

c. Describe the lands and place of use to which the right will be transferred:


TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE¼				NW¼				SW¼				SE¼				TOTALS
			NE¼	NW¼	SW¼	SE¼	NE¼	NW¼	SW¼	SE¼	NE¼	NW¼	SW¼	SE¼	NE¼	NW¼	SW¼	SE¼	

Total number of acres to be irrigated _____

4. Describe any other water rights used for the same purpose as described above. _____

5. Draw a map indicating the new point(s) of diversion and/or the new land to be irrigated. Show clearly the land involved by section, township and range number.

R26E



Transfer No. 2577Water Right No. 74-0066

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT
To Change the Point of Diversion and/or the Place of Use

Name of applicant James and/or Maybelle Ellsworth Phone 768-2664Post Office address Box 60, Leadore, Idaho 83464**A. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT AS RECORDED**1. Right evidenced by:
74-0066; 74-0067a. Decree No. _____ Decreed to Thomas H and Emma Yearian
(Name)
in case of Hays vs Bensondated in May 14, 1920 in Sixth District county of _____
(Name of court)

b. License No. _____ issued by the Idaho Department of Water Resources

c. Claim No. _____ on file with the Idaho Department of Water Resources

2. If applicant is not the original holder of this right, explain how it was acquired and attach a copy of the deed or other evidence of ownership of the land to which the right is appurtenant Applicant is present owner of lands involved and is supported by findings of fact in current Lemhi adjudication - pg. 1333. Source of water Texas Creek tributary to Lemhi River4. Date of priority 74-0066 (6/1/1880); 74-0067 (6/1/1881)

5. Water is used for the following purposes:

74-0066 amount 2.74 cfs for Irrigation purposes from 4/1/ to 11/174-0067 amount 3.89 cfs for Irrigation purposes from 4/1 to 11/1amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(month/day — both dates inclusive)6. Total amount of water under right: a. 6.63 **see remarks cubic feet per second
&/or
b. _____ acre feet per annum7. Location of original point of diversion is SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 11Township 15N Range 26E, B.M., in Lemhi County

Additional points of diversion, if any _____

8. Lands irrigated or place of use:

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTALS
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	
74-0066																			
16N	26E	35							18.3	5.7		10.3	24.5						58.8
74-0067																			
15N	26E	2	L1 16.1	L2 35.5	L3 14.3	L4 40	L1 12.1	L2 0.3							22.8				141.1
16N	26E	35										24.5	25						49.5
																			96.6

Total number of acres to be irrigated 249.49. Describe any other water rights used for the same purpose as described above 74-2329
74-2320

10. To your knowledge, has any portion of this water right undergone a period of five or more consecutive years

B. DESCRIPTION OF PORTION OF RIGHT OWNED BY APPLICANT (If the entire right is owned by the applicant, omit part B).

1. Amount of water: a. _____ cubic feet per second
&/or
b. _____ acre feet per annum
2. Point of diversion _____ $\frac{1}{4}$ _____ $\frac{1}{4}$, Section _____ Township _____
Range _____, B.M., _____ County
Additional points _____
3. Place of use:

TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE $\frac{1}{4}$				NW $\frac{1}{4}$				SW $\frac{1}{4}$				SE $\frac{1}{4}$				TOTALS
			NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	NE $\frac{1}{4}$	NW $\frac{1}{4}$	SW $\frac{1}{4}$	SE $\frac{1}{4}$	

Total number of acres to be irrigated _____

C. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT OR PORTION THEREOF, AFTER THE REQUESTED CHANGE

1. General Information 74-0066 - 2.74
74-0067 - 3.89
a. Amount of water to be diverted 6.63 c.f.s. &/or _____ acre feet
b. Description of diverting works Diversion dam in creek via ditch to pond then pump thru sprinkler line
c. Describe the reasons for the proposed changes More efficient transport and use of water and will facilitate easier measurement and delivery of right.

2. Change in point of diversion

Point of diversion SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 2 Township 15N
Range 26E, B.M., Lemhi County
Additional points _____

3. Change in place of use No Change

- a. Are there liens, mortgages, or contracts on the lands from which you propose to transfer the water right which limit your authority to make the proposed change? Yes _____ No _____. If yes, provide a statement agreeing to the proposed change from the holder of the lien, mortgage or contract.
- b. Describe the affect on the land now irrigated if the place of use is changed pursuant to this transfer

c. Describe the lands and place of use to which the right will be transferred:


TWP.	RANGE	SEC.	NE%				NW%				SW%				SE%				TOTALS
			NE%	NW%	SW%	SE%	NE%	NW%	SW%	SE%	NE%	NW%	SW%	SE%	NE%	NW%	SW%	SE%	

Total number of acres to be irrigated _____

4. Describe any other water rights used for the same purpose as described above. _____

5. Draw a map indicating the new point(s) of diversion and/or the new land to be irrigated. Show clearly the land involved by section, township and range number.

