

Walker, Kay

From: Conrad, Vic E [Vic.Conrad@simplot.com]
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:00 PM
To: Walker, Kay
Subject: RE: Application for Transfer 75758

Did Steve provide a reason we cannot handle this under a single transfer? The challenge that I anticipate with two transfers is accurately describing the "transferred from" land. If we proceed with two transfers we will need to arbitrarily delineate two separate areas within the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 25 T.4S R.2E; one with approximately 8 acres and the second with approximately 7.6 acres. With a single transfer the entire "transferred from" lands are moved onto specifically described locations; each with a different point of diversion.

If Steve wants two transfers, does he have any guidance on how to arrive at the arbitrary carving up of these two descriptions for the "transferred from" lands? We can do it using the ArcMap tools, but it seems a little clumsy.

From: Walker, Kay [mailto:Kay.Walker@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2009 10:21 AM
To: Conrad, Vic E
Subject: RE: Application for Transfer 75758

Good morning Vic!

I had my meeting with Steve this morning and we discussed your proposal about moving Rt. 02-2053 to two different systems. We will need to have an amended transfer for the lands in Sec. 18, T6S, R5E and an additional transfer for the acres you want to move to the "Bryant Place". The good news is that you submitted Transfer 75758 with a \$250.00 filing fee and if you reduce it to lands without water (0.20 cfs or less) the filing fee would be reduced to just \$100.00 and we can use the remaining fee for the second transfer application. I just looked at water rights within the NENW, S33, T5S, R4E (Bryant Place) and found there are Snake River rights under Snake River Irrigation District and decreed rights 02-10047 and 02-10048. If there are lands in that area that do not have Snake River rights we would be able to process the application but we need to make sure that the rights from the Snake River don't exceed an inch per acre when combined.

Let me know if there is anything I can do to help you with this. I can't proceed with Transfer 75758 until we get this all figured out.

Thanks.

Kay

From: Conrad, Vic E [mailto:Vic.Conrad@simplot.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2009 11:33 AM
To: Walker, Kay
Subject: RE: Application for Transfer 75758

Kay,

I suggest that we cover all of the irrigated land in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18 T.6S R.5E with as much of the 15.63 acres of water right available under 2-2053 that are necessary. I estimate there may be up to 12 acres irrigated in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18, however, I will defer to your estimate on the actual irrigated acres after you apply your superior GIS analytical skills.

Using my preliminary estimate of 12 acres, there may be 3.6 acres remaining. (15.6 acres total – 12 acres to cover Section 18 land = 3.6 acres remaining). Under this estimate, I would suggest placing the 3.6 acres on the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 33 T.5S R.4E (Siimplot's "Bryant Place"). The point of

8/10/2009

diversion for the Bryant place is located in Lot 4 of Section 34, T.5S R.4E.

Let me know your thoughts about this.

From: Walker, Kay [mailto:Kay.Walker@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2009 1:06 PM
To: Conrad, Vic E
Cc: Walker, Kay
Subject: Application for Transfer 75758

Hi, Vic.

I am still reviewing the above referenced transfer application for Water Right 02-2053 and find a problem with the proposed place of use.

In review of this transfer application, it is noticed that recommended Rt. 02-6 covers the proposed place of use in Government Lot 10 (SWNW), Sec. 18, T6S, R5E. Right 02-6 is recommended for 0.016 cfs per acre, from the Snake River, so we could allow an additional 0.004 cfs for the 9.13 acres ($0.004 \times 9.13 \text{ ac} = 0.036 \text{ cfs}$) you have proposed to transfer. The problem is that you need to find a "home" for 0.18 cfs of water for the 9.13 acres under this proposal. Rt. 02-6 is recommended to cover 19 acres within that area. Also, please note that our data base limits us to tenths of acres on the description of place of use so we will be rounding the acres to 9.1 in the SWNW. I hope this does not cause you a problem.

I did not find any overlapping water rights on the SESE, Sec. 18, T6S, R5E so those 6.5 acres are not a problem.

Could you please look at this and tell me how to proceed? Would appreciate your help.

Thanks, Kay

8/10/2009