

Walker, Kay

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2005 5:09 PM
To: 'kathleen13'
Cc: Walker, Kay
Subject: RE: Williams Lake Recreational Water and Sewer District

Ms. Glikzman,

I am forwarding your message to our license reviewer so that she can be sure to analyze and address your question when preparing the water right licenses for Williams Lake Water and Sewer District.

In general, IDWR is responsible to make sure that the permit holder has complied with all the conditions of the permit. The license must also be limited to the extent of historic beneficial use of water. If the permit authorized a specific use, but the use was not established, then that use cannot be included on the license. However, a change to municipal purposes is usually intended to give the water delivery organization latitude to provide water to a greater variety of uses than may have been established originally, so long as the diversion rate and volume limits of the right are not exceeded.

Sincerely,

Shelley Keen
Water Right Permits Section
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources
208-287-4947
shelley.keen@idwr.idaho.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: kathleen13 [mailto:kathleen13@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2005 1:30 PM
To: shelley.keen@idwr.idaho.gov
Subject: Williams Lake Recreational Water and Sewer District

Dear Shelley,

I have been in contact with Dani and Gary Mulkey about the proposed change in status of the Williams Lake water district. My name is Kathy Glikzman and I, too, am a homeowner serviced by that district. I am also the former owner of Williams Lake Resort.

I have a question for you regarding the District's responsibility under its current status to provide water for the resort. One of the conditions of the original water rights was to provide water for the resort. Will that condition remain in effect under the proposed change to municipal status?

I understand that an assessment of the amount of water the resort needs while at maximum occupancy was never completed and so the water licensing process does not include that calculation. Gary Spackman's final decision on the water rights named the resort specifically and I just want to make sure that, although the resort is not currently hooked to the district lines, their specific right to hook up to the system is not in jeopardy.

Thanks!
Kathy Glikzman
87 Dapplegray Lane
Rolling Hills Estates
CA 90274

(310) 325-9315
kathleen13@earthlink.net