

Cox, Sharla

From: Warner, Roger
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2005 8:34 AM
To: Cox, Sharla
Subject: FW: More comments for the Williams Lake Water & Sewer District File

Please print out and include with the file.

-----Original Message-----

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2005 7:52 AM
To: Warner, Roger
Subject: More comments for the Williams Lake Water & Sewer District File

Roger,

I've attached an email that should be printed and put with the Williams Lake Water & Sewer District Amendment file.

Thanks.

Shelley



RE: RE:
nowledge ment Lett

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary & Dani Mulkey [mailto:garymulkey@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2005 7:30 PM
To: Shelley.Keen@idwr.idaho.gov
Cc: Kathleen13@earthlink.net
Subject: Fw: RE: Acknowledgement Letter Williams Lake Recreational

I have received a copy of the amended applications. Thank you.
Applications are for 75-7052 and 75-7589.
7052 is for lake water and there is no system available to use this water.
What is the beneficial use of this permit?
Why would it be combined with 75-7589 which is an in-house only permit.
That does not make sense.

7589 original application calls for 0.50cfs and this amendment calls for
beneficial use of 0.21cfs. Does this mean a reduction in water
availability?

It appears on both amendments that the approval will say that the total
annual maximum diversion volume would be 79.2af. This tells me that if only
one permitted source was use the the "spring" could pump 79.2af. That seems
to be a very large increase in water.
Since the Lake water does not have a current system this tells me the Board
plans to pump all of the 79.2af from the spring permit.
I will admit that I do not know what the relationship of cfs is to af so my
assumptions could be incorrect. Please explain.

Your letter to me and the Board of June 21, 2005 indicated that IDWR would
most likely leave the "unique condition" of "only homes built and served by
district water lines before or during the development period may be
recognized as legitimate places of use" in the approval . I see not mention
of this "unique condition" in the copy of Action of Approval of the IDWR.
Does this mean it was omitted in error or that the condition will not be a
part of the approval?

Thank you again. gm

-----Forwarded Message-----

From: "Cox, Sharla" <Sharla.Cox@idwr.idaho.gov>
Sent: Oct 11, 2005 11:18 AM
To: 'Gary & Dani Mulkey' <garymulkey@peoplepc.com>
Subject: RE: Acknowledgement Letter Williams Lake Recreational

Here are the copies you requested.

Sharla

Sharla Cox
Idaho Dept of Water Resources
900 N Skyline Dr Ste A
Idaho Falls ID 83402
208-525-7161 - phone
208-525-7177 - fax
sharla.cox@idwr.idaho.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary & Dani Mulkey [mailto:garymulkey@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2005 10:31 PM
To: Cox,Sharla
Subject: Re: Acknowledgement Letter Williams Lake Recreational

Could you please send me a copy of the amended application.
Thank you

-----Original Message-----

From: "Cox, Sharla" <Sharla.Cox@idwr.idaho.gov>
Sent: Oct 5, 2005 1:10 PM
To: "'garymulkey@peoplepc.com'" <garymulkey@peoplepc.com>
Subject: Acknowledgement Letter Williams Lake Recreational

Sharla

Sharla Cox
Idaho Dept of Water Resources
900 N Skyline Dr Ste A
Idaho Falls ID 83402
208-525-7161 - phone
208-525-7177 - fax
sharla.cox@idwr.idaho.gov

<<AcknowledgementLetter_.rtf>>

PeoplePC Online
A better way to Internet
<http://www.peoplepc.com>

PeoplePC Online
A better way to Internet
<http://www.peoplepc.com>

PeoplePC Online
A better way to Internet
<http://www.peoplepc.com>