

Franklin, Keith

From: Barry Rosenberg [barry@kealliance.org]
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 2:31 PM
To: Franklin, Keith
Subject: Re: Johnson Meadows Settlement Agreement

Keith,

I just reviewed the permit and saw that you did not include items B (2)(4)(5).

When you notified me of the objections of the original settlement agreement you did not state the department objected to incorporating these three items as condition of the permit, the objection focused only items B 6 & 7 that we removed. The inclusion of these conditions are critical to the settlement agreement.

I would like to set an appointment with you to discuss this matter. KEA objects to the permit as written because it does not adequately represent the settlement agreement. I am sure the other protestants would also object. I will contact them next week for their opinion.

Barry

----- Original Message -----

From: Franklin, Keith
To: barry@kealliance.org
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 12:58 PM
Subject: Johnson Meadows Settlement Agreement

Barry,

I've had a chance to revisit the Johnson Meadows Settlement File. There were "Mutual Action" items the department was not willing to incorporate into the permit, such as policing CC&Rs. My notes indicate you were to review the agreement and see if it could be revised without having to get everyone to resign the agreement.

I've taken the liberty to draft a permit with what I believe the department can agree to, copy attached. Let me know what you think.

Keith

<<20070713125258745.pdf>>

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG Free Edition.

Version: 7.5.476 / Virus Database: 269.10.4/898 - Release Date: 7/12/2007 4:08 PM

7/20/2007