

Keen, Shelley

From: Arave, Nathaniel
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2007 8:14 AM
To: Keen, Shelley
Subject: RE: Petition for Reconsideration of License 31-7655 (Michael Bennett)

Shelley,

At the time of the field exam was ill and was unable to accompany me on the exam. The Bennett's had not mentioned any irrigation in connection with there domestic usage, as well as the permit does not authorize the irrigation of up to 1/2 an acre with only 0.02 cfs for domestic. Secondly at the place of use where "the picnic area is" did not appear at the time of exam to be more green or irrigated more so than any of the other grasses in the adjacent area. My thought in retrospect is that this was probably because Mr. Bennett was fighting an illness and had not been available to irrigate during that year. (If you look at the picture of the control box you can see how green the grass was.) This picnic area constitutes at most about 40 square yards, but I don't know how beneficial it is to water this area.

Nate Arave

-----Original Message-----

From: Keen, Shelley
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2007 5:03 PM
To: Arave, Nathaniel
Subject: Petition for Reconsideration of License 31-7655 (Michael Bennett)

Nate,

We issued License 31-7655 to Michael Bennett on November 21. This week Mr. Bennett asked IDWR to remove the condition stating that he does not have any irrigation in connection with the domestic use. In item F, your field report says, "1 house no irrigation." Mr. Bennet says that he uses two garden hoses and sprinklers to irrigate "yard, trees, and grass in a picnic area."

Can you recall why you concluded that there was no irrigation?

Shelley