

Retelle, Erin

From: Stanton, Jim
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 4:36 PM
To: Retelle, Erin
Cc: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: T66721 Elquist Ranches

Erin - The well in the SE/NE Sec. 5 is being abandoned, as it is a poor producer that is not practical to use.

-----Original Message-----

From: Retelle, Erin
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 4:17 PM
To: Stanton, Jim
Subject: RE: T66721 Elquist Ranches

Jim,

I just wanted to confirm that the applicants are changing the PoD from the well in the SENE of Section 5 to the new well they will be drilling - essentially abandoning that well for the new one rather than *adding* a PoD. I need to make sure because if they still plan to use that Section 5 well for the rights involved in the transfer, there are a bunch of other rights that will have to come into the transfer as associated rights.

Thanks for your help,
Erin

-----Original Message-----

From: Stanton, Jim
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 3:49 PM
To: Retelle, Erin
Cc: Stanton, Jim
Subject: T66721 Elquist Ranches

Erin - I just heard from Sandor Elquist on the location of the proposed replacement well. It will be in the north 40 of the 2 pods shown on the app. Hope this is sufficient to get the transfer approved so they can start drilling. If you need ANYTHING else, let me know right away. Thanks.