

TO: JAMES CAMPBELL APPLICATION FOR PERMIT 77-7161

FROM: DANIEL A. NELSON

DATE: 6/25/2007

SUBJECT: ERIN RETELLI INFORMATION REQUEST

On or about 6/7/2007, Ms. Retelli requested additional information concerning the parallel processing of the above application for permit. Whereas this application had already gone through parallel processing, a request was made that she return the file with a memo requesting all of the information needed to finalize processing of this application. Instead of a memo, we received the attached sticky notes in memo format; therefore we are assuming that this is the information required to properly process this application.

The first questioned dealt with the added irrigation use. In the attached memo/sticky note, she stated that the amended application included an irrigation use that was not included on the original application. Therefore, her 2/21/2007 email stating that re-advertising was not necessary was done in error. I was asked to re-contact the applicant to determine if he wanted to maintain the irrigation use on the application, which would require advancement of the priority date and re-advertisement of the application or if he would want to remove the irrigation component from this application. Mr. Campbell requested that I return the application, so he could remove the irrigation component. The application was returned on 6/25/2007, with the irrigation component removed, and a second application for permit was filed for the irrigation use.

Therefore, this application has all the same uses, rates and volumes as the original application, so it will maintain its 1/8/1982 priority date. According to our knowledge of this process, this application is now prior to the Wild and Scenic agreement, and has been removed from the Wild and Scenic spreadsheet, and re-advertising of the application will not be necessary.

In the memo/sticky note Ms. Retelle wrote, she stated that the county had not been contacted. However, a comment letter was sent to the Idaho County on 2/27/2007, and it has been scanned to the file. Therefore, this portion of her memo/sticky note has already been completed for some time.

All of the additional information requested by Ms. Retelle has been sought and supplied. By removing the irrigation component from the application, the priority date remains prior to the Wild and Scenic agreement, therefore, I can see no reason why this application should not be expedited through the remaining process. The client has been very patient through this ordeal, but understandably, his patience is wearing thin. **Please expedite the processing of this application for permit.**

COPY

See 77-7161
FOR ORIGINAL
DAN 7-14-07