

Skinner, Corey

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2003 1:15 PM
To: Skinner, Corey
Subject: Re: Six S Ranch Inc.

Corey

Thanks, sorry I am just getting back to you been out of town. Everything is okay with me.
Don Gunderson

----- Original Message -----

From: Skinner, Corey
To: 'gundy@safelink.net'
Sent: Friday, August 22, 2003 11:58 AM
Subject: FW: Six S Ranch Inc.

Don,

Kelly forwarded this message to me regarding the Six S transfer application (70676). I did the preliminary review on the application, so I will attempt to answer your questions.

Corey

-----Original Message-----

From: Christensen, Kelly
Sent: Friday, August 22, 2003 11:20 AM
To: Skinner, Corey
Subject: FW: Six S Ranch Inc.

-----Original Message-----

From: KiGuns Rancho Por La Vida II [mailto:gundy@safelink.net]
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2003 10:27 AM
To: Christensen, Kelly
Subject: Six S Ranch Inc.

Kelley,

I received an application of transfer 70676 for the following rights to be consolidated into one area of use. The water rights are 45-241, 45-258, 45-302 and 45-2738. I find no problem with the rights. I am questioning two (2) diversion points.

- 1) If 45-302 (known as Snodgrass Place) which has .80cfs is being moved up stream as the new diversion point, do I understand that the original ground will no longer have a water right? I assume the Snodgrass Place is the land located in the east 1/2 of Section 32 and the SESE of Section 29. They are moving these irrigated acres upstream to the land located in the west 1/2 of Section 32. This will leave the east 1/2 of Section 32 and the SESE of Section 29 without a water right.
- 2) At the point of diversion currently used for the property of 6S Ben on Marsh creek, the graphics received (pages 18 & 19) of the packet of pages 1 thru 19 shows the injection location at same point of diversion! I need to know what the definition of "injection location" means and if it is where everything is tied into the system at this point, then we have a problem. The injection location and the point of diversion location are actually two separate points,

9/2/2003

located close together. The injection location refers to where the Land Creek water is dumped (injected) into Marsh Creek and the diversion point is where the Land Creek water is rediverted from Marsh Creek. This rediversion point is also where the Marsh Creek water is diverted. Kelly indicated that you and him looked at these points during one of his visits to Albion this year.

a) The property is not located on the 6 S Ranch, but someone else's. Deeds and tax notices submitted with the application indicate that Six S owns the irrigated land, but they may not own the point of diversion locations, which is not uncommon.

b) The injection system or deep well is setup for injection of surface water & ground where the deep well is currently located and is on 6 S Ranch property (known as 6S Ben). It is located in 11s25e32t (swswsw). Are they making a change or is it staying at the same place and they have indicated on the graphic incorrectly? The application proposes no change to the well location. The location of the well on the graphics (maps) is indeed in the SWSWSW of Section 32. Kelly indicated that he GPSed the location and the graphic is based on the GPS reading.

I don't know who the IDWR resource person is that was working with the 6S Ranch people, can you help me on this?

Thanks for any input, I hope I have not confused you.

Don G. Gunderson

Watermaster 45F

Upgrade Outlook® - Add COLOR to your Emails

Click Here!

Outlook® is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation