

Robertson, Jean

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2007 9:02 AM
To: 'Jason'
Subject: RE: S&R Inv Transfer - #73711

Jason, I think this should be okay. I'm having the entire file scanned now and have updated my memo to include this analysis, so unless Boise wants more, this should do it. Thanks, Jeanie

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason [mailto:jason.helms@brockwayeng.com]
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2007 2:37 PM
To: Robertson, Jean
Subject: RE: S&R Inv Transfer - #73711

Jeanie,

I evaluated the transfer based on the most recent transfer spreadsheet, and it shows transient impacts are all below the threshold of 2 acre-ft per trimester even if the water is moved from the existing well in model cell (63,59) and diverted from the most distant well in the proposed PPU (71,70) or any other for that matter. I have attached an export of the existing and proposed place of use showing well locations and model cells.

The Steady State fractions for (63,59) to (70,71) show the following.

Estimated Steady State Reach Depletions Due to Diversions Within Specified Model Cell [afa]											
Authorized Area		ESPAM	Authorized	Ashton -	Heise -	Shelley -	Blackfoot	Neeley -	Blackfoot	Neeley -	Devil's
26.7 ac	SP063059	106.8 AFA	1.3	1.5	11.5	40.2	10.7	21.9	8.4	5.4	0.6
	SP070071	106.8 AFA	1.4	1.6	11.9	41.5	11.5	20.5	7.9	5.0	0.6
26.7 ac											

SR Investments - Water Right Transfer