R 26 E



SCALE: 2 inches equal 1 mile. Draw plan in ink.

D. REMARKS

The rate of diversion from Texas Creek when added to a portion of right

74-0042 from ^{Timber} Timber Creek actually delivered into the channel of Texas Creek by
and through the Yearian - Timber Creek Ditch shall not exceed 2.74 cfs (74-0066)
and 3.89 cfs (74-0067)

I hereby assert that no one will be injured by such change and that the change does not constitute an enlargement in use of the original right. The information contained in this application is true to the best of my knowledge.

I understand that any willfull misrepresentations made in this application may result in voiding its approval.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of June, 19 97.

My commission expires 6-30-85

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

This is to certify that I have examined Application for Transfer of Water Rights No. _____
and said application is hereby _____, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Witness my hand this _____ day of _____, 19_____.

FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Received by Ques Date 6-11-81

Prelim. check by KCLW Fee

Received by 601 Date 6-17-81

Published in December 1972

Pub. dates 7/24-19/81

Watermaster recommendation requested on _____

_____ rec'd. _____

Protest filed by 1961 10-10-1961

4. 1. The Social Capital Fund.

Neobellus hirsuta (Hyllberg)

Copies of protest forwarded by _____

Hearing held by _____ Date _____

Recommended for *Withdrawal* approval denial

by *JMC*

Trans. Noted

74-A-2-2

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE
OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LEMHI.

Nettie J. Hays; Thomas H. Yearian and Emma R. Yearian, successors in interest of Elizabeth J. Yearian and Nora Y. Whitwell; Emma Yearian, successor in interest to C. C. Cavanaugh, W. Cahoon, R. F. McAfee, W. A. Mayer and Chas. L. Stewart; Mary Barrows in her own right and as Administratrix of the Estate of George Barrows, deceased, and Rock Vezina,

PLAINTIFFS

vs. C. H. Benson, in his own right and as successor in interest of W. A. McCutcheon; Nora E. Benson; L. H. Benson; Silas C. Dishno, successor in interest of Minnie Proulx; Reese Dunkin, W. J. Davidson; George A. Martin, successor in interest of Nelson Friend; Robert Fleming, Maye Fleming Fownes; Gilmore & Pittsburgh Railroad Company, Ltd., a corporation; Alexander Realty Company, a corporation, William Musser; John P. McGivney, successor in interest of and substituted for Roy B. Herndon; Philip McGovern; Pittsburgh Idaho Company, a corporation; Michael Proulx William Queeney, Aaron J. Stewart; United States Forest Service Station; Quintus L. Webb; Anna M. Yearian; George W. Yearian; Joseph H. Yearian; Geo. P. Smith, Donald Fitzgerald; Mrs. Barnes, Boise City National Bank, a national banking corporation; Joseph Perrault, Jr., Walter Keefe, Belle T. McCutcheon; Herbert H. Hays; Joseph Perrault, Sr., S. F. Tobias; Idaho Development Company, a corporation; Lemhi County, Idaho, a municipal corporation Timothy Dore, as Special Deputy State Bank Commissioner of the State of Idaho

DEFENDANTS

This cause having heretofore come on for hearing before W. H. O'Brien, the referee regularly appointed by the Court for that purpose, and said hearing having been had without a jury;

The evidence and the proofs of the respective parties having been submitted to the said referee for his consideration and he having filed herein his Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and the Court having adopted said Findings of Fact and

ing arid and sub-irrigated lands owned and possessed by them to-wit:

25 acres in the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 34; 37 acres in the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 34; 23.4 acres in Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Section 35; 31.6 acres in Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 35; and 20 acres in Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Section 35, all in Township 16 North, Range 26 East, B.M.

Their right thereto to date from June 1, 1880.

