

Robertson, Jean

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2007 8:38 AM
To: 'Jason'
Subject: RE: BLM and Woodland Transfers

I don't think I have a copy of the deeds or the split agreement you mention. I see Corey returned the original three applications you submitted in September of 2005. All I seem to have now is a copy of the BLM and Woodland transfers which Lisa from the BLM forwarded to me. Perhaps if I had a copy of the deeds and agreement signed by everyone, I could send copies to Jeff and see what he thinks. At this point, it might be easiest to just put the two rights back together (as you suggested) and let the transfers split them correctly. Jeanie

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason [mailto:jason.helms@brockwayeng.com]
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 5:03 PM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: BLM and Woodland Transfers

S&R retained only the 26.7 acre proportional split of all appurtenant rights. The deeds were created based on the split information I provided which you have.

Jason C. Helms, P.E.
Brockway Engineering, PLLC
(208) 736-8543

From: Robertson, Jean [mailto:Jean.Robertson@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 4:36 PM
To: Jason
Subject: RE: BLM and Woodland Transfers

In Jeff's comments when he split the two original rights last year, he said: ***Ownership changes were filed by S & R Investments and Alan and Debra Woodland in July, 2006 for rights 36-2268A and 36-10090. S & R Investments conveyed their portions to Woodlands, so the rights were split. Right 36-2268A was split into 36-16454 (remainder) and 36-16455 (Woodland). Right 36-10090 was split into 36-16456 (remainder) and 36-16457 (Woodland). The owners for the remaining portions will stay as decreed. Note that the cfs amounts were conveyed through a warranty deed and are not proportional to deeded acres. Shapes for POU were not accurate because they did not match the decreed POU acres, so new shapes were generated based on PLS.***

I guess I'm a little confused that he said S & R conveyed their interest to the Woodlands? He left a part still in the **Large** name, which seems to be the part you show on your chart as S & R? Did S & R retain anything or did they convey to the Woodlands as Jeff indicated?

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason [mailto:jason.helms@brockwayeng.com]
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 3:31 PM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: BLM and Woodland Transfers

I definitely understand how messy these splits can get. I have the original transfers (signed by Woodlands and Youngs) here on my desk while I have been waiting for BLM approval of the transfer prepared in their name for the portion on BLM land. Let me know if there is anything else you need. And as soon as BLM is able to sign, I will bring over the original transfers and associated fees.

I believe you already have the deeds conveying the property and associated water rights from Samuel and Margaret Large to Northridge and then to S&R Investments. Woodlands received ownership of their portion by purchase and deed from S&R. Check for a letter from me on July 7, 2006 to Allen Merritt about the ownership