

Comment Report

95-9041

1. eretelle 8/16/2004 Reason for amendment

Comment: There was no land owned by the Kramers in Lot 8 as shown in ArcView and the shapes of the lots did not look quite right. I called the assessors office to search for the Kramer property and I was told they had a piece of land in Lot 9. I confirmed this in ArcView and changed the PoD and PoU to reflect reality. The field examiner reported Lot 8 as well, but there is no indication of method of determination - I suspect it was just copied from the permit.

2. DBALL 2/24/2000 Examiner's notes.

Comment: POD owned by applicant and Department of Lands. Pump in river and irrigation system. Time required 2 years.

3. CZIMMERM 6/26/2000 APPLICATION REVIEW

Comment: This application is located within a minimum stream flow and, therefore, requires planning review prior to issuing a permit.

4. E Retelle 8/24/2004 Telephone conversation

Comment: Mr. Kramer left a message yesterday. I returned his call this morning and explained to him the reason for amendment. He is going to look into where his property lies. ArcView taxlots show the Kramer property in government lot 9 and the permit shows government lot 8. If he finds lot 9, he will send the amendment, if he finds lot 8, he will send evidence (warranty deed).