And also to the use of such additional quantity of the

74 0067

waters of Texas Creek which when added to the remaining portion of the

waters of Timber Creek actually being delivered into the channel of said Texas Creek by and through the said Yearian Timber Creek ditch,

shall amount to 3.89 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of said Texas Creek, or of the co-mingled waters of Texas and Timber

Creeks, or so much thereof as shall be necessary, diverted from the

channel of Texas Creek at a point South 40 degrees 23 minutes West 1465 feet

from the Southeast corner of Section 2, Township 15 North, Range 26 East

B.M. for the irrigation of the following described arid lands owned and possessed by them and for domestic use, to-wit:

40 acres in the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 2; 26 acres in the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 2; 27 acres in Lot 1 of Section 2; and 36 acres in Lot 2 of said Section 2, all in Township 15 North, Range 26 East, B.M.; also

27.4 acres in the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 35; and 21 acres in the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 35, all in Township 16 North Range 26 East, B.M.

Their right thereto to date from June 1, 1881.

~~74 0068~~

The judgment and decree herein is without prejudice to

the rights of said plaintiffs, Thomas H. Yearian and Emma R.

Yearian to the beneficial use of any and all excess waters of

Timber Creek, over and above 4 cubic feet per second of time

thereof, delivered into the channel of Texas Creek by and through

the Yearian Timber Creek Ditch, so-called, and shall not be

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO — 1

DATE 11/3/1982

FROM Kay Walker

TO Water Right No. 74-0067

SUBJECT Withdrawn Application for Transfer No. 2577

See Water Right File No. 74-0066 for all other correspondence regarding the above referenced application for transfer.

RECEIVED
NOV 11 1982
WATER RIGHTS
DIVISION

SIGNATURE



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

John V. Evans
Governor

A. Kenneth Dunn
Director

150 Shoup
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401
(208) 525-7161

July 21, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer of Water Rights Nos. 74-0066 and 74-0067

James &/or Maybelle Ellsworth
Box 60
Leadore, ID 83464

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Ellsworth:

Enclosed herewith are copies of additional protests against approval of the above referenced application. Should you have any questions in this matter, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

KAY WALKER
Secretary

KW:k
Enclosures

RECEIVED
JUL 23 1981
IDaho Falls

A. Kenneth Dunn
Idaho Department of Water Resources
150 Shop Avenue
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Dear Mr. Dunn,

Re: Water Rights # 74-0066 74-0067

In regards to an application for change in the point of diversion of the waters of Texas Creek, tributary to Lemhi River, applied for by James and Maybelle Ellsworth, I have no objections to a change in point of diversion as long as the water is metered at the original diversion point.

Sincerely,

James Fuquay

On July 20, 1981 I contacted Mr. Fuquay to inform him that Mr. Ellsworth's application requests the point of measurement be changed. Realizing this was the case Mr. Fuquay asked that his letter be accepted as a protest. JMC.

RECEIVED
JUL 20 1981

RECEIVED

JUL 20 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

July 14, 1981

Idaho Department of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave.
Idaho Falls, Idaho

Dear Sirs:

This letter is in protest to proposed change of water rights #74-0066/74-0067. The right is 100 years old, one of the best on the creek. I feel it should continue as then decreed. Because the stream bed is wide and rocky a great deal of percolation and evaporation loss would occur by changing the diversion point downstream. Up stream users would certainly suffer from this change.


My water right on Texas Creek is #74-0107.

M. J. Overacker

M. J. Overacker

Box 1165

Salmon, Idaho 83467



Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

RECEIVED
JUL 17 1981

Sir,

In reference to change of water rights nos. 74-0066 and 74-0067 of James + Maybelle Ellsworth, Leadore Idaho.

I protest to the change of point of diversion on the grounds of extreme water loss to the new diversion point, which would have to be made up by the rest of Texas Creek water users of which I am a member.

Charles Isom
Leadore, Idaho

83464
RECEIVED
JUL 17 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

100-1234567

July 21, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

James Fuquay
Star Route
Leadore, Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. Fuquay:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 21, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

Charles Isom
Leadore,
Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. Isom:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 21, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

M. J. Overacker
Box 1165
Salmon, Idaho 83467

Dear Mr. Overacker:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 14, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067

James &/or Maybelle Ellsworth
Box 60
Leadore, Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Ellsworth:

Enclosed please find a copy of the protest filed against the above referenced application. You should be aware that the following are the items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing. Legal counsel is not mandatory at an proceeding before the Department, although you may desire to employ an attorney in your behalf.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

Enclosure

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 15, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

F. J. Whittaker
Two Dot Ranch
Leadore, Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. Whittaker:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 15, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

