cancel{4,675.41}} \text{ ft}$$

Handwritten notes: 4,675.46, 4,675.40, 2.6, 1.2

$$\text{Tailwater Level (TW)} = 4,654.6 + Q_{\text{r}}/3,226 = 4,654.6 + 7,495/3,226 = 4,654.6 + 2.32 = 4,656.92 \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Head Loss (HL)} = (Q_{\text{r}}/6,535)^2 = (\overset{5,200}{\cancel{5,400}}/6,535)^2 = \overset{0.63}{\cancel{0.68}} \text{ ft}$$

$$\text{Net Head (NH)} = \text{HW} - \text{TW} - \text{HL} = \overset{4,675.46}{\cancel{4,675.41}} - 4,656.92 - \overset{0.63}{\cancel{0.68}} = \overset{17.91}{\cancel{17.81}} \text{ ft}$$

$$Q_{\text{t}} = 11.8 \times \text{kW} / \text{NH} \times \text{TE} \times \text{GE} = 11.8 \times 7,100 / \overset{17.91}{\cancel{17.81}} \times \overset{0.9285}{\cancel{0.924}} \times 0.966 = \overset{5,215}{\cancel{5,270}} \text{ cfs}$$

Handwritten note: Use 5,200 cfs

MICROFILMED

DEC 6 4 1997

UPPER PLANT located 3 miles north of Idaho Falls with diversions in Sec. 25, T. 3 N., R. 37 E., B.M.

Water Right No.	Type of Water Right or Decree Name	Decree Date, File No., & Page No. in Decree	Priority Date	Flow in cfs	Subordination	
					Permit	License/Decree
01-02047	Permitted 12-19-1927 Licensed 2-11-1939		10-28-1927	500 ¹	No	No
01-04003	Idaho Falls Decree	9-03-1980, 01-B-4, p. 3	5-03-1930	580		No
01-02049	Permitted 5-15-1936 Licensed 3-14-1939		1-14-1936	1,080 ¹	No	No
01-07013	Permitted 3-05-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		11-09-1977	2,600	For diversion to beneficial uses ²	
01-07023	Permitted 3-16-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		2-15-1979	1,240	For diversion to beneficial uses ²	
Subtotal of non-subordinated water rights				2,160 cfs		
Total (using permitted quantities)				6,000 cfs		

The footnotes are numbered consecutively for the group of four powerplants operated by the city of Idaho Falls.
Revised on June 12, 1997.

¹ See City of Idaho Falls vs Carlson, 9-03-80, File 01-B-4; the Stipulation makes no change in license 01-02047, but it reduces the subsequent licensed right 01-02049 from 1,500 cfs to 1,080 cfs.

² Idaho Code 42-203B(6) allows for subordination to upstream "depletory uses." Water Appropriation Rules, July 1, 1993, Rule 10.16 (010.16) defines a subordinated water right to be subject to depletion "for a purpose other than hydropower generation" (which appears to include recharge and non-hydropower storage). This type of language, which may clarify the status of recharge and non-hydropower storage, likely will be used at license time. A recent example is the condition placed on water license 01-07051 at Idaho Fall's Gem State Project which was licensed on 11-2-92 with the condition of subordination to future rights "other than hydropower." Idaho Code 42-4201A which states subsequent recharge rights are to be secondary to prior rights would have no bearing since the prior water right noted in this text would be a limited right in itself.

MICROFILMED
 DEC 04 1997

CITY PLANT located in the city of Idaho Falls with diversions in Sec. 24, T. 2 N., R. 37 E., B.M.

Water Right No.	Type of Water Right or Decree Name	Decree Date, File No., & Page No. in Decree	Priority Date	Flow in cfs	Subordination	
					Permit	License/Decree
01-00197	Rexburg Decree	12-16-1910, 01-B, p. 59	11-18-1898	(75) ³	No	
01-00040	Rexbury Decree	12-16-1910, 01-B, p. 10	4-20-1900	140 ⁴	No	
01-00041	Rexburg Decree	12-16-1910, 01-B, p. 11	10-22-1904	48 ⁴	No	
01-02014	Permitted 12-14-1907 Licensed 2-11-1918		12-03-1907	485 ⁵	No	No
01-00360	Idaho Falls Decree	9-03-1980, 01-B-4, p. 2	2-05-1915	388	No	
01-00361	Idaho Falls Decree	9-03-1980, 01-B-4, p. 3	7-18-1919	394	No	
01-04002	Idaho Falls Decree	9-03-1980, 01-B-4, p. 3	10-05-1923	485	No	
01-07015	Permitted 3-05-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		11-09-1977	2,600	For diversion to beneficial uses ²	
01-07024	Permitted 3-16-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		2-15-1979	1,460	For diversion to beneficial uses ²	
Subtotal of non-subordinated water rights				1,940 cfs		
Total (using permitted quantities)				6,000 cfs		

³ This Rexburg Decree water right in the name of G. G. Wright has not had an adjudication claim filed on it. This water right was not mentioned in the City of Idaho Falls vs Carlson Decree, 9-03-80, File 01-B-4, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law or the Stipulation. No transfer of ownership information or confirmation of this place of use is available. Evidently this power plant was dismantled; however, its exact location is unknown. Quantity not used in the totals.

⁴ Also see City of Idaho Falls vs Carlson, 9-03-80, File 01-B-4, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, p. 4.

⁵ See City of Idaho Falls vs Carlson, 9-03-80, File 01-B-4; the Stipulation reduces the licensed right from 600 cfs to 485 cfs.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1987

LOWER PLANT located 1/2 mile south of Idaho Falls with diversions in Sec. 25, T. 2 N., R. 37 E., B.M.

Water Right No.	Type of Water Right or Decree Name	Decree Date, File No., & Page No. in Decree	Priority Date	Flow in cfs	Subordination Permit License/Decree
01-00281	Woodville Decree ⁶	6-29-1929, 01-K, p. 26	12-29-1905	1,500 ⁴	No
01-00282	Woodville Decree ^{6,7}	6-29-1929, 01-K, P. 26	9-07-1915	(100)	No
01-04001	Idaho Falls Decree	9-03-1980, 01-B-4, p. 3	10-05-1940	1,240	No
01-07014	Permitted 3-05-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		11-09-1977	(5,100) ⁸ 4,800 ⁹	For diversion to beneficial uses ²
01-07025	Permitted 3-16-1979 Field exam 3-17-1988		2-15-1979	(900) ⁸ (860) ¹⁰ 0 ⁹	For diversion to beneficial uses ²
Subtotal of non-subordinated water rights				2,740 cfs	
Total (using permitted quantities)				(8,740 cfs) ⁸	
Total (using turbine capacity)				(8,700 cfs) ¹⁰	
Total				7,540 cfs	

⁶ Listed in the name of Utah Power and Light Co.

⁷ This water right was issued for the Shelley power plant located 1 1/2 miles downstream from the Lower Plant in Sec. 34, T. 2 N., R. 37 E., B.M. The water right for this site now removed could not be transferred to the City's Lower Plant because an expansion of a water right is not allowed.

⁸ Permitted amount. See water right file 01-07013 for most related data.

⁹ Total of new flow, 4,800 cfs recommended for new license. Add 1,500 cfs + 1,240 cfs for old plant.

¹⁰ Maximum amount needed. City of Idaho Falls "preliminarily determined turbine flow capacities to be" 8,700 cfs. See letter of October 4, 1994, to their private attorney, Kent Foster, located in water right file 01-07025.

DEC 04 1997

MICROFILMED

GEM STATE PROJECT located 4 miles south of Idaho Falls with diversions in Sec. 15, T. 1 N., R. 37 E., B.M.

Water Right No.	Type of Water Right or Decree Name	Decree Date, File No., & Page No. in Decree	Priority Date	Flow in cfs	Subordination	
					Permit	License/Decree
01-00283	Woodville Decree ⁶	6-29-1929, 01-K, p. 26	11-14-1924	(1,500) ¹¹	No	
01-07051	Permitted 12-18-1985 Licensed 11-2-1992 ⁶		4-09-1980	3,000	Yes	Yes ¹²
01-07018	Permitted 7-21-1982 Licensed 11-02-1992		3-17-1978	5,000	Yes	Yes ¹²
Subtotal of non-subordinated water rights				none		
Total (using permitted and turbine capacity quantities)				8,000 cfs ¹³		

¹¹ The Decree refers to a water permit number that never was developed into a license.

¹² This water right was licensed on 11-2-92 with the condition that it is subordinate to future rights "other than hydropower."

¹³ October 14, 1992, Memorandum to File 01-07051 indicates the turbine capacity found during the field examination is 8,000 cfs.

RECEIVED
DEC 6 4 1997



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000
Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

November 15, 1994

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

Kent W. Foster
Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo
PO Box 50130
Idaho Falls, ID 83405

Dear Mr. Foster:

We have reviewed your correspondence of October 10, which included the comments from the Chief Electrical Engineer from the City of Idaho Falls in relation to the above referenced permits. In response, I offer the following.

The information you submitted was routed to a departmental engineer who is familiar with power generating facilities. He reviewed the information along with the 1986 CH2M Hill report. Peak plant outputs taken from the city engineer's report were plotted onto the Hill diagram from the CH2M Hill report (copy enclosed). At 7.58 MW, the turbine flow at the "City Plant" would be about 6500 cfs, however, this operating point is on the absolute cavitation limit line. It is not logical that such a flow is utilized often and it would not be prudent to recommend a flow which could cause damage to the turbine. As you can see on the chart, the guaranteed cavitation limit line intersects at about 6150 cfs. The licenses to be issued for this plant will be changed to allow the maximum amounts permitted, thus bringing the city's rights for the plant to a total of 6000 cfs.

Plant output for the "Upper" and "Lower" plants calculates turbine flows below what has already been recommended by the Department, thus the figures recommended in our previous correspondence are generous and are the maximum to be considered for licensing.

One final note is that the figures recommended by the city's engineer for the "Upper" plant are considerably higher than what the city has water rights to cover, even after including the maximum rates permitted by the rights currently under review for that plant as well.


MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Kent Foster
November 15, 1994
Page 2

I have again enclosed licensing amendments and a relinquishment form to finalize the licensing processing of these permits. Note that the amendment for Permit 01-07024 has been changed to the permitted rate of 1460.0 cfs to bring the total licensed amount for the "City" Plant to 6000.0 cfs.

If you have any further questions or concerns, feel free to give me a call at 327-7947.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "Jim Johnson", followed by a horizontal line.

JIM JOHNSON, Manager
Water Right Permits Section

Enclosures

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

MEMORANDUM

November 8, 1994

To: ~~Jim Johnson and Sharla Curtis~~ ^{File}

From: Jeff Peppersack

Re: City of Idaho Falls Hydropower

I have reviewed the information submitted by the City dated October 4, 1994 and other information from the water right files including the 1986 CH2M Hill report.

In order to gain some understanding of the relationship between plant output and turbine flows I plotted the peak plant outputs submitted by the City onto the Hill diagram from the CH2M Hill report (Figure 4.) which shows the operating conditions for each plant. Plant output was calculated as recommended in the report.

From the plot it can be seen that at 7.58 MW the turbine flow at the City plant would be about 6500 cfs. Note that this operating point is on the absolute cavitation limit line. Although turbine flow at the plant may have been near 6500 cfs it does not seem prudent to recommend a flow which could cause damage to the turbine. The guaranteed cavitation limit line intersects at about 6150 cfs. This flow would appear to be a reasonable upper limit for the plant.

Plant output for the Upper and Lower plants gives turbine flows below that already recommended by the Department.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Law Offices
HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO, P.L.L.C.

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS, P.A.
DALE W. STORER
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS, P.A.
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
FREDERICK J. HAHN, III
JAMES K. SLAVENS

WEST ONE BANK BLDG.
330 SHOUP AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR
P.O. BOX 50130
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
TELEFAX (208) 523-9518

RECEIVED
OCT 13 1994

Department of Water Resources

Arthur W. Holden
(1877-1967)
Robert B. Holden
(1911-1971)
Terry L. Crapo
(1939-1982)
William S. Holden
(1907-1988)

October 10, 1994

Mr. Jim Johnson
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

Re: Permit Nos. 01-07013 / 07014 / 07015 / 07023 / 07024 / 07025

Dear Mr. Johnson:

We have now received a letter from the City of Idaho Falls Chief Electrical Engineer containing that department's comments relating to the proposed licenses, permit amendments, and relinquishment form which you sent to us some time ago. With the permission of the engineer, we have elected to send you the original of his letter to us with all of the attachments for your further consideration.

Would you please review this material and feel free to call us for any additional information that might be helpful in order to make appropriate adjustments. We will look forward to hearing from you when it is convenient.

Very truly yours,



Kent W. Foster

Enclosure

c. Mark Gendron
G:\WPDATA\SANDRA\KWFLETTE\00JIM01.LTR:sjw

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



ELECTRIC DIVISION

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

P.O. BOX 50220
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405-0220

RECEIVED OCT

6 1994

PHONE (208) 529-1430

October 4, 1994

Mr. Kent Foster
Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo
P.O. Box 50130
Idaho Falls, ID 83405

Dear Kent:

After review of your letter of March 21, 1994, transmitting proposed licenses, permit amendments, and a relinquishment form from IDWR relating to the Bulb Turbine Project, FERC No. 2842, we have the following comments:

The IDWR has used the CH₂M Hill generation report as a basis for establishing turbine capacities, and claim to have confirmed those capacities from power production records. After review of our records, we have determined the proposed capacities to be deficient for all three plants. Using the formulas from the CH₂M Hill report, actual generation records obtained from the Bonneville Power Administration; billing records, river flow information from the USGS SIFI and SHYI gauges, turbine efficiencies from manufacturer generator tests, we have preliminarily determined turbine flow capacities to be:

Upper Plant	:	6,200 cfs ¹
City Plant	:	6,700 cfs
Lower Plant	:	8,700 cfs

The calculations are attached. The generation MW output used to calculate flow was not necessarily the highest on record, but the highest in 1993. This allowed utilization of the daily river flow data available to us in our office. The generation output is expressed in hourly averages. Instantaneous peaks would be equal or higher, resulting in equal or higher flow. Also, maximum generation levels do not necessarily yield the maximum turbine flow. Maximum turbine flow results from a combination of high power output, low net head, and low turbine-generator efficiency.

¹Does not include 100 cfs FERC license required bypass flow.


MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

We have not carefully evaluated turbine flow under any condition other than maximum power output. Summarizing, the flows listed above are not necessarily maximum quantities.

Also of concern is the proposed relinquishment of right 07025 (Lower Plant) and apparent reduction of rights 02049 (Upper Plant) and 02014 (City Plant). Finally, the flows for City Plant rights 04002, 00360, and 00361 do not agree with our records.

We believe that the licensed water rights for the Upper, City, and Lower Plants should be the higher of either the calculated flows herein or the sum of all old decrees, permits, and licenses, as summarized in your letter to Dale Storer dated March 25, 1986. After you have reviewed this information, please call to discuss our strategy with IDWR.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Gendron', written over a horizontal line.

