

Cox, Sharla

From: kathleen13 [kathleen13@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2006 10:02 PM
To: Cox, Sharla
Subject: Re: Hearing

Thanks for the information, Sharla. I do understand the purpose of the meeting, just used the wrong word. I only wondered about taping it for the some of the people who can't be there because of illness, financial concern and poor hearing.
Kathleen

Cox, Sharla wrote:

>Kathleen, First of all, let me explain that we are holding a PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE not a preliminary hearing. The department holds the pre-hearing conference for at least two reasons: 1. Bring parties together for what could potentially be a negotiated settlement. 2. Work out a hearing schedule (ie. set a hearing date, dates for discovery, etc.,). The pre-hearing conference does NOT follow the rules of procedure such as would a hearing. It is an informal conference with concerned parties. We do not record the PRE-HEARING due to it is an informal conference. You may request to record this pre-hearing on Monday, April 24th, BUT, everyone must agree to let you record it.

>
>Thanks for your concern. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.
>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: kathleen13 [mailto:kathleen13@earthlink.net]
>Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2006 2:33 PM
>To: Cox, Sharla
>Subject: Hearing

>
>
>Dear Sharla,
>
>I am getting ready for the April 24th hearing, and wondered if the State
>will be taping the meeting. If so, is it possible to get a copy? If
>not, is there a problem with the participants recording the whole thing?

>
>Thanks.

>
>Kathleen Gliksman

>
>
>
>
>
>