James Whittaker
Box 179
Leadore, Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. Whittaker:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 15, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067. ELLSWORTH

Lloyd & Beva Lue Clark
Box 8
Leadore, Idaho 83464

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Clark:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 15, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

Michael J. Overacker
Dennis R. Studebaker
P.O. Box 1376
Salmon, Idaho 83467

Gentlemen:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

July 14, 1981

RE: Application for Transfer No. 74-0066/0067 ELLSWORTH

M. E. Slavin
Box 331
Salmon, Idaho 83467

Dear Mr. Slavin:

This is to acknowledge receipt of the protest filed against the above referenced application for transfer. The following are the principle items we can consider at a conference and/or hearing in either approving or denying an application for transfer:

1. Are other water rights injured by the transfer?
2. Does the change constitute an enlargement in the use of the original right?

You will be notified at a later date as to the time and place set for conference and/or hearing.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Eastern Regional Supervisor

RDC:cw:kw

cc: IDWR - State Office

Leadore, Idaho
July 13, 1981

A. Kenneth Dunn
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave.
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

This is a letter of protest to the
permitting of change of point of
diversion of waters of Spas Creek,
tributary to Lemhi River, by James
Ellsworth & Maebelle Ellsworth, NDS.
74-0066/74-0067.

The reason for protest is that
the amount in the additional distan
would be so great as to jeopardize
other water rights on the creek.

Thank you:
Floyd Clark
Deva Lee Clark
Leadore, Idaho
Box 8 83464

RECEIVED

JUL 14 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Leadore, Idaho 83464
July 13, 1981

A. Kenneth Dunn
Idaho Department of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

RECEIVED

JUL 14 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Dear Sir:

This letter is to protest the change of diversion of rights 74-0066 and 74-0067 on the waters of Texas Creek tributary to the Lemhi River.

This change, if allowed, would take considerably more water to fill the rights, as the creek channel has been dry below the point of diversion now in effect.

Mr. Ellsworth has found that out this year, as this is the first year he has taken his water down the old stream channel instead of in his ditch.

It is all right for him to take the water down the old channel, it just ought to be measured at the same point as in the past, so that he stands the loss instead of the rest of the creek owners.

This change should be denied to save a legal confrontation.

Sincerely,

James Whittaker
James Whittaker
Box 179
Leadore, Idaho 83464

MAILED
JUL 14 1981

RECEIVED

JUL 15 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Michael J. Overacker
Dennis R. Studabaker
P.O. Box 1376
Salmon, Idaho 83467

Dear Mr. Damm,

We would like to protest the proposed change of water rights nos. 74-0066 74-0067 proposed by James and Maybelle Eganworth, Seador Idaho,

We would be involved through rights 74-0086 74-0098 74-0085 74-0087 74-0100, these are the Stra Brown rights which we now own. These numbers are as listed in the Brown book.

Due to the types of soil that Texas Creek runs through & evaporation; there is considerable stream loss. The ditch from the existing diversion travels through the same types of soil and has the losses which are taken by the owner of the right. We feel that if this change of diversion is granted the losses will be taken by the stream and lost water will have to be made up by water users having rights with a later priority date. Water at times is critical in Texas Creek. Further duty to make up losses for someone else is totally unacceptable.

Thank you
Michael J. Overacker
Dennis R. Studabaker

SHORTHORN CATTLE

CROSSBRED SHEEP

F. J. WHITTAKER

TWO DOT • RANCH

LEADORE, IDAHO - 83464

July 13, 1981

A. Kenneth Dunn
Idaho Department of Water Resources
150 Shoup Ave.
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Gentlemen:

This letter refers to Nos. 74-0066 and 74-0067, in regard to James Ellsworth wanting to change his point of diversion on Texas Creek.

I wish to very strongly protest this change as it would be very detrimental to the rest of the creek owners to run his water down the old gravelly creek channel to a lower point of diversion, as it would take a large amount of shrinkage to do it.

I hope you will see fit to reject this change so that we won't have to go to court to stop it.

Yours very truly,


F. J. Whittaker

Floyd J. Whittaker

RECEIVED

JUL 14 1981

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office



Dear Siss, July 10 1951
I am a user of Texas Creek
Water, therefore I am opposed
and protest the change
of water right filed by
James & Maybelle Ellsworth
of Goodhue Idaho

W. E. Ellsworth
Box 551
Idaho, Idaho.

RECEIVED

JUL 13 1951

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

RECEIVED