Mark Gendron
Chief Engineer

MOG/180/mf
Enc.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

MAXIMUM GENERATION SUMMARY							
UPPER		CITY		LOWER		OLD LOWER	
MW	Date	MW	Date	MW	Date	MW	Date
7.20	9/2/93	7.14	8/3/93	6.93	7/9/93	3.14	6/26/93
7.25	8/9/93	7.58	7/8/93	6.96	3/7/86	3.256	7/10/86
7.30	7/16/93			6.98	8/1/85		
7.32	12/9/83			7.11	11/20/84		
				7.15	12/2/83		
				7.22	11/4/83		
				7.33	8/17/83		

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Upper Plant

Maximum Actual MW : 7.30

Date : 7/16/93 2300

River Q : 7,000 cfs

Assume Turbine Q = 6,000 cfs at SIFI gauge

From CH₂ Report:

$$HW = 4733.7 + \frac{1000}{1900}^{.667} = 4734.4$$

$$TW = 4712 + \frac{Q_t}{1500} + \frac{Q_s}{1700}^{.667} = 4716.7$$

$$HL = HW - TW - HL = 16.8 \text{ ft.}$$

$$E_T = .86 \quad E_G = .965 \quad E = .83$$

$$Q_T = \frac{(11.83)(kW)}{(NH)(E)} = \frac{(11.83)(7,300)}{(16.0)(.83)} = 6,193 \text{ cfs}$$

30 IDWR Q = 5,700

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

City Plant

Maximum Actual MW : 7.58 MW

Date : 7/8/93 1400

River Q : 8,000 cfs

Assume Turbine Q = 6,000 cfs

From CH₂ Report:

$$HW = 4694.5 + \frac{Q_s^{.667}}{7020} = 4694.9$$

$$TW = 4675.5 + \frac{Q_r}{3,500} = 4677.8$$

$$HL = \frac{Q_t^2}{6508} = .8$$

$$NH = HW - TW - HL = 16.3 \text{ ft}$$

$$E_T = .86 \quad E_G = .966 \quad E = .83$$

$$Q_T = \frac{(11.83)(kW)}{(NH)(E)} = \frac{(11.83)(7.580)}{(16.3)(.83)} = 6,628 \text{ cfs}$$

$$IDWR \ Q = 5,700 \text{ cfs}$$

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Lower Plant

Actual MW : 6.93 *

Date : 7/9/93 1800

River Q : 6,500 cfs @ SIFI gauge Assume Turbine Q = 6,000 cfs

From CH₂ Report:

$$HW = 4674.5 + \frac{Q_s^{.667}}{2424} = 4674.8$$

$$TW = 4654.6 + \frac{Q_r}{3226} = 4656.6$$

$$HL = \frac{Q_t^2}{6535} = .8$$

$$NH = HW - TW - HL = 17.4 \text{ ft}$$

$$E_G = .966 \quad E_T = .84 \quad E = .81$$

$$Q_T = \frac{(11.83)(kW)}{(NH)(E)} = \frac{(11.83)(6930)}{(17.4)(.81)} = 5,817 **$$

$$IDWR \ Q = 5,900 \text{ cfs}$$

* Actual maximum output has exceeded 6.93 MW on several occasions (3/7/86, 8/1/85, 11/20/84, 12/2/83, 11/4/83, and 8/17/83) This output was used because we have better river Q data at this time.

** Combined with the Old Lower Plant Q_T of 2831 cfs the Lower Plant total turbine flow should be 8,648 cfs.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

Old Lower Plant

Actual MW : 3.14 *

Date : 6/26/93 1400

River Q : 12,000 cfs @ SIFI gauge Assume Combined Turbine Q = 9,000 cfs

From CH₂ Report:

$$HW = 4674.5 + \frac{Q_s^{.667}}{2424} = 4675.7$$

$$TW = 4654.6 + \frac{Q_r}{3226} = 4658.3$$

$$HL = 1 \text{ ft. assumed}$$

$$NH = HW - TW - HL = 16.4 \text{ ft}$$

$$E \text{ assumed} = .8$$

$$Q_T = \frac{(11.83)(kW)}{(NH)(E)} = \frac{(11.83)(3140)}{(16.4)(.8)} = 2,831$$

* Actual maximum output has exceeded 3.14 MW (3.256 MW on 7/10/86 for example) this output was used for this calculation due the available river flow records.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
WHOLESALE POWER BILL

Box 3621

Portland, OR 97203-3621


ACTUAL PEAK DEMAND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CUSTOMER NAME - CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
POWER BILL ID - 5152307
MAILING DATE - 8/ 2/93

DEL.POINT	READING	K	LOSS FACT.	DEL.KW	MO DA HR
SUGRMILL1	399.000✓	50.00	1.000	19950	7 8 11
SUGRMILL2	449.000✓	50.00	1.000	22450	7 8 11
UPPER PNT	730.000✓	10.00	1.000	7300	7 16 23
CITY PNT	758.000✓	10.00	1.000	7580	7 8 14
OLDLWRPLT	166.000✓	10.00	1.000	1660	7 8 16
LNR PLANT	693.000✓	10.00	1.000	6930	7 9 18
WESTSDE	280.000✓	100.00	0.996	27891	7 13 14
WESTSDE/R	107.000✓	100.00	0.996	-10658	7 7 3
SGRML W	0.000✓	100.00	0.996		7 19 14
SGRML W/R	330.000✓	100.00	0.996	-32871	7 20 8
GEM/UTAH	908.000✓	25.00	0.996	22611	7 27 15
GEM/UT/RV	240.000✓	-25.00	0.996	-5977	7 7 10

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
 WHOLESALE POWER BILL

P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97203-3621


ACTUAL PEAK DEMAND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CUSTOMER NAME - CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
 POWER BILL ID - 5152307
 MAILING DATE - 8/ 2/93

DEL.POINT	READING	K	LOSS FACT.	DEL.KW	MO DA HR
SUGRMILL1	399.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	19950	7 8 11
SUGRMILL2	449.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	22450	7 8 11
UPPER PNT	730.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7300	7 16 23
CITY PNT	758.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7580	7 8 14
OLDLWRPLT	166.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	1660	7 8 16
LWR PLANT	693.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	6930	7 9 18
WESTSDE	280.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	27891	7 13 14
WESTSDE/R	107.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-10658	7 7 3
SGRML W	0.000 ✓	100.00	0.996		7 19 14
SGRML W/R	330.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-32871	7 20 8
GEM/UTAH	908.000 ✓	25.00	0.996	22611	7 27 15
GEM/UT/RV	240.000 ✓	-25.00	0.996	-5977	7 7 10

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
WHOLESALE POWER BILL

Box 3621

Portland, OR 97208-3621

ACTUAL PEAK DEMAND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CUSTOMER NAME - CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
POWER BILL ID - 5152306
MAILING DATE - 7/ 2/93

DEL.POINT	READING	K	LOSS FACT.	DEL.KW	MO DA HR
SUGRMILL1	475.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	23750	6 5 10
SUGRMILL2	535.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	26750	6 5 10
UPPER PNT	719.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7190	6 18 6
CITY PNT	738.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7380	6 26 13
OLDLWRPLT	314.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	3140	6 26 14
LWR PLANT	687.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	6870	6 28 17
WESTSDE	291.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	28997	6 15 14
WESTSDE/R	42.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-4184	6 1 3
SGRML W	0.000 ✓	100.00	0.996		
SGRML W/R	319.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-31776	6 5 14
GEM/UTAH	914.000 ✓	25.00	0.996	22761	6 27 17
GEM/UT/RV	238.000 ✓	-25.00	0.996	-5927	6 27 7


MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

J.LINGER

06:30

28-JUN-93



MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997



VOEST-ALPINE AG
Maschinenbau
MACHINERY DEPARTMENT

IDAHO FALLS
HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
S.O. 5644/10714

Part Page
III /A 1

A. MAIN DATA OF TURBINE

1. General

- type	KAPLAN BULBTURBINE
- manufacturer	VOEST-ALPINE
- number of units	3
- rated discharge	6000 cfs
- rated output	8300 kW
- rated speed	94,73 RPM
- runaway speed	240 RPM
- max. speed rise	45 %
- direction of rotation in view of water flow direction	clockwise
- turbine starting time	2,2 s
- starting time of water ways	3,1 s
- rated nethead	18 ft
- max. nethead	20,1 ft
- min. nethead	13,3 ft
- max. pressure rise	10 %
- cross section intake	44,75ft x 28,52ft (1276,27ft ²)
- cross section outlet	31,58ft x 22ft (694,76ft ²)
- flywheel effect turbine	7,775.10 ⁵ lb ft
- flywheel effect generator	3,57.10 ⁶ lb ft

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
WHOLESALE POWER BILL

P.O. Box 3621

Portland, OR 97203-3621


ACTUAL PEAK DEMAND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CUSTOMER NAME - CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
POWER BILL ID - 5152307
MAILING DATE - 8/ 2/93

DEL.POINT	READING	K	LOSS FACT.	DEL.KW	MO DA HR
SUGRMILL1	399.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	19950	7 8 11
SUGRMILL2	449.000 ✓	50.00	1.000	22450	7 8 11
UPPER PNT	730.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7300	7 16 23
CITY PNT	758.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	7580	7 8 14
OLDLWRPLT	166.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	1660	7 8 16
LWR PLANT	693.000 ✓	10.00	1.000	6930	7 9 18
WESTSDE	280.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	27891	7 13 14
WESTSDE/R	107.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-10658	7 7 3
SGRML W	0.000 ✓	100.00	0.996		7 19 14
SGRML W/R	330.000 ✓	100.00	0.996	-32871	7 20 8
GEM/UTAH	908.000 ✓	25.00	0.996	22611	7 27 15
GEM/UT/RV	240.000 ✓	-25.00	0.996	-5977	7 7 10

MICROFILMED

DEC 8 1997



MICROFILMED
DEC 6 1997

LAW OFFICES
HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO

RECEIVED

JUN 23 1994

Department of Water Resources

IDAHO FALLS OFFICE

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS
DALE W. STORER
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS, P.A.
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
JAMES K. SLAVENS

WEST ONE BANK
P.O. BOX 50130
330 SHOUP AVENUE
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
TELEFAX (208) 523-9518

KEY FINANCIAL CENTER
702 W. IDAHO SUITE 810
BOISE, IDAHO 83702

TELEPHONE (208) 343-0820
TELEFAX (208) 343-8078

BOISE OFFICE

TODD J. WILCOX
FREDERICK J. HAHN III
RONALD D. CHRISTIAN

ARTHUR W. HOLDEN
(1877-1967)
ROBERT B. HOLDEN
(1911-1971)
TERRY L. CRAPO
(1939-1982)
WILLIAM S. HOLDEN
(1907-1988)

June 21, 1994

Mr. Jim Johnson
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

Dear Mr. Johnson:

After receipt of the proposed licenses which you sent, we asked the city's electrical department to review them as you know. There were a number of questions and concerns that arose.

First, as the engineers began to compare the proposed licenses with the actual use of water through the turbines and their capacities, it became apparent that there were significant discrepancies. The engineers then went to the proof of beneficial use forms and the field exam reports and concluded that those documents don't contain the total plant capacities or actual amounts used, but rather appear only to address the extra amounts used under the two extra permits for each plant. For whatever reason, the amounts previously appropriated appear not to have been considered in preparation of the proof of beneficial use or the field exams, presumably because such rights were not at issue in connection with the licensing of the above numbered permits.

Also, at the lower plant, the exams and reports didn't even take into consideration the existence of the old machines which are still used as well as the newer bulb turbine.

The engineers are trying to prepare documentation which will provide an understandable record of both water diversion and power production by all generators at each

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

Mr. Jim Johnson

June 21, 1994

Page 2

plant since the installation of the three bulb turbines. As soon as that information is available, we will provide it to you.

Then, you may wish to visit with the city engineers yourself. You would of course even be welcome to come and personally tour the facilities at your convenience.

We will probably be in touch again soon. Thank you for your continued consideration in this matter.

Very truly yours,



Kent W. Foster

G:\WPDATA\SANDRA\00JOHN01.LTR:sjw

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

June 14, 1994

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
C/O G S HARRISON
PO BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS ID 83402

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013, 01-07014, 01-07015, 01-07023,
01-07024 and 01-07025,

Dear Water Right Holder:

On March 3, 1994 we sent you copies of proposed licenses for Water Right Nos. 01-07014, 01-07015 and 01-07024; Amendment forms for Water Right Nos. 01-07013 and 01-07023 and a Relinquishment form for Water Right No. 01-07025.

On March 31, 1994 we received your letter of March 29, 1994 stating that your city engineers were reviewing the paperwork, and asking that we hold the matter in abeyance until they had time to complete their review.

We would appreciate knowing what progress you have made to date, and when we can expect to receive the Amendment forms back so that we can continue processing those rights. If you have any questions please call me at (208) 327-7947.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jim Johnson', written over the typed name and title.

JIM JOHNSON
Water Rights Supervisor

JJ:dc

Enclosure

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

LAW OFFICES
HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO

IDAHO FALLS OFFICE

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS
DALE W. STORER
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS, P.A.
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
JAMES K. SLAVENS

WEST ONE BANK
P.O. BOX 50130
330 SHOUP AVENUE
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
TELEFAX (208) 523-9518

KEY FINANCIAL CENTER
702 W. IDAHO SUITE 810
BOISE, IDAHO 83702

TELEPHONE (208) 343-0820
TELEFAX (208) 343-8078

BOISE OFFICE

TODD J. WILCOX
FREDERICK J. HAHN III
RONALD D. CHRISTIAN

ARTHUR W. HOLDEN
(1877-1967)
ROBERT B. HOLDEN
(1911-1971)
TERRY L. CRAPO
(1939-1982)
WILLIAM S. HOLDEN
(1907-1988)

March 29, 1994

Mr. Jim Johnson
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

RECEIVED

MAR 31 1994

Department of Water Resources

RE: The City of Idaho Falls Bulb Turbine Licenses

Dear Jim:

We have recently received your letter and the attached proposed licenses. We have sent a full set to the city engineers for review and have been advised that it will take some time before they are able to complete that. Would you please hold this matter in abeyance until we are able to get back to you. Thank you for your continued assistance.

Yours very truly,



Kent W. Foster

G:\WPDATA\KWF\0518\00IDWR01.LTR

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

March 3, 1994

RE: Permit Nos. 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

Kent Foster
Holden, Kidwell, Hahn & Crapo
P.O. Box 50130
Idaho Falls, ID 83405

Dear Mr. Foster:

After an extensive review of the above referenced permits, we are ready to finalize the licensing process.

Enclosed are copies of the proposed licenses for Permit Nos. 01-07014, 01-07015 and 01-07024. Permit Nos. 01-07013 and 01-07023 require amendments due to changes in the point of diversion locations; the amendments have also been enclosed for signature.

A relinquishment form has been enclosed for Permit No. 01-07025. Since the capacity of 5800 cfs is covered by the two previous decreed and claimed rights and the license to be issued on Permit 01-07014, there is no additional water to be appropriated under the second permit.

Below is a compilation of rights covering the three plants, including the rights to now be licensed. The turbine capacities are stated in the Turbine Evaluation & Energy Generation report prepared by CH2M HILL. These capacities are confirmed by power production records and have been used as the capacities upon which the licenses are based.

Upper Plant -

01-02047	-	500 cfs
01-02049	-	1080 cfs (see enclosed Stipulation)
01-04003	-	580 cfs
01-07013*	-	2600 cfs
01-07023*	-	<u>940 cfs</u>
		5700 cfs

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

Kent Foster
March 3, 1994
Page 2

Central Plant -

01-00040	-	140 cfs	
01-00041	-	48 cfs	
01-02014	-	485 cfs	(see enclosed Stipulation)
01-04002	-	388 cfs	
01-00360	-	394 cfs	
01-00361	-	485 cfs	
01-07015*	-	2600 cfs	
01-07024*	-	<u>1160 cfs</u>	
		5700 cfs	

Lower Plant -

01-00281	-	1500 cfs	
01-04001	-	1240 cfs	
01-07014*	-	3060 cfs	5100
01-07025	-	<u>not needed</u>	700
		5800 cfs	

If you concur with this information, please sign the relinquishment form and the two amendments. These documents can then be returned to us along with the amendment fees so that licenses can be issued. If you have any questions, feel free to give me a call at 327-7947.

Sincerely,



JIM JOHNSON
Water Rights Supervisor

Enclosures

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

MEMORANDUM

TO: Files 01-07013/07014/07015/07023/07024/07025

FROM: Sharla

DATE: February 3, 1994

RE: Plant Capacities and Licensing Rates of Diversion

Rights 01-07014/07015/07024/07025 were sent to Norm for license signature in June of 1993. They were returned by Norm with questions concerning plant capacities and flow rates to be licensed. After extensive review, the following summation is given.

In documentation contained in Right 01-07014, Skip Jones made some math and flow computations based on a formula provided by Internation Engineer for determining flow (Kilowatts = flow x head/14, thus $Q = kW \times 14/18$). Skip's calculation was based on plant records of kWh's and kW's from 1985 to 1987. While it appeared that November of 1985 had the highest kW output, comparison of the corresponding column (kWh) for that month with other similar kWh data, it appears that the 9,760 kW should be 6760 kW. Therefore, the data from March of 1986 was appropriately used to determine maximum generation.

The measuring device requirement under these rights was changed to require information &/or flow data to reflect the rate of flow beneficially used. While the data supplied with the exams is not as technically complete as would be preferred, the plant generating records are sufficient for calculation using the method stated above. Further compliance with the condition does not appear to need addressing.

In a listing of water rights covering these three bulb turbine plants which is contained in 01-07014, there were several errors in amount of cfs under some rights. Further investigation of adjudication claims and previous licenses revealed a Stipulation prepared in 1980 which set out the amounts to be recognized under 01-02014/02049 if ever included in a future adjudication. The ongoing SRBA will have to recognize these reduced amounts and the

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

reductions were considered when evaluating the flow needing to yet be licensed. The listing of rights covering the plants are as follows:

Upper Plant -

01-02047	-	500 cfs
01-02049	-	1080 cfs
01-04003	-	580 cfs
01-07013*	-	2600 cfs
01-07023*	-	<u>940 cfs</u>
		5700 cfs

Central Plant -

01-00040	-	140 cfs
01-00041	-	48 cfs
01-02014	-	485 cfs
01-04002	-	388 cfs
01-00360	-	394 cfs
01-00361	-	485 cfs
01-07015*	-	2600 cfs
01-07024*	-	<u>1160 cfs</u>
		5700 cfs

Lower Plant -

01-00281	-	1500 cfs
01-04001	-	1240 cfs
01-07014*	-	3060 cfs
01-07025	-	<u>not needed</u>
		5800 cfs

The turbine capacities are stated in the Turbine Evaluation & Energy Generation report prepared by CH2M HILL. These capacities are very close to the capacities determined when using the calculation described at the beginning of this memo. Therefore, the turbine capacities found in the report have been used as the capacities upon which the licenses are based.

*to be licensed

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

RECEIVED

JUL 02 1993

Department of Water Resources

LAW OFFICES

HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO

IDAHO FALLS OFFICE

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS, P.A.
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
KUMEN L. TAYLOR
JAMES K. SLAVENS

WEST ONE BANK
P.O. BOX 50130
330 SHOUP AVENUE
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
TELEFAX (208) 523-9518

KEY FINANCIAL CENTER
702 W. IDAHO SUITE 810
BOISE, IDAHO 83702

TELEPHONE (208) 343-0820
TELEFAX (208) 343-8078

BOISE OFFICE

WM. LYMAN BELNAP, P.A.
RANDALL B. REED
FREDERICK J. HAHN III
RONALD D. CHRISTIAN

ARTHUR W. HOLDEN
(1877-1967)
ROBERT B. HOLDEN
(1911-1971)
TERRY L. CRAPO
(1939-1982)
WILLIAM S. HOLDEN
(1907-1988)

June 30, 1993

Jim Johnson, Water Right Supervisor
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

RE: City of Idaho Falls Bulb Turbine Project

Dear Jim:

I believe we spoke briefly by telephone a few weeks ago about six permits for the City of Idaho Falls bulb turbine power plants. This letter is intended just as a follow-up since I have been asked to follow the matter until the licenses have been issued.

You will probably recall that there are three bulb turbine plants; we call them the "Upper Plant", the "Central Plant" and the "Lower Plant". They were completed and came on line in about 1980 or 1981. There are two permits for the Upper Plant (01-07013 and 01-07023), two for the Central Plant (01-07015 and 01-07024), and two for the Lower Plant (01-07014 and 01-07025). It appears the Proof of Beneficial Use was submitted in 1983 for all six permits, and the field exam was done in 1988.

As I recall, when we visited by telephone, we mentioned to you that each of the plants is a replacement of a former smaller plant at each site. The city started producing electricity at its first plant in about 1900, and over the years there have been a number of increases at each site until the culmination of the bulb turbine project of the late 70s and early 80s.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

Jim Johnson, Water Right Supervisor
June 30, 1993
Page 2

If we can provide information or be of service in any manner to help finish the licensing process on these six permits, we hope you will feel free to call upon us. We will look forward to hearing from you as soon as it is convenient.

Yours very truly,



Kent W. Foster

#0518.00/srs

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1987

MEMORANDUM

TO: Files 01-07015/07024/07025

FROM: Brenda Chapman

DATE: May 26, 1993

RE: Documentation

=====

See file 01-07014 for copies of the following documents:

- 1) The Turbine Evaluation and Energy Generation Draft Report for the City of Idaho Falls prepared by CH2M HILL of Boise.
- 2) Power Purchase Agreement between the Bonneville Power Administration and the City of Idaho Falls.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
WATER RIGHT PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	CITY OF IDAHO FALLS C/O G S HARRISON PO BOX 220 IDAHO FALLS ID 83402 (208) 529-1430	11/09/1977	POWER	01-01 12-31	2600.00 CFS	1882341.0 AFA
TOTAL DIVERSION:					2600.00 CFS	1882341.0 AFA

Water Source: SNAKE RIVER

Tributary to: COLUMBIA RIVER

Stage: LICENSE

Point(s) of Diversion Capacity: 3100.00 CFS

Point(s) of Diversion: T02N R37E S24 SE NE

Place of use Legal Description for: POWER

NE				NW				SW				SE			
NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE
T	R	S	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac
02N	37E	24	:	P	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

Pou County: BONNEVILLE

Conditions of Approval:

- R23 - The water right confirmed in this license for hydropower purposes shall be junior and subordinate to all rights to the use of water, other than hydropower, within the State of Idaho that are initiated later in time than the priority of this license and shall not give rise to any right or claim against any future rights to the use of water, other than hydropower, within the State of Idaho initiated later in time than the priority of this license.
- R04 - Use of water under this water right will be regulated by the watermaster of State Water District No. 01.
- 08A - This right is subject to the provisions of Sections 42-205 through 42-210, Idaho Code, restricting the sale, transfer, assignment, or mortgage of this right. Failure to comply with these provisions is cause for immediate cancellation of this right.
- 017 - This project shall be operated in a manner that will not conflict or interfere with the future diversion of water for irrigation or other beneficial consumption.
- 027 - Use of water under this right shall be non-consumptive.

- Remarks: ① Use of water under this license shall not cause fluctuation in the flows of the Snake River which impairs the ability of prior right holders to divert their water.
- ② The diversion and use of water under this license is subject to review by the Director after the date of expiration of license 2842 issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Upon appropriate findings relative to the interest of the public, the Director may cancel all or any part of the use authorized herein

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
WATER RIGHT PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

Remarks: and may revise, delete or add conditions under which the right
may be exercised.

- ③ This license is subject to revocation pursuant to Section 42-350,
Idaho Code.
- ④ This power facility is known locally as the Three City Bulb
Turbine Plants.

Dates: App. Received: 11/09/1977 Priority Date: 11/09/1977
Proof Due Date: 04/01/1983 Proof Made Date: 02/18/1983
Exam Made Date: 01/11/1988 Exam Sent to S.O.: 03/16/1988
Licensed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: 01 Field Exam Fee:

Audit: 05/27/93 CHAPMAN

LICENSE REVIEW CHECKLIST

Permit # 01-7015 Date 5-26-93 Brenda Chapman

	Yes	No	
NAME	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Address Change?
PRIORITY DATE	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Advance?
	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Memo to Advance
	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Order to Reinstate Needed?
TOTAL CFS	<u>-NA-</u>		Incorrect Fee for rate recommended?
	<u>-NA-</u>		Measurement Done
	<u>-NA-</u>		Pumping Theoretical $(8.8) \times () \times (.7)$
			$(+ [2.31 \times])$
	<u>✓</u>		System Capacity <u>3100 CFS</u>
			Allowable Amount: <u>2600 CFS</u>
TOTAL VOLUME			Rate/ac <u> </u> AFA Irr. Season <u> </u>
			Standard Irr. Season <u> </u>
			Season Recommended <u> </u>
	<u>✓</u>		Disagree with Examiner's Calculations?
	<u>✓</u>		Flow Limit: <u>2600</u> x <u>365</u> x 1.9835 = <u>1882341</u>
SOURCE	<u>✓</u>		Changes? Clarification of <u>Originally</u>
	<u>✓</u>		<u>Intended</u> source
	<u>✓</u>		Notice of Intent to Void.
P/D	<u>✓</u>		Amendment Needed? <u>Correct to SE NE SEC 24</u>
	<u>✓</u>		Overlap Analysis a Consideration?
P/U	<u>✓</u>		Amendment Needed?
	<u>✓</u>		Overlap Analysis for 5+ Acres Needed?
USES	<u>✓</u>		Change(s) in Nature of Use? <u> </u>
	<u>✓</u>		Amendment Needed?
REMARKS	<u>✓</u>		Multiple P/Ds in 1/4 1/4? <u> </u>
	<u>✓</u>		Non-Irr. Uses <u>POWER</u>
	<u>✓</u>		Special Overlap Condition
	<u>✓</u>		Other <u>(SEE 01-07013) Special Remarks</u>
CONDITIONS	<u>CHANGED</u>		Measuring Devices
R23	<u>RO4</u>		W/Master Control
08A	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Standard/Irr/Overlap
017	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	Water Quality
027			Dates/Misc
			<u>01</u> Water Dist
			<u>✓</u> App Rec'd
			<u>✓</u> Exam Made
COMMENTS:	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>			
<u> </u>			

LICENSE ✓ AMEND SPECIAL PARAGRAPH

(L)

RECEIVED

MAY 20 1993

LAW OFFICES

HOLDEN, KIDWELL, HAHN & CRAPO

Department of Water Resources
BOISE OFFICE

IDAHO FALLS OFFICE

FRED J. HAHN
KENT W. FOSTER
ROBERT E. FARNAM
WILLIAM D. FALER
STEPHEN E. MARTIN
CHARLES A. HOMER
GARY L. MEIKLE
GAYLE A. SORENSON, P.A.
DONALD L. HARRIS
MARIE T. TYLER
JOHN G. SIMMONS, P.A.
ROBERT M. FOLLETT
KUMEN L. TAYLOR
JAMES K. SLAVENS

WEST ONE BANK
P.O. BOX 50130
330 SHOUP AVENUE
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83405

TELEPHONE (208) 523-0620
TELEFAX (208) 523-9518

KEY FINANCIAL CENTER
702 W. IDAHO SUITE 810
BOISE, IDAHO 83702

TELEPHONE (208) 343-0820
TELEFAX (208) 343-8078

WM. LYMAN BELNAP, P.A.
RANDALL B. REED
FREDERICK J. HAHN III
RONALD D. CHRISTIAN

ARTHUR W. HOLDEN
(1877-1967)
ROBERT B. HOLDEN
(1911-1971)
TERRY L. CRAPO
(1939-1982)
WILLIAM S. HOLDEN
(1907-1988)

May 18, 1993

Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard Street
Statehouse Mail
Boise, Idaho 83720

Idaho Department of Water Resources
900 North Skyline Drive
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-1718

Gentlemen:

In a recent review of some of the City of Idaho Falls' water rights, we discovered that a number of the potential licenses for water rights used for power production at the Three City Bulb Turbine Plants have never been issued. The following is a list of such rights: 01-7013, 01-7014, 01-7015, 01-7023, 01-7024 and 01-7025.

It appears that for each of these rights the proof of beneficial use was filed in 1983 and the field exams completed in 1988. We wonder if you would check these items to determine what the cause of the delay in issuing the licenses might be and advise us. If there is something the city needs to be doing in this regard, we would appreciate learning of that as well. Thank you for your kind attention to this matter.

Yours very truly,



Kent W. Foster

#0518.00/srs

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

RECEIVED
MAR 16 1990 *my* *PSZ*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION *Department of Water Resources*

City of Idaho Falls

Project No. 2952-0247
Idaho

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME
(Issued March 12, 1990)

The licensee for the Gem State Project has requested an extension of time to comply with ordering paragraph B of the Commission's Order Approving Riparian Habitat Mitigation Plan with Modification issued September 29, 1988. The reasons advanced by the licensee in support of the requested extension of time are reasonable and justify the extension.

The Director orders:

(A) The deadline for filing the first annual riparian habitat mitigation monitoring report according to ordering paragraph B is extended to December 31, 1990.

(B) This order is issued under authority delegated to the Director and is final unless appealed to the Commission under Rule 1902 within 30 days from the date of its issuance.

J. M. Robinson
J. Mark Robinson
Director, Division of Project
Compliance and Administration

DC-A-5

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

01-7053/7066 (Shelley/Hall #3090)
01-7025/7024/7023/7015/7014/7013 (Hall #2812)
01-2047/2049 (2812)
01-4001/4003/01-0360/0361
(D. Hall #29)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

City of Idaho Falls

Project No. 2842-012
IdahoORDER APPROVING REVISED EXHIBIT K DRAWINGS
(Issued October 19, 1989)

On October 3, 1988, the City of Idaho Falls, licensee for the Upper Power Plant Project, FERC Project No. 2842, filed revised Exhibit K drawings in response to a letter dated April 19, 1988 from the Director, Division of Project Compliance and Administration.

The Exhibit K drawings have been revised to more accurately reflect the project boundary under all operating conditions and conform to the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

The Director orders:

(A) The following revised Exhibit K drawings are approved and made a part of the license:

Exhibit	FERC No.	Drawing Title
K-1	2842-77	Project Boundary-Sheet 1 of 9
K-1	2842-78	Project Boundary-Sheet 2 of 9
K-1	2842-79	Project Boundary-Sheet 3 of 9
K-1	2842-80	Project Boundary-Sheet 4 of 9
K-1	2842-81	Project Boundary-Sheet 5 of 9
K-1	2842-82	Project Boundary-Sheet 6 of 9
K-1	2842-83	Project Boundary-Sheet 7 of 9
K-1	2842-84	Project Boundary-Sheet 8 of 9
K-1	2842-85	Project Boundary-Sheet 9 of 9

(B) Exhibit K drawing numbers 2842-3 and 2842-4 are superseded and removed from the license.

