

Comment Report

23-7097

1. dcarlson 7/21/2003 Field Report Narrative

Comment: sump hole concrete with two pumps one 2 HP GE electrical pump for the lawns, one allis-chalmer motor 226 ci centrifugal berkley pump. to mainline and handlines

2. E Retelle 9/3/2004 Overlap

Comment: this right has the same PoU as BU claim 23-10035 (surface water). 23-10035 is being recommended for 39.8 acres. The ArcView shape shows 57 acres, 43 of which are overlapped by the shape for this right.

3. E Retelle 9/7/2004 re-naming source

Comment: The true source of water for this right is groundwater, not a drain. There has been no change of source to this right, only a clarification of the source name.

4. E Retelle 9/9/2004 Conjunctive management condition

Comment: Since this water is from an excavated sump source and not a well, it probably has some interaction with Snake River water. Water master Ron Carlson suggests adding condition R05 to the license.

Keen, Shelley

From: Carlson, Ron
Sent: Thursday, September 09, 2004 10:44 AM
To: Keen, Shelley
Subject: RE: Licensing 23-7097 and 23-7096

Shelley -

You probably recognize my ongoing objection to most if not all of the conjunctive management conditions in transfers and licenses. I think that as a general rule diverting from a sump is a futile call issue, and the longer term injury is impossible to determine. In the case where sumps are constructed for accessing subwater there may be issues related to both 42-228, 42-212. I do not think it is productive to be more specific than to simply advise the right holder that he is subject to regulation by the watermaster. Should regulation be deemed necessary at some point in the future, the appropriate "conjunctive management provisions" will need to be worked out at that time. We certainly do not know what they should be now.

Ron

-----Original Message-----

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Thursday, September 09, 2004 10:13 AM
To: Carlson, Ron
Subject: Licensing 23-7097 and 23-7096

Ron,

We're trying to issue licenses for irrigation permits 23-7097 and 23-7096. Both diversions are from excavated sumps, not wells. One is about a quarter mile from the Snake River; the other is about half a mile from the river. It is likely that there is a relatively immediate hydraulic connection with the river. In situations like this, do you think we need to put some kind of conjunctive management provisions on the licenses?

Shelley Keen
Water Right Permits Section
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
208-327-7946
skeen@idwr.state.id.us