

Robertson, Jean

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2009 3:01 PM
To: 'charles.g.brockway@brockwayeng.com'
Subject: FW: Moo View Cow Palace Application

Attachments: img-107154835.pdf; img-107155445.pdf

Dear Chuck Jr.,

I talked to your Dad earlier today and he asked me to forward our emails and letters so you could become familiar with the Moo View Cow Palace/Searle Applications for Permit. This is kind of a summary of where we are:

Jan. 17, 2008 - Two applications for permit (with fees) were filed on behalf of Moo View:
45-14106 for domestic and stock from Woodbury Spring - four homes
45-14107 for re-charge and stockwater from Antelope, Dayley, and Lunch Creeks.

Feb. 5, 2008 - letter from me to Brockway Engineering requesting additional information.

Feb. 7, 2008 - met with Brockway Sr. and Searle in Allen's office to discuss options, were to get back with me...

Aug. 6, 2008 - 30-day letter sent

Aug. 14, 2008 - **new application for permit (with fee) received for domestic use from Woodbury Spring - 1**

home

Aug. 20, 2008 - email below sent to Chuck Sr.

Sept. 25, 2008 - letter asking if **new application** was meant to amend or replace 45-14106? - application and filing fee returned

Nov. 7, 2008 - met briefly with Chuck Sr. and he said he would talk to Searle one more time and send letter probably requesting withdrawal of 45-14107 (re-charge) and either consider the **new application** as an amendment to 45-14106 as a domestic on Woodbury Spring for 1 home or file a late claim for an earlier priority date.

Jan. 7, 2009 - talked with Brockway Sr. this morning and he indicated that he would probably like to withdraw both 45-14106 and 45-14107 and file the **new application for domestic 1 home on**

Woodbury Spring. If that is the case, we would need to refund the fees for the first two apps, upon

withdrawal, and then you would need to send the **new one** back to me (because I returned it and the fee) and send the fee again? (If you decide to use it as an amendment for 45-14106 instead, please return it to me without the fee and I will use the original fee from 45-14106 since it has not yet been advertised.)

Let me know when you get a chance. I wish your Dad well with his upcoming surgery and hope he is back at the office soon. Thanks, Jeanie



img-107154835.pdf
(153 KB)



img-107155445.pdf
(22 KB)

From: Robertson, Jean
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 4:21 PM
To: 'charles.e.brockway@brockwayeng.com'
Subject: Moo View Cow Palace Application

Chuck,

I was just given the most recent application that was sent in and received in our office on August 14, 2008. It is for development on the Woodbury Spring for domestic and stockwater use for **one home**. I am wondering if this filing is in response to the 30-day letter I sent out on August 6, 2008? I still have the other two applications that were filed back in January. 45-14106 was for domestic and stock on Woodbury Spring but it was for **4 homes**. In a letter I'd sent out in February, we asked if you wanted all 4 homes to be limited to "one" 13,000 gpd and 1/2 acre limit when combined or if you wished to make separate filings so they could each have a 13,000 gpd and 1/2 acre? Is this most recent application intended to **amend 45-14106 to only one home**? Or is it an additional application? If it is to amend, since 45-14106 has not been advertised yet, the \$100.00 fee you enclosed would not be applicable. Please clarify the intent of this latest application. Also, In the cover letter for this latest application, you stated that **a copy of the right-of-way grant #I-3983 was attached**. It was not included in the packet. Please either give me a call or email me when you return to the office so we can straighten things out.

Thanks, Jeanie Robertson