(C) Within 90 days of the date of issuance of this order, the licensee shall file an original of the approved Exhibit K drawings reproduced on silver or gelatin 35mm microfilm mounted on Type D (3 1/4" x 7 3/8") aperture cards for each drawing. In addition, the licensee shall file two Diazo-type duplicate aperture cards. The original set and one duplicate set of aperture cards should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission. The remaining duplicate set of aperture cards should be filed with the Commission's Portland Regional Office. The FERC drawing numbers (2842-77 through 2842-85) shall be shown in the margin below the title block of the microfilmed drawing and also in the upper right corner of each aperture card. The top

DC-A-8

*See
Attachment*

01-7015

-2-

lines of the aperture cards shall show the FERC Exhibit (i.e., K-1, K-2), Project Number, Drawing Title, and date of this order.

(D) This order is issued under authority delegated to the Director and is final unless appealed to the Commission under Rule 1902 within 30 days from the date of this order. Failure to file a petition appealing this order to the Commission shall constitute acceptance of this order.

J. Mark Robinson
J. Mark Robinson
Director, Division of Project
Compliance and Administration

RECEIVED
OCT 26 1989

Department of Water Resources

DEC 04 1987
MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

POWER RIGHTS FOR CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
DIVERTING FROM SNAKE RIVER

✓ 0107066
✓ 0107025
✓ 0107024
✓ 0107023
✓ 0107015
✓ 0107014
✓ 0107013
✓ 0107018
✓ 0102047
✓ 0102049
0104001
0104003
0100360
0100361

RECEIVED

MAY 09 1989

01-7013-7015
01-7018/7023-01-7025
01-2047/2049

Department of Water Resources
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION 47 FERC ¶ 61,115

Before Commissioners: Martha O. Hesse, Chairman;
Charles G. Stalon, Charles A. Trabandt,
Elizabeth Anne Moler and Jerry J. Langdon.

City of Idaho Falls, Idaho) Project Nos. 2842-013 and
-017

ORDER DISMISSING REQUESTS FOR REHEARING AND APPROVING
AMENDMENT OF LICENSE AND REVISED EXHIBIT R

(Issued April 27, 1989)

By order issued June 24, 1988, the Director, Division of Project Compliance and Administration (Director), denied the request of the City of Idaho Falls, Idaho (licensee), filed March 22, 1988, to amend its license by deleting a requirement to install shore-to-shore buoy lines from the licensee's Exhibit R (recreation use plan) drawings for Project No. 2842. 1/ Based on recommendations and a site inspection by personnel of the Commission's Portland, Oregon Regional Office (PRO), the Director rejected the licensee's proposal to install warning signs in lieu of the required buoy lines. 2/ The Director noted that the PRO's

See Order Approving in Part and Modifying in Part a Revised Exhibit R, 43 FERC ¶ 62,366 (1988). The Director's order also revised the Exhibit R to require: (1) seasonal installation of the buoy lines between May 1 and September 30 each year, beginning in 1989; and (2) permanent installation of (a) warning signs at four locations upstream from the buoy lines to warn boaters of the project's three dam locations and (b) warning horns at the spillway gates to alert persons downstream from the project of sudden water releases.

- 2/ The license for Project No. 2842 was issued on February 8, 1979. See 6 FERC ¶ 61,120 (1979). Article 42 of the license (id. at p. 61,219) required the licensee to file for Commission approval a revised Exhibit R within one year from the date of issuance of the license. The licensee's Exhibit R, as filed on May 30, 1980, and approved November 16, 1981 (17 FERC ¶ 62,240 (1981)), included buoy lines in the project drawings.

Project Nos. 2842-013
and 2842-017

-2-

site inspection revealed that recreational boaters were coming dangerously close to the project's diversion structures. 3/

On July 25, 1988, the licensee timely filed an appeal of the Director's order, challenging the buoy-line requirement. On July 26, 1988, the Bonneville County, Idaho Sheriff's Department (Department) filed a request for intervention in the proceeding and a late appeal of the Director's order. By order issued October 7, 1988, the Commission granted the Department's intervention request and denied the appeals. 4/ The Commission found that the project's ungated spillways posed a hazard for recreationists and that the buoy lines would provide a needed additional measure of safety that warning signs alone could not provide. 5/

- 2/ Project No. 2842 is located on the Snake River in Bonneville County, Idaho, and consists of three run-of-river hydroelectric developments designated Upper Plant, City Plant, and Lower Plant. Upper Plant includes two combination concrete and earthfill dams, one 600 feet long and 23 feet high and the other 470 feet long and 33 feet high, and a reservoir with 800 gross acre-feet of capacity and 100 acres of surface area. City Plant includes a concrete dam and diversion structure 1,970 feet long and 30 feet high and a reservoir with 400 gross acre-feet of capacity and 50 acres of surface area. Lower Plant includes a concrete dam 930 feet long and 16 feet high and a reservoir with 800 acre-feet of capacity and 100 acres of surface area.

- 4/ See 45 FERC ¶ 61,042 (1988). Based on the Department's contentions that the buoy lines might hinder its rescue operations in the Snake River near the project, the Commission also required the licensee to file, by November 7, 1988, and after consultation with the Department, either a request to change the location of the buoy lines, with appropriately revised Exhibit R drawings, or a letter stating that no change in the buoy-line locations is needed. Id. at p. 61,139, note 21. After two extensions of time (see notices extending deadline, issued November 15, and December 27, 1988 (unpublished)), the licensee filed its supplemental Exhibit R drawings on January 23, 1989.

- 5/ 45 FERC ¶ 61,042, SUBRA, at p. 61,136-140. The Commission (id. at pp. 61,136-37) also based its decision on Section 12.42 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 12.42 (1988)), which states that,

(continued...)

DEC 04 1987

RECORDED

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
BENEFICIAL USE FIELD REPORT

RECEIVED
MAR 17 1988

Department of Water Resources

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Permit No. 01-7015
Phone No. 529-1430
1. Owner: CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
Current Address: P.O. BOX 220 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83402
2. Accompanied by: DEE LEWIS EXAM. DATE: 11 JAN. '88
Address: P.O. BOX 220 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83402 Phone No. 529-1430
Relationship to Permit Holder: EMPLOYEE
3. Source: SNAKE RIVER tributary to COLUMBIA RIVER

B. OVERLAP REVIEW

1. Other water rights with same place of use: 01-7024
☐ Copies Attached
2. Other water rights with same point of diversion: 01-7024
☐ Copies Attached ☒ Copies of Field Exam's Attached

C. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

1. **Diversion System Diagram:** Indicate all major components and distances between components. Indicate weir size/ditch size/pipe i.d. as applicable. ☐ Alternative diagram attached

SEE ATTACHED GENERAL LAYOUT DRAWING # LCC-10-901 RZ
COMPLETE PLANS ARE AVAILABLE AT THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Scale: 1" = NA

2. ☒ Copy of U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Attached
Showing location(s) of point(s) of diversion and
place(s) of use (required).
☒ Photo of Diversion and System Attached
(required for all but single household domestic groundwater, and stockwater.)
☒ Aerial Photo Attached
(required for irrigation of 10 acres or more).

System Description (continued)

3. **Narrative:** Description (As operating at time of measurement. Indicate pressure, number of sprinklers, etc.)

AT THIS LOCATION THE RIVER IS SPLIT BY A LONG NARROW DAM.
THIS FORCES THE RIVER INTO A POWER CANAL ALONG THE EAST
SIDE WITH THE ABILITY TO SPILL TO THE WEST SIDE. THIS CREATES
THE IDAHO FALLS. THE RESULTING LAKE IS REGULATED FOR POWER
PRODUCTION, SCENIC & RECREATION USE.

☐ See Remarks on page 4 for continuation

4. **TURBINE**

Well or Diversion Identification No.*	Motor Make	Hp	TURBINE Motor Serial No.	Pump Make	Pump Serial No. or Discharge Size
VOEST-ALPINE	—	83 MW	MB9-059/2	'NA'	'NA'
CITY PLANT					

*Code to correspond with No. on map and aerial photo

5. **Point(s) of Diversion:**

Ident. No.	Gov't. Lot	1/4	1/4	1/4	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	County	Method of Determination/Remarks
			SW	NE	13	2N	37E	BONNEVILLE	U.S.G.S. QUAD MAP
		*	SE		24				

6. **Place(s) of Use:**

Indicate Method of Determination

Twp.	Rge.	Sec.	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	
* 2N	37E	13				P													NA
		24																	

Do not use this space

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

H. REMARKS AND OVERLAP REVIEW ANALYSIS

ON THE DATE OF THIS FIELD EXAM ONLY MINOR FLOWS ESCAPED THRU THE SPILLWAY. INCLUDED IS A MONTHLY POWER PRODUCTION CHART FOR 1985-1987. THE CITY PLANT IS CAPABLE OF PRODUCING 8.3 MEGAWATTS.

TOTAL RIGHTS & PERMITS AT THIS PLANT WILL PRODUCE APPROX 7200 KW OF POWER WHICH HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED 4 TIMES IN LAST 3 YEARS

PERMIT FLOWS WOULD GENERATE APPROX. 5,200 KW LEAVING 2,000 KW GENERATED UNDER EXISTING LICENSE & DECREE.

PRODUCTION HAS EXCEEDED 2,000 KW DURING 33 OF LAST 36 MO.

THEREFORE CITY HAS DEMONSTRATED BENEFICIAL USE OF THIS PERMIT

* Steps 4 of Turbine evaluation

AWJ

I. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Recommended Amounts

Beneficial Use	Period of Use		Rate of Diversion Q (cfs)	Annual Volume V (afa)
	From	To		
POWER GENERATION	JAN 1	DEC 31	AVAILABLE FLOW UP TO 2600 CFS.	
Totals:				

2. Recommended Amendments

☐ Change P.D. as reflected above

☐ Add P.D. as reflected above

☐ None

☐ Change P.U. as reflected above

☐ Add P.U. as reflected above

☐ Other


J. AUTHENTICATION

Field Examiner's Name DARYL KOFED

Signature Daryl Kofed P.E.

Certification Date 18 JAN '88

Field Report Preparation Date 11 JAN '88



Exam No. 87-115

K. Licensing recommendation shall be prepared by an Idaho Department of Water Resources employee on a computer printout attached hereto.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

Do not use this space

D. FLOW MEASUREMENTS

☐ Additional Data Sheets Attached

1.

Measurement Equipment	Type	Make	Model No.	Serial No.	Size	Calib. Date
VOEST-ALPINE TURBINE	KAPLAN	'NA'	'NA'	MB9-059/2	8.3 MW	1980
	BULB					

2. Measurements:

FLOW ON THIS DATE WAS DETERMINED BY POWER GENERATION USING THE
FORMULA $\frac{\text{FLOW} \times \text{HEAD}}{14} = \text{KILOWATTS}$ ON THIS DATE POWER OUTPUT
WAS 4000 KW HEAD = 18 FEET $\therefore$ FLOW = 3100 CFS

E. FLOW CALCULATIONS

☐ Additional Computation Sheets Attached

- Measured Method: ON THIS DATE THE SHELLEY GAGE WAS ICED UP GIVING AN ERRONEOUS READING OF 12,000 CFS. THE BLACKFOOT GAGE WAS OPEN AND READ 2310 CFS. LOSS TO GROUND WATER OF 500 CFS ARE
- Alternate Method for Checking Purposes: COMMONLY OBSERVED FROM SHELLEY TO BLACKFOOT. WE SHOW A LOSS OF 800 CFS FROM IDAHO FALLS TO BLACKFOOT. THIS INDICATES A REASONABLE CORRELATION WITH GAGE & POWER.

F. PUMP EFFICIENCY DATA (Optional) 'NA'

Discharge Pressure: _____ psi x 2.31 = _____ ft (1); Dynamic pumping level: _____ ft (2)
Total Head: (1) + (2) = _____ (3) ; Flow rate: _____ cfs (4)

Water HP: (3) x (4) $\div$ 8.8 = _____ (5)
Meter Input KW: 3.6 x _____ KH x _____ CTR or _____ MULT x _____ PTR x _____ N/ _____ T = _____ (6)
Meter Input HP: (6) x 1.34 = _____ (7)
Panel Input KW: _____ Ave PF x _____ Ave Volts x _____ Ave Amps x .001732 = _____ (6)
Panel Input HP: (6) x 1.34 = _____ (7) Efficiency: (5)/(7) x 100 = _____ %

G. VOLUME CALCULATIONS 'NA'

1. Volume Calculations for Irrigation:

$V_{I.R.} = (\text{Acres Irrigated}) \times (\text{Irrigation Requirement}) =$ _____
 $V_{D.R.} = [\text{Diversion Rate (cfs)}] \times (\text{Days in Irrigation Season}) \times 1.9835 =$ _____
 $V = \text{Smaller of } V_{I.R.} \text{ and } V_{D.R.} =$ _____

2. Volume Calculations for Other Uses:

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

For Office Use Only
Fee Received _____
Date _____
Receipt # _____
Receipt by _____
Reviewed by _____
Sent to region _____

STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a statement that the permit holder(s) has completed all development that will occur under this permit and that water has been applied according to the provisions of the permit for the beneficial use(s) described below. This form must be accompanied by a license examination fee or a completed field examination report prepared by a certified water right examiner who has been appointed by the department.

Permit No. 01-7015

Name(s) of Permit Holder: CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

Post Office Address: P.O. Box 220

IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83402 Telephone No. 208-529-1430

Source of Water: SNAKE RIVER

Extent of Use:

Domestic N/A (number of households) Stockwater N/A (number and type of stock)
Irrigation N/A (acres) Other/Remark POWER GENERATION

Total rate and/or volume for which proof is submitted 2600 cfs N/A acre-feet

Show the date water was first diverted and beneficially used under this permit: 7-28-82

Measuring Device: Required? ☐ Yes ☐ No Fee enclosed \$ _____
Installed? ☐ Yes ☐ No (See License Examination Fee Schedule)

Flow Measurement Port: Required? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Installed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Person to contact to accompany the Department representative during the field examination:

FIELD EXAM BY PRIVATE CONSULTANT.

(Name)

(Telephone Number)

ELLSWORTH ENGINEERING, INC.

P.O. BOX 1822 IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83403

(208) 523-1662

(Address)

The above information is my true statement of the extent to which the above numbered permit has been developed and I relinquish any undeveloped portion of the permit to the state of Idaho.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997


1-18-88
Date


[Signature] Chief Engineer
Signature of Permit Holder (and title, if appropriate)

01-7015

3671 100
(U)

112°00'
- 43°30'





UPPER POWER PLANT

1. License L.6799 (new number 01-47) for 500 cfs, with a priority of October 28, 1927.
2. License No. L.18271 (new number 01-2049) for 1500 cfs, with a priority of February 14, 1936.
3. Constitutional or claimed right (no number), for 160 cfs, with a priority of May 3, 1930.
4. Permit number 01-7013, issued March 5, 1979, for 2600 cfs with a priority of November 9, 1977.
5. Permit No. 01-7023 issued March 16, 1979, for 1240 cfs, with a priority of February 15, 1979.

Total: 6000 cfs

CITY OR CENTRAL PLANT

1. Decreed right No. 193 (new number 01-0040) for 140 cfs, with a priority of April 20, 1900.
2. Decreed Right No. 258 (new number 01-0041) for 48 cfs, with a priority of October 22, 1904.
3. License No. L.3304 (new number 01-2014) for 600 cfs, with a priority of December 3, 1907.
4. Constitutional or claimed right (no number), for 225 cfs, with a priority of February 5, 1915.
5. Constitutional or claimed right (no number), for 412 cfs, with a priority of July 18, 1919.
6. Constitutional or claimed right (no number), for 515 cfs, with a priority of October 5, 1923.
7. Permit No. 01-7015, issued March 5, 1979, for 2600 cfs, with a priority of November 9, 1977.
8. Permit No. 01-7024, issued March 16, 1979, for 460 cfs, with a priority of February 15, 1979.

