

72-20H.txt

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 5:08 PM
To: Smith, Danni
Subject: 72-20H

Hi Danni,
I'll admit I did screw-up on the 0.3 acres in the NWNE that should have been in the NENE and I really hate it when that happens, but I think the total pou is right. Bob's notes indicated that during his field exam he found only 0.71 acres being irrigated at the time, but mentioned an additional 1.4 acres from about three years previous that he would allow, and 0.9 acres as not irrigated of the three acres owned. He said there were several outbuildings, abandoned structures, and storage areas and he was ready to recommend 2.1 acres, all in the SESE of Section 8. When I did the GIS, I found part of it in Section 17 also and with the rounding and all, the total came out to 2.2 acres. The owners at the time said they agreed with the recommendation and they did get the full diversion rate of 0.06 cfs that they claimed. I guess it's up to us to fix this as a typo or something, huh? Let me know if you have any questions...Jeanie

Don -
Acreage fine, please
write to SRBA Court
Changing 1/4's
Claimant knows
Danni
7/19/5

~~879~~
Brigette 879 6202 wk
Moore
208 879-2055
72-20 H

~~53527~~
116351
123391