

Keen, Shelley

From: Brown, Roxanne
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 11:35 AM
To: Keen, Shelley
Subject: FW: 43-07207, GPS Assisted License Amendment



43-07207 Amd
Notice Claim.pdf

-----Original Message-----

From: Fred_Price@blm.gov [mailto:Fred_Price@blm.gov]
Sent: May 08, 2006 11:24 AM
To: Brown, Roxanne
Subject: 43-07207, GPS Assisted License Amendment

Roxanne,

RE: 43-07207

You had a question about how many POUs there should be and what the POD data captured.

There should be two POUs listed because the reservoir exists within two legal land descriptions:

POUS should be:
T16S R25E S21 NESW
T16S R25E S21 SESW

What to describe as the POD was confusing for our field people. They didn't know if the POD should be the diversion on the stream (marked "POD Inlet" on map in T16S R25E S21 NESESW), or if it should be (or also be) the berm behind which water backs up (T16S R25E S21 SESESW). The Amended Notice of Claim signed by me on 02-07-06 (attached) represents the diversion on the stream (POD Inlet) as the POD (T16S R25E S21 NESESW). I believe the diversion on the stream (T16S R25E S21 NESESW) is the correct choice according to IDWR's standards.

POD should be:
T16S R25E S21 NESESW

I hope this resolves any weirdness we created from our earlier submission of data without adequate explanation.

Fred
(See attached file: 43-07207 Amd Notice Claim.pdf)