

Robertson, Jean

From: Peter Bowler [pabowler@uci.edu]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2004 7:15 PM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: Application for Permit 37-21339

Hi Jeanie,

Sorry it took me awhile to get back to you. The pond will be entirely on my property and will oval shaped. It will be 20 feet wide at the widest, 30 feet long, and will be between 2 and 3 feet deep. If you need any more information, let me know. I have a new digital camera and could try sending you a photo of the site (I haven't used it yet, but I sure hope it is easier to download than my other one). I think you took pictures of the site as well.

Best regards,

Peter

>Dear Peter,

>

>Just need a little more clarification regarding the proposed pond. It
>sounds like you are not diverting the stream but putting a small dam in it.
>How high will this structure be? We will also need some dimensions on the
>pond's size including depth and surface area in order to calculate a volume.
>This part of the right will be listed in acre feet instead of a diversion
>rate in cfs. Please call or email if you have any questions.

>Sincerely,

>

>Jeanie Robertson

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Peter Bowler [mailto:pabowler@uci.edu]

>Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 8:49 AM

>To: jroberts@idwr.state.id.us

>Subject: Application for Permit 37-21339

>

>

>Dear Jeanie,

>

>My apology for not sending you a note yesterday after our telephone
>conversation. As you know, this spring arises on my property and
>flows through my property to the Snake River, thus there are no
>competing applications, nor do I foresee any in the future. Here are
>the answers to the questions posed in your letter of October 15, 2004:

>

>Is the domestic use for in-house only or does it include irrigation?
>It will be used for both in-house and irrigation (on no more than a
>half acre) purposes. This property parcel is slightly over 1 acre in
>size and there are no other water rights for it (no irrigation or
>domestic rights).

>

>Are there any overlapping domestic and/or other rights for the same
>place of use?

>No. There are no other rights associated with the parcel.

>

>What is the aesthetic use? Pond? Do you intend to divert the stream?
>I will create a pond by installing a concrete headgate system in the
>stream corridor to impound it (the stream will not be diverted; a
>natural depression through which the stream flows will be used for

$20 \times 30 \times 2.5 = 1500 \div 43560 = 0.034 \text{ AF}$

>the pond).

>

>Is the 0.09 cfs justified?

>Yes, it is justified by the use of the water for the pond, without

>which a pond could not be created - and it also will be used to

>sustain a birch grove and other riparian habitat as it drains into

>the river.

>

>

>If you have any questions, please do not hesitate in calling.

>

>

>Sincerely,

>

>

>Peter A. Bowler