

RECEIVED

JUL 02 2003

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

State of Idaho

Department of Water Resources

Notice of Protest

1. The matter being protested is the Application for Permit No. 36-16156

in the name of Clear Springs Foods.

2. The name of the protestant is Catherine B. Wright.

3. There is not a Representative at this time.

4. The service mailing address is: Catherine B. Wright

3675 Canyon Lane

Buhl, Idaho 83316

5. The service telephone number is 208-543-4616.

6. The proposed well might reduce the quantity of water available for our existing water rights 36-04019B, 36-04019C, 36-04019A (all of which have been

in use since 1929), and 36-7248. The water is used on our ranch for irrigating,

watering livestock, raising fish, and domestic use. The spring water for these

rights arises within feet of the proposed well, probably from the same aquifer.

Drilling and the well might affect the spring flow from very close diversion points.

The mitigation for this application, Transfer 70606, is flawed that it proposes use of water that has historically, since 1929 or earlier, already been used to satisfy our

above water rights.

SCANNED

JUL 03 2003

2016

5025948
7/2/03
mfb

Continuation of protest for 36-16156

7. The following would help resolve this protest unless other discoveries are made.

- a. Measurement of all spring water used for domestic, industrial, holding ponds, office, lawns and trees, break room, and waste treatment before, during, and after well drilling with an understanding signed that if springs recede, drilling stops.
 - b. A guarantee of the at least a 400 foot well, as stated in the plan, is made.
 - c. Regular monitoring and reporting of the amount of water withdrawn by the well.
 - d. . The permit be non amendable for a greater amount of water being withdrawn.
 - e. For future knowledge, tests need to take place to determine if the springs and the well are indeed the same aquifer.
 - f. Removal of the mitigating factors on No. 70606 that are (1) removal of a proposed pipe from the holding ponds to Clear Lake, as this spring water has always gone to the west to our ranch and not to Clear Lake, (2) using 2 cfs of spring water that should be already coming to our ranch as a mitigating tool.
 - g. The overflow from the reservoir outside the fish processing plant still needs to go to the west unless the pipe is removed that carries spring water to the reservoir.
- None of this spring water is to be diverted to Clear Lakes because it has historically come to our ranch.

SCANNED
JUL 03 2003

RECEIVED
JUL 02 2003
Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

If the proposed resolutions are not addressed with agreements and understandings taking place in writing, I propose that the application for the well not be approved.

July 2, 2003

Catherine B. Wright

SCANNED
JUL 03 2003
p. 012

RECEIVED
JUL 02 2003
Department of Water Resources
Southern Region