

Edl, Michele

From: Edl, Michele
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 4:14 PM
To: Edl, Michele
Subject: FW: permit 47-7991

Tom McCauley called me this afternoon 4/9/08. We discussed the issues in this email.

The design plans that I referred to for the first license w/ volume 110 cfs were superceded by FERC approved (Feb 1987) plant amendments. The pipe diameter should be 60" not 54". I will need to verify and reference that change in a memo to the first license too.

Tom understood the need for an amendment and is willing to sign one as soon as he can get it.

Tom was not able to get the SOS business entity issue completely resolved because Crystal Springs Hydroelectric is a foreign entity, incorporated in the State of Washington. It was beyond Tom's authority to resolve but he said he sent reminder messages to the partners.

From: Edl, Michele
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2008 2:36 PM
To: 'littlemac@cableone.net'
Subject: permit 47-7991

Tom,
The Department has completed the order of reinstatement for 47-7991. I know it did not go out in today's mail but I believe that you should be seeing it soon.

I have reopened the licensing file for 47-7991 and have some of the same unresolved issues as we had needed to address with the companion water right, 47-7768.

This water right also has an incorrect place-of-use address. The wrong quarter-quarter address was named for this permit as it was in 47-7768, and like 47-7768, I will need to have amendment signed before issuing a license.

I also checked with the Secretary of State's office today. I did not find Crystal Springs Hydroelectric LP as a business entity in Idaho. During your March 2008 visit to our offices, I mentioned that IDWR requires the permit holder be registered as a business with the State of Idaho before a license can be issued. I will need to have that issue resolved and I believe that it can be done online. Here is a link to their web page. <http://www.sos.idaho.gov/>

The original design of the Crystal Springs plant penstock seems to restrict the total volume to ~110 cfs. Was a second penstock put in place? Or was there some other redesign that increased the operational volume? I suspect that the Department may be missing some plan revisions that make an inflow of more than 110 cfs possible.
Please help me fill in the information gaps.

Respectfully,
Michele Edl

5/8/2008