

Comment Report

37-21297

1. cskinner 5/11/2004 2:02:39 PM Special Administration Area Notes
Comment: Special Administration Areas : Eastern Snake River Plain Area
(Moratorium Area)
Exempt: Y
Reason:
Doc Attached:
Explanation: non consumptive use

Special Administration Areas : Trust Water Area (Trust Water Area)
Exempt: Y
Reason:
Doc Attached:
Explanation: within trust water area

2. cskinner 5/11/2004 2:03:26 PM Additional Information Supporting Application
Comment: Residency affidavit for hydropower development: Attached
Additional hydropower project information: Attached
Additional fish propagation project information: N/A
Appropriation Rule 40.05.c information: Attached
Mitigation Plan or acceptance form: N/A
Evidence of Pre-1987 development: N/A

3. cskinner 5/18/2004 Legal Notice Remarks
Comment: Application proposes diverting 500 cfs of water from the Little Wood River for power use. The water is to be diverted approximately 5.7 miles west and 2.1 miles north of the center of Shoshone. The proposed powerhouse is to be located approximately 0.6 miles west of the proposed point of diversion. Water is proposed to be returned to the Little Wood River approximately 0.75 miles west of the powerhouse.

4. cskinner 5/18/2004 Amendment
Comment: This day, the applicant amended the application from 600 cfs to 500 cfs which is the proposed capacity of the canal to the powerplant. This results in a partial refund of filing fees.

5. ameritt 8/6/2004 watermaster comments
Comment: The watermaster is not opposed to the project as long as the project does not increase loss in the river system.

6. ameritt 8/6/2004 recommendation
Comment: This application was processed without protest. The watermaster

Comment: This application was processed without protest. The watermaster comments bring up a good point since the intake canal is fairly long and its construction may or may not add significant loss to the river system which if there is an increased loss due to seepage in the intake canal then that would injure existing water rights. I recommend that the permit be conditioned such that a seepage loss analysis be conducted on the constructed intake canal and any loss be mitigated by the applicant. I recommend approval with standard conditions as well as one based on comments previously mentioned above.