

PAGE 1
May-03-2005


OTHER PROVISIONS (continued)

priority. For example, if there are seven points of diversion from the natural channel, which have the same 1879 date of priority, and the flow in the stream is equal to one-half of the amount of flow necessary to fully satisfy all of the 1879 priorities at their respective points of diversion, then the diversion rates at each of the seven points of diversion shall be reduced by one-half. A pro rata sharing of the water in the stream shall continue to be enforced among rights of equal 1879 priority until the watermaster shall have determined that water in the stream is inadequate to reach a downstream 1879 point of diversion in a sufficient quantity to be applied to beneficial use. Thereafter, upstream 1879 appropriators who are still able to receive water in a sufficient quantity to be applied to beneficial use shall continue a pro rata sharing of the water in the stream.

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. I.C. SECTION 42-1412(6).

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.


John M. Melanson
Presiding Judge of the
Snake River Basin Adjudication