

Edl, Michele

From: Erick Powell [erick.powell@brockwayeng.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2008 4:22 PM
To: Edl, Michele
Subject: RE: Spring Canyon Ranch well#2 37-22250

Hi Michele,
That is fine with us, as long as water rights 37-22250 and 37-22251 equal the original permit 37-8659 exam for total flow diversion rate and irrigated acres. The division between the two rights looks fine.
Thanks,
Erick

From: Edl, Michele [mailto:Michele.Edl@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2008 2:59 PM
To: erick.powell@brockwayeng.com
Subject: Spring Canyon Ranch well#2 37-22250

Erick
I heard from Spring Canyon Ranch earlier today. I have made some progress with the water right split and I would like to get some feedback from you and your client. I have corrected the location of well #2 in our database and I have separated some pieces of the irrigation shape Amy sent me and associated them with well #2. I have attached a screen shot of the 37-22250 (well #2) acreage outline.

I will associate the rest of the irrigation shapes with well # 3, and proportionally distribute the total permissible place of use to each of the new splits. Please, let me know if your client has a problem with any of this.

Thanks
Michele

1/11/2008



sde-enterprise.apr

New Open Print



View1



View1

☒ Checked Out POU☐ POU-Rec☒ Blaine taxlots☐ GCDB☒ 02N18E GCDB☐ GCDB☒ Right POD☐ Right POU☐ Administrative☐ Township/Range☐ Sections☐ QQ☐ SpatialData☐ SUN V NAIP 2004☐ FAIR NAIP 2004☐ X74 Quad☐ X74_SW_1992_S C☐ Y24 Quad☐ Y24_NW_1992_S C