

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-31738 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	BULL DEVELOPMENT INC ATTN: MIKE HOMAN 2229 W STATE ST STE B BOISE, ID 83702 (208)429-1900
Original Owner	BETTY L ECHANOVE RT 1 DRY CREEK RD BOISE, ID 83703
Original Owner	ELENA ANITA GIBBENS 7481 N BOGART LN BOISE, ID 83703 (208)853-9239
Original Owner	PEDRO ECHANOVE 5750 DRY CREEK RD BOISE, ID 83703 (208)939-6783
Current Owner	WAYNE MEULEMAN 5854 W DRY CREEK RD BOISE, ID 83714 (208) 229-8398
Current Owner	SUSAN MEULEMAN 5854 W DRY CREEK RD BOISE, ID 83714 (208) 229-8398

Status: Active

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/01 to 11/15	0.200 CFS	
	Total Diversion:	0.200 CFS	

<u>Location of Point(s) of Diversion</u>		
DRY CREEK	SE1/4SE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 31, Twp 05N, Rge 02E, B.M.
ADA County		

IRRIGATION

[illegible]

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-31738 V1

05N 02E 31		10.0					10.0
Total Acres: 10							

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

1. Quantity USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 63-31740 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF .2 CFS.
2. Place of Use - Irrigation USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 63-31740 IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 10.0 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.
3. Explanatory PROPERTY IS ALSO KNOWN AS LOT 9, BLK 1, ECHANOVE RANCH SUBDIVISION NO. 1.

Comments:

1. dhatfield 7/23/2003 Ownership info

Comment: This right is the result of a split due to change in ownership for a portion of 63-4849.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector:
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
0.2		10
Combined Water Rights: 63-31738 , 63-31740		

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Summary			07/23/2003	dhatfield	Active
Contacts	7/25/2003 9:33:14 AM	rhowerto			Active
Priority Date	7/25/2003 9:35:29 AM	rhowerto			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-31738 V1

POD	7/25/2003 9:35:50 AM	rhowerto	Active
POU	7/25/2003 9:35:51 AM	rhowerto	Active
Source	7/25/2003 9:35:52 AM	rhowerto	Active
Use	7/25/2003 9:35:52 AM	rhowerto	Active
Season	7/25/2003 9:35:53 AM	rhowerto	Active
Quantity	7/25/2003 9:35:54 AM	rhowerto	Active
POD	7/25/2003 9:38:22 AM	rhowerto	Active
Source	7/25/2003 9:38:52 AM	rhowerto	Active
POU	7/25/2003 9:39:21 AM	rhowerto	Active
Use	7/25/2003 9:39:46 AM	rhowerto	Active
Season	7/25/2003 9:40:14 AM	rhowerto	Active
Quantity	7/25/2003 9:40:51 AM	rhowerto	Active
Summary	07/25/2003	rhowerto	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Summary	7/23/2003 8:51:37 AM	dhatfield	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? (Yes) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?
Contacts	7/25/2003 9:33:15 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: FX DONE
POD	7/25/2003 9:35:51 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
POU	7/25/2003 9:35:52 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Source	7/25/2003 9:35:52 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Use	7/25/2003 9:35:53 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Season	7/25/2003 9:35:54 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Quantity	7/25/2003 9:35:55 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-31738 V1

Priority Date	7/25/2003 9:35:30 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (No) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (No) 7. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (No) 9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? (Yes) 12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 12/13 - HOMESTEAD CERTIFICATE
POD	7/25/2003 9:42:57 AM	rhowerto	Edited point location
POU	7/25/2003 9:44:01 AM	rhowerto	Edited shape boundary
POD	7/25/2003 9:38:23 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	7/25/2003 9:38:53 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim an Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the source? (Yes) 10. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the source? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 10 - FIELD EXAM
POU	7/25/2003 9:39:21 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2b. Is the POU required? (No) 3. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? (Yes) 13. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POU? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 13 - FIELD EXAM

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-31738 V1

Use	7/25/2003 9:39:47 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use? (Yes) 11. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Water Use? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 10,11,12 - FIELD EXAM
Season	7/25/2003 9:40:15 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	7/25/2003 9:40:50 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2. Is the basis of this claim a Application or Permit? (No) 6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? (Yes) 7. Is a flow measurement required? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (Yes) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required? Description for Question 7 - MANNING
Summary	7/25/2003 9:45:50 AM	rhowerto	(No) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed? (Yes) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?