

Lester, Steve

From: Lester, Steve
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 9:31 AM
To: 'Hennekey,Ray'
Subject: RE: Campbell Water Right Application 79-14205

Hi Ray:

Thanks for getting back to me so soon.

Your suggestion to delete "each year" improves the package for both reasons you noted. Let's use your suggestion if the application is approved.

Yes, it would be helpful if you can work a quick site visit into your schedule. That will let us know how to proceed with this application - green light or red light as far as working out the water right details.

I'll wait to hear from you before taking any action on this application.

Steve

From: Hennekey,Ray [mailto:ray.hennekey@idfg.idaho.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 15, 2009 8:54 AM
To: Lester, Steve
Subject: RE: Campbell Water Right Application 79-14205

Hi, Steve –

Sorry I missed your call the other day.

Campbell did send a copy of his application for the expanded area, many months ago now. However, I'm not aware of any attempts to contact me by phone as your memo infers he has claimed. I didn't respond to the written app simply because I thought all of the kinks had been worked out by Dan and it was simply a matter of IDWR permitting the larger area.

The permit conditions you suggest in the email would satisfy IDFG's concerns. One perhaps petty suggestion I might make, however, would be to remove "each year" from condition #1. For two reasons:

- First, the dam is constructed with a spillway/overflow that will impound water regardless of the season and won't change pond volume over the course of the year, or from one year to the next. There is no way for the owner to alter the height of the spillway (*i.e.*, no splashboards, gate, etc.) without building the dam up at the spillway location.
- Second, this kind of infers – to me anyway -- that an annual inspection might be required. As long as he meets passage requirements to start with, one inspection should suffice. I think we probably covered that base when I was there with Dan, but I'd be happy to stop by there again before you issue the final permit to make sure he did all he promised to do when Dan, Cynthia and I visited him back when.

I hope that makes sense. If not, give me a holler and we can talk it over. Also, let me know if you'd like me to swing by Campbell's to see if he did what he said he would do. I'll be going through Grangeville on Wednesday, so I could work a visit to his place into my day. I'll be in the office Mon-Tues and Thursday of this week, in the field the other days. You can try my cell on the field days (208-816-1241), though the darn thing seems to be out of coverage as much or more than it's in coverage around my Region.

6/15/2009

Ray Hennekey
Environmental Staff Biologist
Idaho Fish and Game, Clearwater Region
3316 16th Street
Lewiston, Idaho 83501
(208) 799-5010
ray.hennekey@idfg.idaho.gov
Note my new email address!

From: Lester, Steve [<mailto:Steve.Lester@idwr.idaho.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 11:01 AM
To: Hennekey,Ray
Subject: Campbell Water Right Application 79-14205

Hi Ray:

This is the email I mentioned in my phone message to you earlier today.

A couple years ago you met onsite with Dan Nelson and Cynthia Clark from IDWR to inspect Campbell's pond located on John's Creek around Grangeville. This was a complaint involving the pond and a neighbor. Your recommendations from that field visit were very helpful for Dan to complete his field report for the water right covering the pond, no. 79-14012 which is in process of being licensed.

Because the pond was built somewhat larger than covered in 79-14012, IDWR advised Campbell to get another right covering the excess. He filed application 79-14205 to do that, copy attached. He said he contacted IDFG for comments but we are not sure if he did.

Although normally we would tell an applicant that new on-stream ponds should not be approved for that area (your advice in that has been helpful), it seemed ok for an existing system under these circumstances. Otherwise we would wind up in the dubious position of trying to get him to make the pond smaller - probably would not work out.

As noted in the attached memo, we (Western Region staff) thought your recommendations from the inspection could be used to condition possible approval of the new application. The conditions would be used instead of the typical fish screen condition and would include the following extracted from Dan's 7/17/07 letter to Campbell that was copied to you per Dan's notes:

- 1) Prior to storing water each year, the owner must comply with all IDFG recommendations for maintaining fish migration and habitat for John's Creek.
- 2) The spillway for this dam must be constructed and maintained at all times to allow fish passage and to prevent any erosion of the stream banks and stream channel.

Our State Office liked those ideas but thought we should be sure to run it by you in case the applicant actually did not ask for your comments.

Do you think the above approach will work? Please give me a call if you want to talk it over. If it does sound ok, your reply by email will be fine. Thanks for your help.

Steve Lester
Water Rights Supervisor
IDWR Western Region
tel: 208.334.2190

6/15/2009

fax: 208.334.2348

<<79-14205 appl.pdf>> <<79-14205 memo.pdf>>