

Grimm, Angie

From: Padilla, Cherie
Sent: Friday, March 28, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Grimm, Angie
Subject: RE: WR App 79-14202: Upper Basin Parallel Processing

Hi Angie,

This permit looks ready to go to advertising. I have a question about the rule 40.05 additional information though. Did we ask for the additional info because the applicant wants to make a withdrawal from a MSF reach? Is this something new we are doing? I don't recall requiring the additional information unless the application is for over 200 acres of irrigation, over 5 cfs, over 500 af, or in the Wild and Scenic. I apologize, some things seemed to have gone to the back of the file drawer in my head since I've been gone.

Thanks
Cherie

From: Grimm, Angie
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2008 4:32 PM
To: Padilla, Cherie
Subject: WR App 79-14202: Upper Basin Parallel Processing

Cherie,

Good afternoon. I am processing an application for water right permit in Basin 79 that is subject to parallel processing with the state office. Application number 79-14202 (Lindsey) is for water from the Salmon River for irrigation of 3 acres. Rule 40 additional information has been requested and received including comment letters for IDFG and IDEQ. All related documents have been scanned and are attached to the water right application record in workflow. I have provided more detail regarding processing of this application to date in a workflow database comment. It appears to me this application is approvable. Steve Lester told me you were the state office contact for parallel processing of the upper basin applications, at least before you trip. If you are still the contact, please let me know if there is anything else you need from me regarding processing of this application or if you have any questions before it is advertised. This is my first time parallel processing this type of application so if there is anything else I should know about the process in general please don't hesitate. If you are not the correct contact, would you please let me know who is so I can forward this message on and continue with processing accordingly?

Thanks,
Angie