Total: 6000 cfs

LOWER PLANT

1. Decreed right No. 01-0281 for 1500 cfs, with a priority of December 29, 1905.
2. Constitutional or claimed right (no number), for 1240 cfs, with a priority of October 5, 1940.
3. Permit No. 01-7015, issued March 5, 1979, for 5100 cfs, with a priority of November 9, 1977.
4. Permit No. 01-7025, issued March 16, 1979, for 1000 cfs, with a priority of February 15, 1979.

Total: 8740 cfs

1,460
MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

Month	1985		1986		1987		1988
	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	
Jan	3,198,000	6,850	4,640,000	6,470	3,637,000	6,940	
Feb	3,152,000	5,040	4,216,000	7,200	3,371,000	6,080	
Mar	4,646,000	6,860	4,587,000	7,090	3,243,000	7,060	
Apr	4,572,000	7,050	4,679,000	6,950	4,114,000	7,140	
May	4,794,000	6,730	5,019,000	6,760	3,933,000	7,180	
Jun	4,511,000	7,120	4,501,000	6,760	4,770,000	7,220	
Jul	4,671,000	6,990	4,891,000	7,020	5,088,000	7,120	
Aug	4,425,000	5,240	5,034,000	6,940	4,290,000	6,540	
Sep	2,647,000	6,800	4,791,000	7,180	3,875,000	6,150	
Oct	3,653,000	5,650	4,910,000	7,050	3,018,000	4,770	
Nov	3,886,000	6,160	4,440,000	6,980	2,597,000	4,160	
Dec	4,238,000	6,530	5,010,000	7,200	2,632,000	3,950	
TOTAL	48,393,000		56,718,000		44,568,000		

CITY PLANT

Month	1985		1986		1987		1988	
	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW
Jan	4,020,000	6,540	4,302,000	6,250	4,367,000	7,210		
Feb	3,554,000	6,450	4,186,000	7,120	3,250,000	5,950		
Mar	4,411,000	7,020	4,279,000	6,990	2,907,000	6,570		
Apr	4,270,000	6,880	3,912,000	6,630	3,690,000	7,260		
May	3,887,000	6,680	3,467,000	5,880	4,880,000	7,010		
Jun	4,026,000	7,490	4,072,000	6,560	4,636,000	7,150		
Jul	4,209,000	6,620	4,997,000	7,170	4,891,000	6,960		
Aug	3,072,000	4,860	3,201,000	6,940	3,908,000	6,230		
Sep	3,213,000	5,960	0	0	3,373,000	5,570		
Oct	3,297,000	5,630	0	0	2,682,000	4,230		
Nov	3,433,000	5,620	0	0	2,224,000	3,610		
Dec	3,609,000	5,990	2,275,000	7,220	2,278,000	3,620		
TOTAL	45,001,000		34,691,000		43,086,000			

LOWER PLANT

Month	1985		1986		1987		1988	
	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW
Jan	4,353,000	6,670	4,599,000	6,570	4,343,000	6,840		
Feb	4,090,000	6,690	4,134,000	6,890	2,923,000	6,040		
Mar	4,076,000	6,440	3,967,000	6,960	2,788,000	3,800		
Apr	4,214,000	6,900	3,381,000	5,770	4,008,000	6,950		
May	3,582,000	5,820	3,372,000	4,920	3,881,000	6,680		
Jun	3,959,000	6,320*	3,214,000	5,230	4,356,000	6,880		
Jul	2,490,000	6,930	4,081,000	3,256	4,489,000	6,090		
Aug	4,639,000	5,380	4,480,000	6,340	3,778,000	5770		
Sep	3,544,000	6,650	4,086,000	6,650	3,458,000	5,520		
Oct	3,406,000	5,590	4,489,000	6,770	2,707,000	4,270		
Nov	3,725,000	9,760	3,791,000	6,170	2,390,000	3,860		
Dec	4,003,000	6,340	4,727,000	6,910	2,340,000	3,650		
TOTAL	46,081,000		48,321,000		41,461,000			


*Estimate, demand meter not stamping properly.

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

OLD LOWER PLANT

	<u>1985</u>		<u>1986</u>		<u>1987</u>		<u>1988</u>	
	<u>kWh</u>	<u>kW</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>kW</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>kW</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>kW</u>
Jan	796,800	1,632			607,000	1,720		
Feb	580,800	1,584			342,000	810		
Mar	710,400	3,360	1,214,400	3,160	145,000	3,270		
Apr	2,092,800	4,120	1,929,600	3,016	311,000	2,560		
May	2,208,000	3,552	1,824,000	2,592	600,000	2,950		
Jun	1,032,000	3,304	1,646,400	2,632	313,000	3,080		
Jul	936,000	3,376	1,564,800	6,350	0	0		
Aug	0	0	52,800	864	0	0		
Sep	0	0	110,400	1,680	0	0		
Oct	0	0	392,000	1,630	0	0		
Nov	0	0	692,000	1,610	0	0		
Dec	0	0	780,000	1,650	0	0		
	<u>8,626,800</u>		<u>10,206,400</u>		<u>2,318,000</u>			

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1987




POWER HOUSE
SPILLWAY

TAIL RACE

CITY PLANT

● 11 JAN '88



POWER HOUSE
SPILLWAY !
RIVER @
CITY PLANT

11 JAN '88



POWER CANAL

CITY PLANT

11 JAN '88

ELLSWORTH ENGINEERING, INC.

P.O. Box 1822 • 140 N. Corner Ave.
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-1662

RECEIVED
MAR 18 1987

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

March 17, 1987

Mr. Ronald Carlson, Manager
Idaho Department of Water Resources
150 Shoup Avenue, Suite 15
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1987

Re: City of Idaho Falls

Department of Water Resources

Dear Mr. Carlson:

The City of Idaho Falls has recently retained Ellsworth Engineering, Inc. to conduct the field examinations for determining the extent of beneficial use on the following permits: 01-7013; 01-7014; 01-7015; 01-7023; 01-7024; and 01-7025. Enclosed are the information sheets for each of the permits which indicate that the City has elected to secure their own examiners. Please note that all information sheets were signed March 16, 1987 which date is prior to the sixty days allowed for in your letter of transmittal dated January 26, 1987.

These examinations will be conducted by Rance Bare, Certification Number 87-115 of our office.

If there should be any questions, please call.

Yours truly,

ELLSWORTH ENGINEERING, INC.

Rance
Rance Bare, P.E.

cc: City Electric Division

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997



STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

150 Shoup — Suite 15
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402
(208) 525-7161

A. Kenneth Dunn
Director

01-7015
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
C/O G. S. HARRISON
P.O. BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401

January 26, 1987

EXAMINATION FEE NOTICE



Dear Permit Holder:

Department records show that proof of beneficial use has been submitted for the above-referenced permit, but that a field examination has not yet been conducted to determine the extent of beneficial use of the water for licensing purposes.

House Bill 671 (effective April 4, 1986) enacted by the 1986 Idaho Legislature requires the payment of a fee before this Department can conduct the field examination needed to issue a license confirming use of water under the permit. This letter is notification that the license examination fee is due within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter. The examination fee information sheet (enclosed) must be returned with the fee.

As an alternative to submitting the fee, the Department will accept a field examination report completed by a certified water right examiner from the private sector. If you choose to have the examination done by a certified water right examiner, you need not submit the license examination fee to the Department, but you must within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter return to the Department, the examination fee information sheet showing appropriate information together with a statement from the certified examiner to verify that you have retained a certified examiner to conduct the field examination. If you choose this alternative, a completed field examination report must be submitted within one year of the date of this letter. You cannot later change your decision to use this alternative and submit the fee needed for the Department to conduct the field examination, but you may change to another certified examiner.

Certified water right examiners are licensed engineers, geologists, or land surveyors certified by the Department to conduct water right examinations. The procedure for certifying examiners results from the recently enacted HB 671 and is being developed at this time. A listing of certified water right examiners will be available from this Department when examiners have been certified.

MICROFILMED

DEC 04 1997

If your permitted use, in fact, has already been examined by the Department and you have not asked to have the examination repeated, the fee requirement is not applicable to your permit. If you believe this is the case, please contact the Department.

The license examination fee is to be based on the amount of water you indicate you have developed, as listed in item 6 on the examination fee information sheet. The amount of water on which the examination fee is based can be less than the permitted amount, if less water has been diverted and beneficially used. The licensed amount of water, however, will not exceed the amount you claim on the examination fee information sheet. The enclosed license examination fee schedule shows a listing of applicable fees.


FAILURE TO SUBMIT WITHIN THE SIXTY (60) DAY PERIOD THE FEE OR THE STATEMENT THAT A CERTIFIED EXAMINER HAS BEEN RETAINED TO MAKE THE FIELD EXAMINATION WILL BE CAUSE TO ADVANCE THE PRIORITY OF THE PERMIT ONE DAY FOR EACH DAY THAT THE FEE SUBMITTAL IS LATE.

FAILURE TO SUBMIT THE FEE OR THE COMPLETED FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT WITHIN A YEAR WILL BE CAUSE TO CONSIDER THE PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE TO BE INCOMPLETE AND TO LAPSE THE PERMIT.

The attached examination fee information sheet and the fee may be submitted to the following Department office:

Eastern Region
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave., Suite 15
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
Phone: 525-7161

Very Truly Yours,



Ronald D. Carlson
Eastern Regional Manager

Enclosures

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997



STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

150 Shoup
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 525-7161

A. Kenneth Dunn
Director

March 23, 1988

City of Idaho Falls
c/o G.S. Harrison
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

RE: Permits Nos. 01-7014, 01-7023, 01-7024, 01-7025, 01-7013, 01-7015

Dear Sir:

We acknowledge receipt of your statement submitted as proof of beneficial use for the above referenced permit.

Before a license can be issued, a field examination must be made by a representative of this Department.

_____ A field examination of your system has been scheduled for _____.

If this time and date is not convenient for you, please contact this office as soon as possible.

 X A field examiner will contact you to arrange to examine your system.

If you have any questions regarding the field examination, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

KEITH C. WILSON
Sr. Resource Agent

KCW:cw

MICROFILMED
DEC 04 1997

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this card as a statement that the permit holder(s) has completed development to the extent shown below of Permit No. 01-7015.

Name(s) of Permit Holder: CITY OF IDAHO FALLS c/o G.S. HARRISON

Post Office Address: P.O. Box 220, IDAHO FALLS, ID.

83402-0220

Tel. No. 529-1430

Source of Water: _____

Extent of Use:

- ☐ Domestic _____ (number of households)
☐ Stockwater _____ (number and type of stock)
☐ Irrigation _____ (acres)
☒ Other POWER PRODUCTION

Measuring Device: Required? ☒ Yes ☐ No Installed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Access Port: Required? ☐ Yes ☐ No Installed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

The above information is a true statement of the extent to which the above numbered permit has been developed.

2/16/83

Date

Thomas Campbell Mayor
Signature of Permit Holder

MAIL ROOM



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
STATEHOUSE
BOISE, IDAHO 83720

PLACE
13 CENT
STAMP
HERE

RECEIVED

FEB 18 1983

Department of Water Resources

RECEIVED



ELECTRIC DIVISION

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

P.O. BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83402-0220

MAR 4 1983

Department of Water Resources

(208) 529-1430

March 3, 1983

Ms. Rita Fleck
Secretary/Records Manager
Idaho Department of Water Resources
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83720

Re: City of Idaho Falls, Hydroelectric Project.

Dear Ms. Fleck:

Enclosed are the statement of Beneficial use postcards signed by the Mayor of Idaho Falls for the following Permit Nos.

Permit No. 01-7013
Permit No. 01-7014
Permit No. 01-7015
Permit No. 01-7023
Permit No. 01-7024
Permit No. 01-7025

Sincerely,

ELECTRIC LIGHT DIVISION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "G.S. Harrison".

G.S. HARRISON
MANAGER

GSH-83-33/sp

Enclosures as stated

ENCLOSURE



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

STATE OFFICE, 450 W. State Street, Boise, Idaho

JOHN V. EVANS

Governor

A. KENNETH DUNN

Director

Mailing address:

Statehouse

Boise, Idaho 83720

(208) 334-4440

February 28, 1983

City of Idaho Falls
P. O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-0220

Attention: G. S. Harrison or Larry E. Felton

Gentlemen:

Re: Permit Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014, 01-7015, 01-7023, 01-7024, and 01-7025

Pursuant to your request to waive the measuring device requirement on the above-referenced permits, enclosed is a copy of the Order changing the condition.

Also enclosed are the Statement of Beneficial Use postcards received for the above-referenced permit. Please have an authorized agent for the City of Idaho Falls sign the postcard and indicate his title. Return the postcards to the Department before April 1, 1983 to keep the permits in good standing.

If you have any questions concerning these permits, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

RITA I. FLECK

Secretary/Records Manager

Enclosures

100-1234567

FROM Keith Wilson


DATE 14 Feb 83

TO Jim Johnson

SUBJECT Idaho Falls Power Permits

Permits 01-7013/7014/7015/7023/7024/7025

Enclosed is the index test procedure and an example of the test conducted for their (Idaho Falls) power permits they wish to have the measuring device requirement removed from the permits in ^{lieu} of the index test which will give "power vs flow" curves. Hopefully this will assist the department make their decision.

