

Edl, Michele

From: Jason Linford [jlinford@sunrise-eng.com]
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 3:24 PM
To: Edl, Michele
Cc: 'Jared Gunderson'
Subject: RE: numbers clarification
Attachments: P__Driggs_00675 Driggs General Engineering_Cybernet_Driggs Future Water 6-2-08.pdf

Michele,
 Sorry for the delay in getting back with you.

1. The difference in the numbers was due to an error and was corrected in one location but not another. The correct number for capacity should be 5245 gpm as shown in the table. This number should carry over to page 16 as well. The final report will have it fixed.
2. I do have a water model of the system. The model shows that the City is able to meet the current required capacity with the existing waterline sizes in place. However, we as the City grows, we have identified future waterline sizes needed to meet future demands. The future waterline sizes do not affect the current waterlines. This is for areas where we anticipate more growth to occur. I have included a map that shows waterline sizes that are anticipated in the future. These waterline loop out around the airport etc.

If you have any other questions. Please let me know.

Jason



JASON J. LINFORD, P.E.
PRINCIPAL ENGINEER

jlinford@sunrise-eng.com

47 EAST 4TH AVENUE • AFTON, WYOMING 83110
 TEL 307.885.8500 • CELL 307.248.2810 • FAX 307.885.8501

From: Edl, Michele [mailto:Michele.Edl@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2009 1:24 PM
To: jlinford@sunrise-eng.com
Subject: numbers clarification

Jason,
 The bureau chief has reviewed your report and is more comfortable issuing the 2 licenses we have on hand.

We have some questions related to your draft report.

1) You cite two different capacity numbers. 5245 gpm (total in table page 11) and 4875 gpm (text on page 11, in last sentence before Sec 3.2.3)

The same two capacity numbers are given on page 16 (paragraph before FUTURE WATER STORAGE)
 What do the difference capacities mean?

2) The Department would be interested in information from a model of the system capacity which is not just a total of the wells added together.

7/9/2009

We realize that historical valve information and line sizes may not have been available but put into the model however, I need to ask "Do you have a model of the of system capacity which represents actual use?"

Thanks
Michele

PS A small correction: in the 3-column-text on page 7 the total gpm is correct but the total cfs is not. Total cfs is 15.17 not 14.17. The error may be Lions Park

7/9/2009