

Nelson, Dan

From: Peppersack, Jeff
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2004 4:05 PM
To: Nelson, Dan
Subject: RE: Questions of Eureka Water Company.

Dan,
I think a letter from the applicant or the applicant's attorney asking to revise the transfer to provide for 8.1 acres would be sufficient. In this case, it is simply a reduction in the acreage necessary to accomplish the change (if it were an increase, an amendment would be appropriate). I see no reason for a formal amendment.

Jeff

-----Original Message-----

From: Nelson, Dan
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2004 3:58 PM
To: Peppersack, Jeff
Cc: Clark, Cynthia (Bridge)
Subject: Questions of Eureka Water Company.

Hello Jeff,

I just got off the telephone with Mike Cramer about the pond issues that you, Cynthia, and I were discussing today. Mike said that they would want to go with the 1 to 1 theory for pond use or 8.1 acres retired. He asked me a question that I wasn't sure how to handle, and I thought it would be best to ask you. Mike told me that it took approximately 1 year and \$2,000.00 to get the last amendment taken care of and back to my office. He wanted to know if he could simply write a letter to us or have Dan Steenson write the letter to go with the 8.1 acres retired to save money and time.

I wasn't sure how to handle this. Generally we would ask that they amend their transfer again, but due to the cost and time it would be easier to do it by a letter. The first transfer came in at 7.5 acres retired, the amended transfer came in at 10.5 acres retired. The 1 to 1 would require 8.1 acres retired.

I am willing to do what ever works, so I'll let you make that decision. I will call Mike back when I hear from you. I will also run it by Steve, but I am sure that he would agree with any decision you made.

Dan Nelson
Western Region