P.S. Also reference Corps of Engr. 1 May 63 pub. "Turbine Testing by Gibson & Index Methods"  SIGNATURE


5.5.1 Evaluation of the Results

EXAMPLE

Fix Data	Prototype - Throat Diameter	DA	m	6.3
	Model - Throat Diameter	DM	m	0.34
	Inlet Measuring Section - Area	Fe	mm ²	656894.00
	Outlet Measuring Section - Area	Fa	mm ²	306296.00
	Length of the Lever	L	mm	1145.92
	Barometric Head	Bo	mWC	9.95928
	Prototype Net Head	HA	mWC	8.1000
Measuring Data	Measuring Point Number			1.000
	Wicket Gate Opening	a ₀	mm	54.000
	Water Temperature	tw	°C	19.100
	Manometric Head on the Model	Hmano	mWC	8.190
	Weight = Force on the Lever	G	kp	24.168
	Speed	nM	rpm	1530.800
	Pressure difference on the Venturitube	hQ	mmHg	334.200
Results	Suction Head	Hs	mWC	- 9.250
	Jäger-Number = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{hQ}} \cdot (hQ / \gamma H_2O)^{0.5}$	Jä		17.83370
	Calibration Factor of the Venturitube	C		33.98000
	Model - Discharge	QM	lit/s	621.69293
	Model Net Head	Heff	mWC	8.03031
	Model Speed referred to the Prototype	n	rpm	1536.80537
	Discharge on the Prototype	QA	m ³ /s	214.26238
	Referred Discharge for DA = 1m and HA = 1m	Q11	m ³ /s	1.89630
	Prototype Output	PA	kW	15638.07773
	Referred Output for DA = 1m and HA = 1m	P11	kW	17.09129
	Model Efficiency	η	(%)	91.88328
	Cavitation Number	σ	-	2.36722

Example for Measuring Point Number 1: Record Sheet PM 2 - 01

Info for the Model



5.5.2 Sample Computation of a Measuring Point

Efficiency, model -, prototype - and unit values are computed by means of the following formulas:

(measuring point No. 1 - sheet PM2-01)

Model discharge: Q_M

water temperature $t_w = 19^\circ \rightarrow \nu = 1.02591 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$
 $\gamma_{H_2O} = 0.9984 \text{ kp/lit}$

$$J_c^2 = \frac{1}{V} \cdot (h_Q / \gamma_{H_2O})^{0.5} = \frac{1}{1.02591} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{334.2}{0.9984}} = 17.93$$

$C = 33.98$ (see diagr. D - 1.000 - 105.3 in the ASTRO Test Report)

$$Q_M = C \cdot (h_Q / \gamma_{H_2O})^{0.5} = 33.98 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{334.2}{0.9984}} = 621.69 \text{ lit/s}$$

Effective model head: H_{eff}

$$H_{dyn} = \left(\frac{Q_M}{1000} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2g} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{F_e^2} - \frac{1}{F_a^2} \right) = \left(\frac{621.69}{1000} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{19.62} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{0.305295^2} - \frac{1}{0.656894^2} \right)$$

$$H_{dyn} = 0.1643 \text{ m} \quad H_{STAT} = H_{MANO} \cdot \frac{1000}{\gamma_{H_2O}}$$

$$H_{eff} = H_{STAT} + H_{dyn} = \frac{8.19 \cdot 1000}{998.4} + 0.1643 = 8.039 \text{ m}$$

Model speed referred to the prototype: n

$$n = n_M (H_A / H_M)^{0.5} = 1580.8 \cdot (8.1 / 8.0398)^{0.5} = 1586.8$$

Prototype - and unit discharge: Q_A, Q_{11}

$$Q_A = Q_M \cdot \left(\frac{D_A}{D_M} \right)^2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{H_A}{H_M}} = 0.6217 \cdot \left(\frac{6.3}{0.34} \right)^2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{8.1}{8.0398}} = 214.26 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

$$Q_{11} = \frac{Q_A}{D_A^2 \cdot \sqrt{H_A}} = \frac{214.26}{6.3^2 \cdot \sqrt{8.1}} = 1.8969$$

Efficiency: η

$$\eta = 100 \cdot \frac{G \cdot L \cdot \pi \cdot n_M}{30 \cdot Q_M \cdot H_{eff} \cdot g} = 12 \cdot \frac{24.168 \cdot 1580.8}{0.5217 \cdot 8.039 \cdot 998.4} = 91.88 \% \quad \checkmark$$

Model -, prototype - and unit output: P_M, P_A, P_{11}

$$P_M = Q_M \cdot H_{eff} \cdot g \cdot \eta \cdot 9.80665 \cdot 10^{-3} = 44.959697 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_A = P_M \cdot \frac{g_A}{g_M} \cdot \left(\frac{D_A}{D_M} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{H_A}{H_M} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 44.959697 \cdot \frac{1000}{998.4} \cdot \left(\frac{5.3}{0.34} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{8.1}{8.039} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 15638 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_{11} = \frac{P_A}{D^2 \cdot H^{1.5}} = \frac{15638}{6.3^2 \cdot 8.1^{1.5}} = 17.09 \text{ kW}$$


Cavitation number: σ

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{H_{eff}} (B_0 - p_d - H_s) = \frac{9.959 - 0.18 + 9.25}{8.039} = 2.367$$

Nomenclature not included in the evaluation of results:

g (kp/lit) specific weight of water
 ν (m²/s) kinematic viscosity of water
 H_{STAT} (m WC) static test head
 p_d (m WC) vapour pressure of water

MICROFILMED



11.2 Prototype Hill Diagram

11.2.1 Sample Computation for Efficiency Step-Up

According to the specification for efficiency step-up the HUTTON-formula was used for each point:

$$\frac{1 - E_P}{1 - E_M} = 0.3 + 0.7 \cdot \left(\frac{D_M}{D_P}\right)^{0.2} \cdot \left(\frac{H_M}{H_P}\right)^{0.1}$$

$$H_M/H_P = 1.38$$

E efficiency (-)

D runner diameter (m)

Subscripts: M model

P prototype

$$1 - E_P = (1 - E_M) \cdot \left(0.3 + 0.7 \cdot \left(\frac{D_M}{D_P}\right)^{0.2} \cdot \left(\frac{H_M}{H_P}\right)^{0.1}\right)$$

$$E_P = 1 - (1 - E_M) \cdot \left(0.3 + 0.7 \cdot \left(D_M/D_P\right)^{0.2} \cdot \left(H_M/H_P\right)^{0.1}\right)$$

Sample computation for operating point at full gate opening:

$$H = 18 \text{ ft}$$

$$D_P = 485 \text{ m} = 15.91 \text{ ft}$$


$$P = 8300 \text{ kW}$$

$$n_P = 9473 \text{ rpm}$$

$$Q_P = 5977 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{11} = 0.5521 \frac{5977}{15.91^2 \cdot \sqrt{18}} = 3.07$$

$$n_{11} = 0.5521 \frac{94.73 \cdot 15.91}{\sqrt{18}} = 196.13$$



VOEST-ALPINE AG
Maschinenbau

IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT
S.O. 5644/10714

TLM 2
Page 27

From model hill diagram MB 9-908.4514:

$$E_M = 88.0 \%$$

$$D_P = 4.85 \text{ m}$$

$$D_M = 0.34 \text{ m}$$

$$E_P = 1 - (1 - 0.88) \cdot (0.3 + 0.7 \cdot \left(\frac{0.34}{4.85}\right)^{0.2} \cdot 1.38^{0.1})$$

$$E_P = 91.30 \%$$

=====

11.2.2 Prototype Hill Diagram

Scaling up the model hill diagram with the aforementioned HUTTON formula point by point the guaranteed prototype hill diagram for IDAHO FALLS Hydroelectric Project was plotted (MB 9-908.4575).

MICHAEL

VOEST-ALPINE AG, Postfach

Meaningless
(folded map)

MB 9-908.4575

RUNNER DIAMETER (4.85 m) 190 1/16 inch
 SYNCHRONOUS SPEED 94.73 rpm
 CENTERLINE RUNNER
 UPPER PLANT 4895.3 ft
 CITY PLANT 4657.2 ft
 LOWER PLANT 4635.7 ft


TOLERANCE OF MEASUREMENT 100

THE CAVITATION LIMITS ARE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING NORMAL RESERVOIR ELEVATIONS:

UPPER PLANT CITY PLANT LOWER PLANT
 4794.0 ft 4694.25 ft 4674.0 ft

FULL GATE OPENING = NOMINAL OPENING
 FOR NET HEAD 18.0 ft AND RATED TURBINE
 OUTPUT 8300 KW

DISCHARGE (cfs)



* RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISCHARGE AND NET HEAD ACC. TO THE SPECIFIED WATER RATING CURVE AND NORMAL RESERVOIR ELEVATIONS

NET HEAD (ft)

VOEST-ALPINE AG
 Finalbericht Linz

TLM 2

A P P E N D I X

13. Cavitation Observation for Idaho Falls HEP


The layout of the bulb turbine for Idaho Falls HEP is based on the cavitation tests carried out with the model for MELK power station.

On the sheets MB 9-908.4576/1+3 prototype efficiency η_p and prototype discharge Q_p versus cavitation number σ and cavitation pictures for σ referred to the shaft centerline and σ referred to the upper blade tip are plotted for three points near to the guaranteed cavitation limit.

These three points are:

H (ft.)	Q (cfs.)
22	5525
17.9	6090
14	6345

MICROFILMED



VOEST-ALPINE AG
Friedrich-Linze


IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

S.O. 5644/10714

TLM 2


MODEL 344 - 4

ETA vs SIGMA




MODEL 344-4


ETA VS. SIGMA



Blade Angle = 33.25°

Wicket Gate Opening = 884.4 mm






VOEST-ALPINE AG
Finalbereich Linz

IDAHO FALLS HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

S.O. 5644/10714

TLM 2

MODEL 344-4
ETA vs. SIGMA



John H. Chappell

International Engineering Co., Inc.

Att.: Mr. Pablo Chavez

180 Howard Street

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105/USA

TLM1/Scha/sa/2409.

August 20, 82

Idaho Falls
S.O. 5644/10714

Your telexes xnh204 dated August 6th, 1982 and xnh210 dated August 11th, 1982.

Enclosed please find the requested index test procedure MB9-350.0070E for your information.

The governor is designed regarding isolated load operation as stipulated in Specification Section 15981, 1-3 with the speed no load parameter settings. The dampening selection for speed no load respectively isolated load operation will be optimized between both operating modes.

However, if selection of individual dampening for isolated load operation is requested, five different dampening settings can be chosen by remote selector switch. This can be installed and we have to charge you with arising cost.

Index tests will be performed as required on all three units.

Very truly yours,

VOEST-ALPINE AG

[Signature]
J.V.

[Signature]
I.A.

Enzenhofer

Haslinger

ENCLOSURE
Encs:

as cited above

8009-210
DUE DATE



VOEST-ALPINE

FINISHED PRODUCTS DIVISION LINZ
HYDRO TURBINES

INDEX TEST PROCEDURE
FOR KAPLAN BULB TURBINE

FOR
IDAHO FALLS

Prepared by: Ing. Schatz Johannes
Linz, August 1982

MB9-350.0070 E

Page 1 - 8

VOEST-ALPINE AG, Postfach 2, A-4010 Linz, Austria

GENERAL

The tests will be carried out in accordance with IEC-Code Publication 41.

1. Observation Stations and Test Equipment

1.1 Static Pressure Head Turbine Inlet H stat 1:

✓ The H stat 1 is measured at the turbine inlet section after the trash rack in the chamber of the stop logs.

1.2 Static Pressure Head Turbine Outlet H stat 2:

The H stat 2 is measured at the turbine outlet section in the chamber of the tailwater stop logs. *ND*

1.3 Headwater Level:

✓ The free water level is measured after the trash rack using a plate gauge.

1.4 Tailwater Level:


✓ The free water level is measured using a plate gauge.

1.5 Turbine Output Pt:

✓ The measurement of the turbine output Pt is done indirectly by determining the generator output Pg using an accurate wattmeter and taking into consideration the guaranteed generator efficiency. *OK*

1.6 Servomotor Stroke Wicket Gate SM :

The servomotor stroke SM is measured directly on the piston rod of the servomotor using a measuring tape.



1.7 Runner Blade Angle ϕ :

The runner blade angle is read directly on the runner blade position indicator mounted on the oil head.

1.8 Turbine Discharge Q_t :

The measurement of Q_t is done indirectly by determining the differential pressure D with a differential pressure transmitter.

The differential pressure is picked-up between the taps fixed on the bulb case and the tap fixed in the generator bulb.

MICROFILMED

(H) HANDBOOK

F 2005

2. Measuring Procedure

Measurement is effected in manual mode.

Five different runner blade positions are set, whereby for each blade position the Kaplan curve is determined with 6 to 8 different wicket gate positions. For each wicket gate position measurements are taken for 10 minutes, whereby readings of the different values are effected at intervals of 30 sec. each.

The respective average value is used for calculating the discharge and efficiency and the result is entered in a diagram.

EF 3506

EF 3506

MICROFILMED
1975

3. Notations

SM	(inch)	Wicket Gate-Servomotor Stroke
phi	(degrees)	Runner Blade Position
H stat 1	(ft)	Static Pressure Head Turbine Inlet
H stat 2	(ft)	Static Pressure Head Turbine Outlet
v1	(ft/s)	Water Discharge Velocity in Turbine Inlet Section
v2	(ft/s)	Water Discharge Velocity in Turbine Outlet Section
hv1	(ft)	Velocity Head in Turbine Inlet Section
hv2	(ft)	Velocity Head in Turbine Outlet Section
HW	(ft)	Headwater Level
TW	(ft)	Tailwater Level
Hn	(ft)	Net Head
E1	(ft)	Total Energy Potential Turbine Inlet
E2	(ft)	Total Energy Potential Turbine Outlet
g	(ft/s ²)	Gravitation Constant
γ	(lb/ft ³)	Specific Weight - Water
D	(ft)	Winter-Kennedy Differential Pressure
k	(-)	WK - Calibrating Factor



n (-) ~~NA~~ - Exponent

Qt (cfs) Turbine Discharge --

Pd (kW) Hydraulic Power

Pt (kW) Turbine Output

Pg (kW) Generator Output

eta g (%) Generator Efficiency
(guaranteed)

eta t (%) Turbine Efficiency

Ptr (kW) Reference Turbine Output

Qtr (cfs) Reference Turbine Discharge

Hr (ft) Reference Net Head

4. General Computation

4.1 Net Head:

$$H_n = E_1 - E_2 \quad (\text{ft})$$

$$E_1 = H_{\text{stat } 1} + h_{v1} \quad (\text{ft})$$

$$E_2 = H_{\text{stat } 2} + h_{v2} \quad (\text{ft})$$

$$h_{v1} = \frac{v_1^2}{2g} \quad (\text{ft})$$

$$h_{v2} = \frac{v_2^2}{2g} \quad (\text{ft})$$

$$v_1 = \frac{Q_t}{A_e}$$

$$v_2 = \frac{Q_t}{A_a} \quad (\text{ft/s})$$

4.2 Turbine Discharge:

$$Q_t = k \cdot D^n \quad (\text{cfs})$$

$$n = 0,5$$

$$k = \frac{Q_t}{\sqrt{D}}$$

For determining k the first test run is carried out approx. peak efficiency for the pertaining net head.

Q is calculated with the efficiency taken from the hill diagram approx.

$$Q = \frac{P_t}{H_n \cdot \eta_t \cdot \gamma \cdot k} \quad \text{Use diff. symbol} \quad k = \frac{1356}{1000,000}$$

As net head H_n is depending on discharge Q several iteration steps have to be performed using in the first step an estimated discharge close to the expected one.

4.3 Hydraulic Power:

(power ~~picked up by~~ ^{available to} the turbine)

$$P_d = Q_t \cdot H_n \cdot \gamma \cdot \frac{1356}{1000} \quad (\text{KW})$$

4.4 Turbine Output:

(mechanical power available at the turbine shaft)

$$P_t = \frac{P_g}{\eta_{tg}} \quad (\text{KW})$$

4.5 Turbine Efficiency:

$$\eta_t = \frac{P_t}{P_d} \cdot 100 \quad (\%)$$

4.6 Reference Turbine Output and Reference Turbine Discharge at Constant Net Head:

$$P_{tr} = P_t \cdot \left[\frac{H_r}{H_n} \right]^{3/2} \quad (KW)$$

$$Q_{tr} = Q_t \cdot \left[\frac{H_r}{H_n} \right]^{1/2} \quad (cfs)$$

4.7 Calculation of the Value for Probable Inaccuracy f_n 4.7.1 Discharge

Measurement of Winter-Kennedy differential pressure by means of differential pressure transmitter.

$$f_Q = \pm 1.0 \%$$

4.7.2 Net Head

Measurement of free water level by means of plate gauge.

$$f_h = \pm 0.8 \%$$

4.7.3 Turbine Output

Measurement of generator output by means of watt-hour-meter.

$$f_p = \pm 0.8 \%$$

MICROFILMED

EF-3500

4.7.4 Efficiency

The probable inaccuracy f_η of the turbine efficiency determination is computed from the estimated individual inaccuracies by

$$f = \pm \sqrt{f_Q^2 + f_H^2 + f_P^2}$$

$$f = \pm \sqrt{1.0^2 + 0.8^2 + 0.8^2} =$$

$$f = \pm 1.51 \%$$

=====



CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

P.O. BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83402-0220

ELECTRIC DIVISION

(208) 529-1430

February 16, 1983

RECEIVED

FEB 18 1983

Department of Water Resources

Ms. Rita Fleck
Secretary/Records Manager
Idaho Department of Water Resources
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83720

Re: Flow Measuring Device Requirement for City of Idaho Falls
Hydroelectric Project.

Dear Ms. Fleck:

Enclosed as requested are completed "Statement of Beneficial Use" cards you sent the City of Idaho Falls January 31, 1983, regarding Water Permit Numbers 01-7013, 01-7014, 01-7015, 01-7023, 01-7014, and 01-7025. These permits allow the City non-consumptive use of up to 6,000 cfs for power production purposes at the City's three recently completed hydroelectric projects.

One of your Department's conditions of approval is that the City install a permanent flow measuring device at each point of use. Since this is a nonconsumptive use permit, the City of Idaho Falls respectfully requests that the Idaho Department of Water Resources waive this requirement because the City can accurately determine flow from power production records. Each of the three plants has been index tested which is a standard method of determining the efficiency at which they utilize the water. The test results establish a flow versus power curve for each project. The City proposes to use these curves to determine flow on an hour by hour basis in lieu of other types of flow measuring devices. The particulars of index testing and the above request has been discussed with Keith Wilson of the Department of Water Resources, Idaho Falls Office. He should be communicating his opinion of our request for waiver with your office in the near future.

Please inform us of the Department's decision regarding this matter. If we can be of any further assistance, please let us know.

Sincerely,

ELECTRIC LIGHT DIVISION

Larry E. Felton

LARRY E. FELTON
ELECTRICAL ENGINEER

LEF-83-008/sp
00511

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

STATEHOUSE
BOISE, IDAHO 83720
(208) 334-4440
CERTIFIED MAIL

138144

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
C/O G. S. HARRISON
P.O. BOX 220
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401

PROOF DUE NOTICE

JANUARY 31, 1983

DEAR PERMIT HOLDER:

ONE OF THE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL OF YOUR WATER PERMIT NO. 01-7015 WAS THAT PROOF OF THE EXTENT OF YOUR BENEFICIAL USE MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THIS OFFICE ON OR BEFORE APRIL 01, 1983. (SEE LAST PAGE OF YOUR APPROVED PERMIT OR YOUR LAST APPROVED EXTENSION REQUEST). ENCLOSED IS A POSTCARD WHICH MAY BE USED TO SUBMIT THE REQUIRED PROOF.

IF YOU HAVE NOT FULLY COMPLETED YOUR PROJECT, AND YOU OR A PREVIOUS OWNER OF THIS PERMIT HAVE NOT RECEIVED A PRIOR EXTENSION OF TIME, YOU MAY REQUEST AN EXTENSION OF TIME IF THE DELAY IS FOR REASONABLE CAUSE AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 42-204, IDAHO CODE. IF YOU HAVE BEEN PREVENTED FROM PROCEEDING BY A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY OR BY LITIGATION, MORE THAN ONE EXTENSION OF TIME CAN BE GRANTED. AN EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST FORM IS ENCLOSED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE.

EITHER THE BENEFICIAL USE POSTCARD OR A REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME MUST BE RECEIVED BY THIS DEPARTMENT ON OR BEFORE THE ABOVE DESCRIBED PROOF DUE DATE. IF NEITHER IS RECEIVED, THE DEPARTMENT WILL SEND YOU A LAPSE NOTICE. WITHIN SIXTY (60) DAYS OF THE MAILING OF THE LAPSE NOTICE, THE PERMIT WILL NO LONGER BE OF ANY FORCE NOR EFFECT.

SINCERELY,

RITA FLECK
SECRETARY/RECORDS MANAGER

ENCLOSURES



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

STATE OFFICE, 373 W. Franklin Street, Boise, Idaho

JOHN V. EVANS

Governor

C. STEPHEN ALLRED

Director

Mailing address:

Statehouse

Boise, Idaho 83720

(208) 384-2215

March 5, 1979

Re: Permit No.'s 01-7013, 01-7014, & 01-7015

City of Idaho Falls
c/o G. S. Harrison
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Harrison:

Enclosed are copies of your approved Applications for Permit. We direct your attention to the conditions of approval as listed on the fourth pages.

Be sure to note the special conditions requiring you to install and maintain measuring devices at your points of diversion prior to submitting proof of beneficial use of water. We have enclosed a letter describing the different types of measuring devices accepted by this office.

Sincerely,

DAVID R. TUTHILL, JR.
Supervisor, Water Allocations Section

DRT:lr

Enc: 5

cc: Fremont-Madison Irrigation District

MICROFILMED

RECOMMENDEDCONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. For licensing purposes a scientific measurement of the diversion rate of the system as it is normally operated shall be provided by either properly installing an approved type of measuring device or by having a professional engineer certify the rate of diversion to the Department prior to submitting proof of beneficial use of water.
- ② A measuring device of a type approved by this Department shall be permanently installed and maintained as part of the diverting works.
(TO BE USED WITH THE WATERMASTER CONDITION ONLY)
3. Measuring devices of a type approved by this Department shall be permanently installed and maintained at the point of diversion and the point of effluent discharge.
4. The rate of diversion of water for irrigation under this permit and all other water rights on the same land shall not exceed 0.02 cubic feet per second for each acre of land.
5. The issuance of this permit in no way grants any right-of-way or easement across the land of another.
- ⑥ Use of water under this permit is subject to control by the watermaster of State Water District No. 01, Snake River River.
7. The maximum rate of diversion shall not exceed _____ cubic feet per second.
8. The permit shall not be assigned or sold without first securing the written approval of the Department of Water Resources.
9. This permit cannot be assigned, mortgaged, or conveyed without complying with Section 42-208, Idaho Code.
10. Return water shall be treated to insure that the effluent meets (interstate) (intrastate) stream water quality standards.
11. Settling ponds shall be installed which are capable of reducing the silt load in the return water to such a level that the quality of the waters of _____ Creek will not be impaired for other beneficial users.
12. Use of water under this permit is supplemental to all existing water rights with the same purpose and place of use.
13. The proposed well shall not be drilled within _____ feet of another well.
14. The permit holder must obtain adequate supplemental water for the irrigation season that natural flow is not available.
15. Water shall not be diverted under this permit unless the flow of the Snake River at the U. S. Geological Survey stream gaging station at Murphy exceeds 3,300 c.f.s. and at Weiser exceed 4,750 c.f.s.
16. That should the use of the water on this permit reduce the quality of water available to prior water rights and make it unusable to satisfy the prior appropriations, then the diversion under this permit must cease.
17. There is not sufficient water available in the _____ River and tributaries that is not appropriated by prior water rights.
- ⑮ ~~OTHER use of water under this permit is subject to~~
future up stream agricultural development.

20

21

MICROFILMED

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT

ST. ANTHONY, IDAHO 83445

January 31, 1979

RECEIVED

FEB 1 1979

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Mr. Ronald Carlson
Department of Water Resources
1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Carlson:

The Fremont-Madison Irrigation District hereby withdraws its protest against the applications of the City of Idaho Falls, Nos. 017013, 017014, and 017015, and future applications it may deem necessary to file pertaining to the same purposes set forth in the above numbered applications, providing that the Department of Water Resources, in granting any such permits, make such permits subject to upstream agricultural development.

Also, that the granting of such permits does not in any way abrogate or change in any manner that certain Contract between the United States of America, Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, City of Idaho Falls, and the Utah Power & Light Company dated September 28, 1935.

Yours very truly,

FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION DISTRICT

J. Max Mortensen

By:

J. Max Mortensen
Chairman

S

cc - Mr. Kent Foster
Mr. Art Smith

MICROFILMED

November 21, 1978

Ms. Mary Smith
Attorney at Law
30 S. Second Street
Rexburg, Idaho 83440

Dear Ms. Smith:

Re: Applications No. 01-7013, 01-7014, 01-7015

As you will recall, this office is still holding the three power applications filed by the City of Idaho Falls. These applications were made nearly a year ago, and to date Fremont-Madison and the City have apparently been unable to reach an agreement that will resolve the Fremont-Madison protests.

It appears from the correspondence we have received that if these filings are subordinated to future upstream irrigation development, the protests would be resolved. I believe there is a good chance that in his final review the Director will make these rights subordinate to future water development for agriculture. Perhaps a hearing can be avoided and the final action on these applications can be expediated if Fremont-Madison would write a letter. *expedited*

Respectfully submitted,

ERNEST M. CARLSEN
Water Resource Analyst

EMC:dh

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

C. Stephen Allred
Director

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-7186

June 22, 1978

RE: Applications for Permits Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

Fremont-Madison Irrigation District
c/o Mary Smith, Attorney
30 S. Second West
Rexburg, Idaho 83440

Dear Ms. Smith:

The Department has been attempting since the first of the year to hear Fremont-Madison's protest against the granting of the City's applications Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015.

As you will recall a conference or hearing was scheduled for February 8, 1978 but was continued at the request of the attorneys involved. On April 28th we were informed that an agreement had been prepared and was being reviewed by the City of Idaho Falls. To date our office has not received a copy of any agreement and therefore we are wondering how to proceed in this matter. At this point we can only assume that no agreement has been reached and will proceed with the scheduling of a conference and/or hearing. Unless we hear from you in the near future we will proceed with the scheduling of this matter for hearing during the month of July.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor,
Eastern Region

RDC:bp

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

C. Stephen Allred
Director

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-7186

June 22, 1978

RE: Applications for Permits Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015.

City of Idaho Falls
c/o G. S. Harrison
P. O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Harrison:

The Department has been attempting since the first of the year to hear Fremont-Madison's protest against the granting of the City's applications Nos. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015.

As you will recall a conference or hearing was scheduled for February 8, 1978 but was continued at the request of the attorneys involved. On April 28th we were informed that an agreement had been prepared and was being reviewed by the City of Idaho Falls. To date our office has not received a copy of any agreement and therefore we are wondering how to proceed in this matter. At this point we can only assume that no agreement has been reached and will proceed with the scheduling of a conference and/or hearing. Unless we hear from you in the near future we will proceed with the scheduling of this matter for hearing during the month of July.

Very truly yours,


RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor,
Eastern Region

RDC:bp

MICROFILMED

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO — 1

FROM

Ernie

DATE

May 8, 1978

TO

City of Idaho Falls Applications 01-7013, 14 and 15.

SUBJECT

Ms. Mary Smith telephoned April 28, 1978, and indicated they have prepared an agreement and it is being reviewed the the City of Idaho Falls.

SIGNATURE

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

C. Stephen Allred
Director

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-7186

April 6, 1978

RE: Applications for Permits No. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

Mr. Max Mortenson, Chairman
Board of Directors
Fremont Madison Irrigation District
Box 5
St. Anthony, Idaho 83445

Dear Mr. Mortenson:

At the request of the attorneys for the city and Fremont Madison Irrigation District, the Department of Water Resources has twice cancelled a conference and/or hearing on the above referenced applications for permits. The cancellations were granted to allow time for establishing an agreement between the City of Idaho Falls and the Irrigation District. We have yet to receive a copy of the agreement in question or be advised as to the status of the negotiations.

Please advise us of the progress that has been made or if the Department should consider rescheduling this matter for conference and/or hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

ERNEST M. CARLSEN
Water Resource Agent

EMC:cw

MICROFILMED

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

OF THE

STATE OF IDAHO


In the Matter of Protest Against Approval
of Applications for Permits No. 01-7013,
01-7014, and 01-7015 in the Name of the
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

NOTICE OF CANCELLATION

Notice is hereby given that the Department of Water Resources has cancelled the conference and/or hearing concerning the above referenced applications at the request of the attorneys.

The Department will reschedule the conference and/or hearing in the near future at which time all interest parties will again be notified.

Dated this 6th day of February, 1978.



RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor, Eastern Region

SENT TO: City of Idaho Falls
Ms. Mary Smith
Fremont Madison

MICROFILMED

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

EASTERN DISTRICT OFFICE

1515 LINCOLN ROAD

IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83401

Name and
Address
of Sender

Indicate type of mail:

☐ REGISTERED ☐ REGISTERED
☐ C.O.D. ☒ CERTIFIED

Affix stamp here if issued as certificate of mailing or for additional
copies of this bill.
POSTMARK AND DATE OF RECEIPT

NUMBER OF ARTICLE	NAME OF ADDRESSEE, STREET AND POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POSTAGE	PEE	R.R. FEE	POST- DEL. FEE	ACTUAL VALUE	HANDLING CHARGE	REMARKS*
1	City of Idaho Falls P.O. Box 220, Idaho Falls	13	60					01-2013
2	863 Mr. Mary Smith 308 2nd West, Rexburg							2014
3	864 P. Willis Walker, Box 5, St. Anthony							2015
4	865 Paulsen Christensen, P.O. Box 302, Drummond, Wt.							27-2118
5	866 Albert M. Johnson, Rt 2 Box 212, Pocatello							"
6	867 Clyde D. Nelson, P.O. Box 192, Soda Springs, Id.							"
7	868 Rexius Bros, Box 362, Soda Springs							"
8	869 W. J. McMillan, Post Office Agency, Fruitland							"
9	870 John Spranklyn, Rt 1 Box 82, Pocatello							"
10	871 D. Woodall, Box 55, Soda Springs							"
11	872 Herman Wright, Rt. 3 Box 66, Blackfoot							"
12	873 William Hall, 765 S. Meridian, Blackfoot							"
13	874 Kay Miller, P.O. Box 1090, Pocatello, Id.							"
14	875 Brent Moss, 308 2nd W, Rexburg							"
15	876 Michael Morgan, P. Cherry, 308 4th, Arco							21-214
16	877 L. Vann Fickell, P.O. Box 129, Idaho Falls, Id.							"
17	878 Forrest Jewell, Box 602, Pocatello, Id.							"
18	879 Blair Brown, P.O. Box 36, Rigby							"
19								
20								

TOTAL NO. OF PIECES
LISTED BY SENDER

18

TOTAL NO. OF
PIECES REC'D AT P.O.

POSTMASTER PER (Name of receiving employee)

*Show under "Remarks" class postage paid (if other than first or
if international)

PS Form 3877, Dec. 1973

APPLICATION FOR REGISTERED, REGISTERED C.O.D. AND CERTIFIED MAIL

(Declaration of value is required on international registered mail)

★ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1974-0-329,726

FORM MUST BE MADE OUT IN INK OR BALL POINT PEN

MICROFILMED

January 30, 1978

C
O
P
Mr. Tom Reinecker
Regional Supervisor
Department of Fish & Game
1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Tom:

This is to confirm the use of the conference room for conference and/or hearing to be held by this office on Wednesday, February 8, 1978, at 9:00 a.m.

Very truly yours,

Y
RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor,
Eastern Region

RDC:CW

MICROFILMED

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of Protest Against Approval
of Applications for Permits No. 01-7013,
01-7014, and 01-7015 in the Name of CITY
OF IDAHO FALLS

NOTICE OF COMBINED
CONFERENCE AND/OR HEARING

On November 9, 1977, the City of Idaho Falls filed with the Department of Water Resources applications to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho. On December 5, 1977, the Department received protest against approval of said applications from Fremont Madison Irrigation District.

