

Reeder, Jana

From: Yenter, Cindy
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 12:02 PM
To: 'Brian Higgs'
Cc: Reeder, Jana
Subject: RE: Flood Ditch

Well, and the other thing, now that I've thought about it, is whether the recharge will be occurring within the call area. That is required for eligibility. I think the Cottonwood area is outside the Blue Lakes call impact area. If so, then recharge in the ditch and/or the Cottonwood pond, if it makes it there, would NOT be eligible for mitigation for that call. However recharge there might still have some value in a CGWA management plan. So I would be inclined to leave those recharge areas on the application. Remember, this application is not for mitigation *per se*, it is for the recharge activities that establish the framework for your mitigation plan(s). The application and resulting permit can have a larger scope than the plan(s), its just that only the recharge activities within the call area may be counted as mitigation toward that call.

The injection wells mostly appear to be within the BLT call area, at least the ones to the north. Any recharge occurring down those wells could be used for mitigation under an approved plan. How are you doing on the injection permitting? And how about progress on the plan itself? We have not sent you a deadline but Tim was considering a letter to Parsons. We need to get 2010 plans in asap so we can have hearings.

Regarding the recharge application for permit, Jana will be contacting you for some additional pou information relative to the recharge areas. That information must be QQ specific for the application - you can't just refer to a map. So you will have to assemble that for both the application and for Allan too if you request a model run.

Love the wind today?
 later,
 cindy

From: Brian Higgs [mailto:higgs@ida.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 11:43 AM
To: Yenter, Cindy
Subject: Re: Flood Ditch

Thank you. Yes, this is the same ditch. Now that I know some mitigation is possible I will have Alan run it in connection with the other mitigation projects. Brian

----- Original Message -----

From: Yenter, Cindy
To: Brian Higgs
Cc: Reeder, Jana
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 10:18 AM
Subject: RE: Flood Ditch

Well we just discussed that this morning with respect to the recent recharge application. Is this not the ditch which is described in that application? Or is it another ditch?

If the ditch is adequately described as part of the recharge pou and the permit is approved, then any flows recharged (and properly documented) there should be eligible for mitigation credit. HOWEVER the amount of credit would necessarily be contingent upon the response at the targeted river reach. Did you have Allan model recharge at the ditch and/or the injection wells to see what the responses were?

9/30/2009

From: Brian Higgs [mailto:higgs@ida.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2009 9:13 AM
To: Yenter, Cindy
Cc: Luke, Tim
Subject: Flood Ditch

Hi Cindy,

Have you had an opportunity (between breaths) to consider the SWID flood ditch as a possible recharge location with partial mitigation credit?

Thank you.

Brian

9/30/2009