

MEMORANDUM

TO: Files

FROM: Steve Lester 

DATE: May 15, 2008

RE: Field Exams for Permits 63-11540 and 79-14012

Field exams for these permits are forwarded to the State Office for licensing. Regional staff intended to issue the licenses by now. However, processing of transfers in addition to issuing transfer and permit approvals at this office prevented this from occurring. We do not expect to have time to issue the licenses within any reasonable time frame.

Licensing Amendment for 63-11540

Examiner used 5/07 database details to assist with 6/07 field exam. Since then, the permit was assigned to a new owner. The license can be issued in the new owner's name.

An amendment application for this permit was filed by previous owner Prigge in early 2006 prior to any thoughts about doing a field exam. Regional staff data entered the amendment application for expected processing (# 73460). Events overtook this expected route, finally resulting in the field exam.

The amendment application was not processed. I thought we might be able to use the application as a licensing amendment. The application status was changed to "closed" today.

Examiner found a single well in NWNW Sec. 4. That P/D tract is covered by the permit. The P/D does not need to be amended. I suggest licensing the P/D to 40-acre site but retaining electronic mapping to 10-acre site. This preserves more detailed data but might avoid unnecessary transfer work in the future if that well needs to be replaced in the same $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ but different $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$.

Examiner found different acres/tracts for the P/U compared to the permit. The P/U does need to be amended. However, the 2006 amendment application does not match the acres in the exam.

Result: the amendment application probably cannot be used as a licensing amendment but the \$50 fee can apply to a necessary licensing amendment. Note SPF contact associated with the amendment application now included with this field exam.