

47-17314

Comment Report

47-17314

1. jstanton 4/18/2006 POD

Comment: Updated shape

2. jstanton 4/18/2006 POU

Comment: Updated Shape

3. jstanton 4/17/2006 11:03:49 AM Special Administration Area Notes

Comment: Special Administration Areas : None

Exempt:

Reason:

Doc Attached:

Explanation:

4. jstanton 4/17/2006 11:04:19 AM Additional Information Supporting Application

Comment: Residency affidavit for hydropower development: N/A

Additional hydropower project information: N/A

Additional fish propagation project information: N/A

Appropriation Rule 40.05.c information: N/A

Mitigation Plan or acceptance form: N/A

Evidence of Pre-1987 development: N/A

5. jstanton 4/17/2006 Comment/Analysis

Comment: This application proposes to use water available at the end of the Twin Falls Canal Co. system to water about 1/3 acre of trees below the Hackley home. Runoff from adjacent gravity irrigation has historically kept the trees alive, but that property is being subdivided. The applicants think that the wastewater supply from that property will cease, so want to develop their own system to water the trees via a gravity pipeline from the existing ditch. They have about 1/5 acre of lawn, so total irrigation should not exceed 1/2 acres; under 42-111, the permit needs to be conditioned accordingly. We recently approved a similar permit on the same water source, and a little upstream from this property. There is plenty of water available for the proposed use. The applicants have a verbal agreement with the owner of Lot 9A to run a pipeline through his property, and the owner of that lot will apply for his own permit to water some of the water from the pipeline on Lot 9A. The applicant appears to be exempt from the 1992 moratorium, so should be approvable with standard conditions.

The other permit approved for this same water source, 47-17225, shows the source as tributary to the Snake River; I thought this stream flowed over the canyon rim and right down to the river. But after looking more closely at area maps, I am not sure if this water reaches the Snake or not. I therefore called the source tributary to sinks. The

water reaches the Snake or not. I therefore called the source tributary to sinks. The licensing examiner should try to determine which is correct.

6. jstanton 4/17/2006 Legal Notice Remarks

Comment: This application proposes to divert one inch of waste water through a gravity pipeline for the irrigation of existing trees behind the Hackley home. The trees have historically received field runoff from land just west of there, but that land is being subdivided. With field runoff expected to cease, a new water supply is needed to preserve the trees. The property is located 2 1/4 miles east and 1 7/8 miles north of the north five points intersection in Twin Falls.

7. jstanton 1/8/2007 Corrected Application

Comment: During SRBA review of other claims in the area, it was determined that the pod was further upstream than what the original application showed. Mr. Hackley will be tapping into an existing irrigation ditch used by neighbor Qualls and others, so the pod is being moved upstream to the diversion works for the ditch. The diversion works should not be affected by this application, as there appears to be enough water in the ditch to supply the requested use. I prepared a corrected application, which the Hackleys signed and returned to me. Allen said that this needs to be advertised, so I got a \$50 readvertising fee from the applicants. New SDE work was done for the pod, and the file will be given to Mary to readvertising.