The Department has set the matter of protests for conference and/or hearing for Wednesday, February 8, 1978, at 9:00 a.m., in the conference room at the Department of Water Resources, Idaho Falls, Idaho. Any hearing will be held in accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Idaho Code and adopted Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Department of Water Resources. Opportunity shall be afforded all parties to respond and present evidence and argument on all issues involved. Because of the similarity of the issues involved the conference and/or hearing shall be combined with application for permit No. 01-7013, 01-7014 and 01-7015.

Any party may request in writing five (5) days before any hearing that the oral proceedings thereof be taken in the form of stenographic notes. Any party may have such stenographic notes of the oral proceedings, or part thereof, transcribed at his own expense. A taped record of the proceedings will be maintained for the appeal period.

Failure to appear at the time and place set for hearing by the applicant or protestants may allow the Department without prejudice to dismiss the protest or the or the application. Any and all cost incurred by reason of such non-appearance may be assessed against such non-appearing parties.

Dated this 30th day of January, 1978.


RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor, Eastern Region

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

December 5, 1977

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-7186

C. Stephen Allred
Director

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 City of Idaho Falls
01-7014
01-7015

City of Idaho Falls
c/o G. S. Harrison
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Dear Mr. Harrison:

Enclosed is a copy of a protest filed against the issuance of a permit pursuant to your Application No. 01-7013 + 01-7014. The Department suggests you contact the protestant directly in an effort to determine the nature of the protest and to attempt to resolve the protest.

If you do not advise us within twenty (20) days that the protest has been resolved, the matter will be scheduled for conference and/or hearing. At such a conference and/or hearing, the following are the principle items the Department can consider in either approving or denying the application for permit (Section 42-203, Idaho Code):

1. Will the proposed use reduce the quantity of water under existing water rights?
2. Is the proposed water supply insufficient for the purposes intended?
3. Is the application made in good faith or for delay or speculative purposes?
4. Has the applicant sufficient financial resources with which to complete the project?

If this office can be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enc

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

December 5, 1977

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 523-7186

C. Stephen Allred
Director

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

Fremont-Madison Irrigation District
R. Willis Walker, Chairman of the Board of Directors
Box 5
St. Anthony, Idaho 83445

Dear Mr. Walker:

Your protest against the approval of the above referenced application has been received and a copy has been sent to the applicant. The Department suggests you contact the applicant directly in an effort to determine what is proposed by the application and to attempt to resolve the protest.

If you do not advise us within twenty (20) days that the protest has been resolved, the matter will be scheduled for conference and/or hearing. At such a conference and/or hearing, the following are the principle items the Department can consider in either approving or denying an application for permit (Section 42-203, Idaho Code):

1. Will the proposed use reduce the quantity of water under existing water rights?
2. Is the proposed water supply insufficient for the purpose intended?
3. Is the application made in good faith or for delay or speculative purposes?
4. Has the applicant sufficient financial resources with which to complete the project?

If this office can be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor,
Eastern Region

RDC:CW

cc: IDWR - State Office
Mary Smith, Attorney

MICROFILMED



Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration
Idaho Falls District Office
P.O. Box 2558
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RECEIVED

NOV 28 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

In reply refer to: OWI

November 23, 1977

Mr. Ronald D. Carlson, Supervisor
Eastern Division
State of Idaho Department of Water Resources
1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Carlson:

Subject: City of Idaho Falls, Application No. 01-7013
City of Idaho Falls, Application No. 01-7014
City of Idaho Falls, Application No. 01-7015

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the City of Idaho Falls applications for additional water rights associated with its proposed project to install 7.2 MW bulb turbines at each of its three hydro generation sites on the Snake River in the vicinity of the City of Idaho Falls.

In view of the critical electric energy supply situation facing the Pacific Northwest, the additional electrical generation to be developed by these projects is needed by the City of Idaho Falls as well as the region. It should be noted that Bonneville Power Administration has given notice to all its Preference Customers, including the City of Idaho Falls, that after July 1, 1983, it will no longer be able to serve these utilities' load growth requirements, and thereafter these utilities must be responsible for making other arrangements to meet their increasing loads.

The City of Idaho Falls is to be commended for taking appropriate action to meet its utility responsibility to its citizens. Redevelopment of low head hydro generation at existing sites appears to be one of the most environmentally acceptable methods of developing additional resources.

Additionally, the participation of the Department of Energy in this project will enhance the state of the art for future similar developments elsewhere in the United States.

MICROFILMED

OWI

November 23, 1977

Page 2

Letter to Mr. Ronald D. Carlson, State of Idaho Department of Water Resources

Although I understand that there is considerable demand and competition for water rights on the upper Snake River, I would foresee no objection to the City of Idaho Falls application for additional water rights of a non-consumptive nature.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "M. C. Derksema", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Martin C. Derksema
District Manager

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

STATEHOUSE, BOISE, IDAHO 83720

GORDON C. TROMBLEY
DIRECTOR

21 November 1977

Mr. Ronald D. Carlson
Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region
1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Dear Ron:

Thank you very much for furnishing copies of the diversion applications from the City of Idaho Falls. The new type turbines which the City plans to install will be of great interest to other agencies around the nation and within Idaho as well.

We have no objections to the proposed, additional allotment of water to the City, however, we have advised the City that an easement will be required for the replacement of power houses and diversion structures.

Very truly yours,

DIVISION OF EARTH RESOURCES

William A. Scribner
WILLIAM A. SCRIBNER, Chief
Bureau of Navigable Waters

WAS:ph

cc: Eastern Idaho Area

STATE BOARD OF LAND COMMISSIONERS

JOHN V. EVANS
GOVERNOR AND PRESIDENT
PETE T. CENARRUSA
SECRETARY OF STATE
WAYNE L. KIDWELL
ATTORNEY GENERAL
JOE R. WILLIAMS
STATE AUDITOR
ROY TRUBY
SUPT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

RECEIVED

NOV 23 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

RECEIVED

NOV 23 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

21 November 1977

C
O
P
Y

Mr. S. Eddie Pedersen
Mayor, City of Idaho Falls
P.O. Box 220
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

RE: 01-7013
01-7014
01-7015

Dear Mr. Pedersen:

Recently the Department of Lands received copies of applications for increasing the water allocation to the City of Idaho Falls for the production of electric power. We are aware of the long time which the City has utilized the sites described in the above-numbered applications. We are also aware of the new type of turbines which you plan to install in cooperation with ERDA to demonstrate low-head generation of electric power. You are to be commended for your foresight.

In spite of the long occupancy of the river by the diversion structures described in the applications, it is our understanding that at least two of these and the complimentary power houses are to be totally replaced. Because of this replacement process, an easement from the State of Idaho will be required for the continued occupancy and use of the riverbed. The easement application fee is \$5.00 for each easement and \$150.00 for each location. The attached information sheet describes the type of information which will be required, so that an easement may be prepared.

If you have questions concerning this requirement, please contact our Area Office in Idaho Falls. Mr. Lou Benedick will assist you in preparation of an appropriate application.

Very truly yours,

DIVISION OF EARTH RESOURCES

WILLIAM A. SCRIBNER, Chief
Bureau of Navigable Waters

WAS:ph
Attachment
cc: Eastern Area
Dept. of Water Resources

MICROFILMED



STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

RECEIVED
NOV 17 1977

REGION 6
1515 LINCOLN ROAD
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83401

November 16, 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Mr. Ronald D. Carlson, Supervisor
Eastern Region
State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Ron:

Re: 01-7015, City of Idaho Falls

Personnel from our Department have reviewed the above-referenced application for a permit to appropriate water from the Snake River in Bonneville County. The location of the point of diversion is SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13 Township 2N Range 37E BM. We have no objections to this work.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this project.

Sincerely,

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
Joseph C. Greenley, Director

Tom Reinecker
Regional Supervisor
Region 6

cc: Bureau of Program Coordination
Bureau of Fisheries
Paul Jeppson

MICROFILMED

CHANGE ORDER

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO
GENERAL SERVICESBox 220
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RECEIVED

296

NOV 16 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

CHANGE ORDER

Idaho Department Water Resource
Statehouse
Boise, ID 83720Purchase Order No. 13017 (11-2-77)Requisition No. 13641 ElectricDate November 9, 1977

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
	CHANGE ORDER		
	Filing Fees		
	Addition to Purchase Order:		40.00

Original - white
Purchasing - yellow
Controller - pink
Requestor - goldenrodBy Ed Stanger
Director

MICROFILMED

November 14, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Bureau of Power
555 Battery Street
Room 415
San Francisco, CA 94111

Gentlemen:

We are enclosing copies of three applications that may be of interest to your agency. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 14, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

Mr. Martin C. Derksence
District Manager
Bonneville Power Administration
Box 2558
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Derksence:

We are enclosing a copy of three applications that may be of interest to your agency. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 14, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

Department of Lands
Statehouse,
Boise, ID 83720

Gentlemen:

We are enclosing a copy of applications that may be of interest to your agency. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 14, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

District Engineer
Walla Walla Corps of Engineers
Bldg. 602, City-County Airport
Walla Walla, WA 99362

Dear Sir:

We are enclosing copies of three applications that may be of interest to your agency. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 14, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No.: 01-7013 CITY OF IDAHO FALLS
01-7014
01-7015

Mr. Tom Reinecker
Regional Supervisor
Department of Fish & Game
1515 Lincoln Rd.
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Dear Tom:

We are enclosing copies of three applications that may be of interest to your agency. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 10, 1977

RE: Application for Permit No. 01-7013 City of Idaho Falls
01-7014
01-7015
21-7143 Mrs. Earl Weatherston

Mr. Art Larson, Watermaster
Water District No. 01
P.O. Box 1099
Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Dear Art:

We are enclosing a copy of four applications that may be of interest to your water district. Please review the applications and if you have any comments, submit them to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of protest is December 5, 1977, and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:CD

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

November 10, 1977

RE: Claim to a Water Right No. 01-4001, 01-4002 & 01-4003
Application for Permit No. 01-7013, 01-7014 & 01-7015
CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

Post Register
P.O. Box 1800
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Gentlemen:

Enclosed you will find a legal notice which we wish to have published once a week for two consecutive weekly issues of your newspaper. We have inserted the publication dates allowing time for you to submit us a galley proof for review before publication.

After sending us a galley proof, proceed with the publication on the dates we have inserted, assuming the notice is correct. If we have any corrections, we will notify you before the first publication. If you cannot publish the notice on the proposed dates, please contact us immediately.

An affidavit of publication must be submitted to the Department along with the publication bill.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor,
Eastern Region

RDC:CW

Bill submitted 11-30-77 by CW

Enc: Legal Notice (6)

MICROFILMED

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR WATER PERMIT

Notice is hereby given that the City of Idaho Falls,
Idaho Falls, Idaho

has on 11-09-77 submitted Application No. 01-7015
for a permit to appropriate 2600 cubic feet per second XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
of water from the Snake River tributary to Columbia River
by means of a dam
within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 13, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T. 2N, R. 37E, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
Sec. 18, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 19, T. 2N, R. 38E, B.M., Bonneville County;

to be used from Jan. 1 to Dec. 31
for power generation

within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T. 2N, R. 37E, B.M.

If issued, this permit will be subject to all prior water rights. Protests
against the granting of the permit must be filed with the Idaho Department of
Water Resources, 1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho, 83401 on or before _____
December 5, 1977

C. STEPHEN ALLRED
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
R. KEITH HIGGINSON

Director

Published in the Post Register, Idaho Falls, Idaho
on November 17 and November 24, 1977

Mc
J.
1000
11/20/77

MAIL CERTIFIED INVOICE TO:

CONTROLLERS OFFICE

P. O. BOX 220

IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

City of Idaho Falls, Idaho

PURCHASE ORDER

No. 13017

S
H
I
P

T
O

V
E
N
D
O
R

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO

Idaho Department Water Resource
Statehouse
Boise, ID 83720

RECEIVED

NOV 9 1977

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

398404

☐ CONFIRMING☒ NON CONFIRMING

REQ. NO. 13641 Electric

DATE November 2, 1977

☐ FREIGHT F.O.B. IDAHO FALLS☐ FREIGHT BILLING MUST
ACCOMPANY INVOICE.

DELIVERY DATE

QUANTITY		DESCRIPTION	ACCOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
RECEIVED	ORDERED				
		Filing fees for applications for water right permits as follows:	005 510 401.79.48		
		Upper Power Plant-2600CFS			1225 ⁰⁰ 1205.00
		City Power Plant-2600CFS			1225 ⁰⁰ 1205.00
		Lower Power Plant-5100CFS			1475.00
					3885.00
					3925 ⁰⁰ J. J. J. J.

IDAHO STATE TAX EXEMPTION CERTIFICATE

NO. 0024045S-10-2-1

CITY OF IDAHO FALLS

PURCHASING AGENT

1. MAIL INVOICE AT TIME OF SHIPMENT. INVOICES RECEIVED AFTER 25TH OF MONTH WILL BE INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING MONTHS BUSINESS.
2. MAIL A SEPARATE INVOICE FOR EACH SHIPMENT AGAINST THIS PURCHASE ORDER IF PARTIAL SHIPMENTS ARE MADE.
3. ALL PACKAGES, INVOICES AND BILLS OF LADING MUST SHOW THE ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER.
4. PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS PURCHASE ORDER BY RETURN MAIL; AND IF NOT ACCEPTED AS WRITTEN, ADVISE AT ONCE, WITH EXPLANATION; ALSO GIVE SHIPPING DATE.
5. BE SURE TO ENCLOSE DELIVERY OR PACKING LIST WITH EACH SHIPMENT.
6. MAIL BILL OF LADING AT TIME OF SHIPMENT SHOWING THE ABOVE ORDER NUMBER AND COMPLETE ROUTING.

ORIGINAL

MIDWESTERN

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
- STAFF ANALYSIS -

Item Initial Date

Application No. City of Idaho Falls.

☒ Source
☒ Point of Diversion
☒ County
☒ Quantity of Water and Period of Use
☒ Description of Diverting Works
☒ Time required for B/U of water
☒ Place of Use or Acres Irrigated
☒ Map
☒ Signature
☒ Fee

(1) EMC 11-09-77

In form to receipt YES.

(2) " "

Send Copies to:

Idaho State Health Department
Idaho Fish and Game Department
Bureau of Land Management

(3) " "

ART LARSON.

Request Special Use Permit from U.S.F.S.
Request Easement from B.L.M.
Request Information from Applicant
Request Dam Safety Information

(4) " "

In form for advertising YES.

(5) " "

Platted on Map No. Idaho Falls South Quad

(6) " "

Located within Water District No. 01

(7) " "

Critical groundwater area: yes no ☒

(8) " "

Groundwater levels:

Declining, ☒ Stable, Increase

(comment)

(9) " "

Other rights on the same place of use YES - See 8c on Application.

(10) " "

Comments: application brings total water rights up to 5100 cfs.

(11) CW 11-30-77

Affidavit of Publication received (date) Nov. 30-1977

(12) CW 12-05-77

Final date for protests Dec. 5-1977

Protests received: yes ☒ no

(13) EMC 2/02/79

All requested information received from agencies or applicant: yes no

(14) EMC 2/02/79

Action recommended:

☒ Approve Deny

11/09/77 Proposed Priority

Reason for Reduction

Measuring device required

☒ See attached sheet for other conditions of approval

No special conditions for approval

RECEIVED
MAR 05 1979

Department of Water Resources

(15) District Eastern

By Ernest Carlson

Date 2/02/79

1084 x

MICROFILMED