

Comment Report

65-22377

1. e retelle 1/7/2004 amendment

Comment: PoU changed slightly, P44 required if no amendment. Also, measuring device requirement not complied with - correspondence sent.

2. eretelle 1/14/2005 Phone call

Comment: One of Rex Lanhams workers gave me a call to tell me Rex is in Mexico for a month, but he read my letter and plans to contact the watermaster about the measuring device requirement. I put the file on 30 day call up from today to give them a little extra time.

3. eretelle 2/2/2005 Phone call 2

Comment: Rex Lanhams worker called again today just to make sure they had not gone over their time limit for working with the watermaster because Rex just returned to town. I told him it was not due to be called up out of the drawer until the 14th. He said he would speak to Ron Shurtleff (WM) today.

4. eretelle 2/3/2005 request for letter

Comment: I called Mr. Lanham today to request he send a letter stating what he was requesting in regard to removal or modification of the measurement/locking requirement on his permit. He agreed and also requested we send a definition of what we consider to be a permanent system and suitable control for the watermaster.

5. skeen 9/19/2001 POU Shape

6. skeen 9/19/2001 POU Shape

Comment: The POU shape for the permit shows only 30.7 acres. The licensing examiner should determine the extent of the POU very carefully.

7. dnelson 5/2/2001 3:13:00 PM General Comments/Analysis

Comment: There are overlapping water rights for the place of use, but are for other lands than will be irrigated by this application. The point of diversion also has overlapping rights, but they are on the other side of the Payette River.

8. dnelson 5/2/2001 3:13:01 PM Legal Notice Remarks

Comment: Applicant agrees to mitigate consumptive use in the future as needed.